

Breaking: Kiev's Commander in Chief General Zaluzhny Admits Ukraine Has Lost

By [Karsten Riise](#)

Global Research, July 03, 2023

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Ukraine's general Zaluzhny admits that Ukraine's "counteroffensive" essentially is over – even before it really got started.

Zaluzhny admits that Ukraine has got no chances now, and Zaluzhny knows that NATO can never supply Ukraine with whatever it is that Zaluzhny says Ukraine needs.

What Zaluzhny in *reality* is saying is that Ukraine has lost.

It's that simple.

"Without being fully supplied, these plans are not feasible at all," he added. "But they are being carried out. Yes, maybe not as fast as the participants in the show, the observers, would like, but that is their problem."

"we didn't get Leopards to ride in parades or have politicians or celebrities take pictures with them. They came here for the war. And a Leopard on the battlefield is not a Leopard but a target."

But Zaluzhny also pointed to NATO forces' own doctrine — which parallels Russia's, he said — that calls for air superiority before launching ground-based deep-reaching operations.

"And Ukraine, moving to offensive operations, should follow which doctrine?" Zaluzhny said. "NATO's? The Russian Federation's? Or is that none of your business? 'You have your own doctrine. You have tanks, you have some cannons, you have some [fighting vehicles]. You can do it.' What is that?"

Russia's more modern fleet of Su-35s have a far superior radar and missile range, Ukraine's older jets cannot compete. Troops on the ground are easily targeted as a result. It's like

we'd go on the offensive with bows and arrows now, and everyone would say, 'Are you crazy?'

Prigozhin's Wagner forces had already exited the front line, after claiming the eastern city of Bakhmut a month ago, Zaluzhny said, so there was no noticeable change on the battlefield as the rebellion took place. "We didn't feel that their defense got weaker somewhere or anything," he said.

"I have a lot of fears, and Wagner is among them," Zaluzhny said. "And they're not the only ones. If we start talking about it now, my head will spin. ... "

See [this](#).

And Zaluzhny doesn't even mention the fact, that Ukraine has run out of manpower fit for war. Ukraine's remaining capable fighters are being lost at staggering rates of over 500 dead *per day* - plus wounded. Ukraine can never replace these losses in capable manpower - for two reasons. First, the amount of training and experience cannot be replaced. Three months of basic training doesn't make a capable fighter - or an NCO or officer. Especially not in the age of technically advanced combined arms warfare, where adequate education can take a year or two. Ukraine just hasn't got that time. But next, Ukraine simply doesn't have enough able-bodied men left to draft. Recently, Ukraine is starting a last desperate "total mobilization" in cities like Kiev, Lviv, Ivano Frankivsk and elsewhere. Scraping together the last poor males from the elderly homes and the kindergartens. It's like Hitler's last "Volkssturm" in the closing days of WW II.

NATO knows this but presses Ukraine to go on killing countless thousands of its own men in hopes of gaining at least a *little* ground, before trying to talk for ceasefire. The US just sent a signal to Russia via the [Washington Post](#).

The signal is that the US has recognized that Ukraine's "counteroffensive" has failed - Ukraine will never retake Crimea or Donbas - and that the US now aims for marginal gains before a negotiated settlement with Russia. The US wants a pause to rearm Ukraine and start the war again in 2-3 years. That is a losing game for the West too. Ukraine is getting nowhere anywhere and Russia has completely got the upper hand both short-term and longer-term. Why should Russia compromise with a NATO which wants to destroy Russia and which has doubled-crossed Russia before, incl. at the Minsk II "agreement".

Russia will retake the initiative and destroy what is left of Ukraine as it has been known for a few decades since the dissolution of the Soviet Union. This is the Russian intention as communicated through key top-level Russians like Medvedev and Patrushev.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Karsten Riise is a Master of Science (Econ) from Copenhagen Business School and has a university degree in Spanish Culture and Languages from Copenhagen University. He is the former Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of Mercedes-Benz in Denmark and Sweden.

He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image: Zaluzhnyi with Colonel General Oleksandr Syrskyi (left) during the Battle of Kyiv, March 2022 (Licensed under CC BY 4.0)

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Karsten Riise](#), Global Research, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Karsten Riise](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca