

Zelensky Wants A “Peace Summit” to Which Russia Would Not be Invited

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, January 18, 2024

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[New Year Donation Drive: Global Research Is Committed to the “Unspoken Truth”](#)

For all of human history, when two sides are in a conflict and one wants to initiate peace talks (common sense implies it’s usually [the one that’s not exactly winning](#)), the actual negotiations require both of the warring sides to be present.

*However, [the era of the so-called post-truth](#) also seems to be a time of “post-logic”, as **the Kiev regime keeps insisting on a “peace summit” to which Russia wouldn’t be invited.***

*During this year’s WEF in Davos, the Neo-Nazi junta frontman **Volodymyr Zelensky** announced his intention to do exactly that.*

*On the eve of the forum, one of his top-ranking officials, Head of the Presidential Office **Andriy Yermak**, [stated that the Kiev regime believes](#) it is “crucial for China to be at the table for future talks on our peace formula” and that this is “necessary to end the war with Russia”.*

As per usual, the Neo-Nazi junta keeps barking up the wrong tree. The idea that Beijing would try to nudge Moscow toward peace talks at a time when the Kiev regime’s overlords are openly talking about the so-called “strategic containment” of both (Eur)Asian superpowers [is simply ludicrous](#).

China is perfectly aware of the fact that it would be next in line if it ever turned its back on Russia. However, for some reason, the Neo-Nazi junta keeps trying to get on Beijing’s good side. These efforts have been completely futile so far, but Zelensky simply refuses to give up. Still, it’s somewhat understandable why he’s doing this. Namely, China is indeed one of the most powerful countries of [the new, rapidly expanding multipolar world](#), effectively

being the cornerstone of its economic might.

Along with its growing military power, this gives Beijing the capacity to pursue a completely independent foreign policy, a luxury that very few nations can afford. However, once again, the idea that the Asian giant would use this power to try and coerce Moscow, [its geopolitical ally](#), into accepting anything that would go against its interests is simply unrealistic (mildly speaking). First of all, this cannot even be done, as both superpowers see each other as equal partners, which excludes the possibility of imposing anything among themselves or any other multipolar power. However, this is not to say their foreign policy frameworks are in total lockstep. Still, precisely this [multi-vector approach](#) is behind the success of BRICS+, SCO and similar multipolar global organizations.

And yet, as Chinese **Premier Li Qiang** is leading his country's delegation at this year's WEF, the Kiev regime sees this as a unique opportunity to push its vaunted "peace platform" on at least one top-ranking Chinese official. It's important to note that the pressure on Beijing won't come only from the Neo-Nazi junta, but also from its NATO overlords, who have decided to use this year's WEF for another pointless "peace summit". In order to give it the optics of an "international event", they need [to use the opportunity that Chinese representatives are there](#), despite the fact that their participation at the forum has little to do with Ukraine. The "neutral" Switzerland also agreed to play the role of the official host, which is supposed to serve as an important segment of this laughable "geopolitical talk show".

"We would like the Global South to be present... It is important for us to show that the whole world is against Russia's aggression, and the whole world is for a just peace," [Zelensky said about the "peace summit"](#).

The statement is yet another confirmation that PR and optics are everything for the Kiev regime. No substance, no actual point in doing any of it, but to constantly recycle the illusion that Russia is supposedly "isolated" because it's not at the WEF, while the Neo-Nazi junta is. It can even be said that these futile attempts have long been uncomfortable for China, as its high-ranking officials have agreed to speak to their Kiev regime counterparts only for the sake of being polite and diplomatic. However, this is becoming increasingly tiresome, as Beijing is perfectly aware of the pointlessness of any "peace talks" that don't involve Moscow, so much so that even the unequivocally pro-Neo-Nazi junta mainstream propaganda machine was [forced to admit that this was a complete failure](#).

Swiss **Foreign Minister Ignazio Cassis** said the gathering "helped clarify certain points" for future discussions, but admitted that it was "clear none of the warring parties were willing to make territorial concessions".

This is a rather peculiar statement, given the fact that "one of the warring parties" was not actually present. Someone should probably explain to Western leaders that it's exceedingly difficult to talk to someone when they're not present. And yet, even if Russia was invited (provided it would accept it), the Kiev regime's completely irrational demands would make it impossible to come to any sort of negotiable settlement. For instance, [the Neo-Nazi junta refuses to give up on its vaunted "10-point peace plan" that demands "Russia's complete withdrawal from the entire territory of Ukraine"](#).

Mind you, this request refers to what Zelensky claims is "Ukraine's internationally

recognized state border”, which in his view includes Crimea. Who in their right mind thinks Moscow would even consider talking to someone with such demands, let alone accept such a “peace deal”? What this effectively amounts to is a call for Russia’s unconditional capitulation, despite the fact that [the situation on the battlefield suggests a complete opposite](#). To make matters worse, [Zelensky stipulated](#) several other [absurd](#) requests, including “the return of Ukrainian children stolen by Russia, sanctions, ways to use frozen Russian assets, humanitarian mine clearing, financial assistance and recovery”. In other words, Moscow would also have to accept [outright lies](#) and [theft of its forex assets](#).

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on [InfoBrics](#).

Drago Bosnic is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Drago Bosnic](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca