

US Sanctions against India: Delhi's Planned Purchase of Russia's S-400 Air Defense Systems.

By Andrew Korybko

Global Research, October 07, 2021

Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at <a>@crg globalresearch.

Instead of successfully pressuring India into abandoning its special and privileged strategic partners in Russia, the US' threatened sanctions might backfire by sabotaging the Quad.

The US has consistently threatened to sanction India under the Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act (CAATSA) for **its planned purchase of Russia's S-400 air defense systems that it expects to begin receiving in November.** Senior parliamentarian Subramanian Swamy <u>said</u> in late September that he heard from unnamed sources that the US does indeed plan to make good on these threats instead of extending a sanctions waiver like some in India hope. Nevertheless, he believes that US-Indian relations won't be too adversely affected. This comes shortly after the Quad held its first-ever inperson summit in the US last week.

Chinese Foreign Ministry spokeswoman **Hua Chunying** <u>criticized</u> that gathering due to its members' intent to sow discord between her country and its regional partners. The Quad is comprised of Australia, India, Japan, and the US, all of whom are suspected of attempting to "contain" China through a variety of hybrid means, including conventional military provocations, so-called supply chain "decoupling", and information warfare, among others. India is regarded as one of this emerging US-led military bloc's main members because of the vanguard role that it could play in these destabilization efforts due to its neighboring proximity to China.

Alas, even India's potentially leading role in the US' grand strategic campaign to "contain" China isn't enough to spare it from its "ally's" weaponization of economic-financial instruments through sanctions. America is still caught up in its Trump-era Russophobic craze and will stop at nothing to sabotage Moscow's historical military relations with New Delhi for zero-sum aims. Ironically, the zero-sum outcome that ultimately transpires might not be the one that the US' decision makers expect. Instead of successfully pressuring India into abandoning its special and privileged strategic partner, the US' threatened sanctions might backfire by sabotaging the Quad.

To explain, India has reaffirmed on numerous occasions that it has no intent of reneging on its S-400 deal with Russia, the latter of which has full faith in its partner's sincerity. This means that no one should expect New Delhi to dump Moscow due to Washington's sanctions pressure. It's unclear exactly what form its CAATSA sanctions might take, but they'd nevertheless represent the US imposing punitive economic-financial costs against one of its top Quad partners. It would be a humiliation for the proud nation of India to retain the same level of military cooperation with the US after that happens, which is why relations might actually worsen.

Not only that, but the US might not even want to cooperate as closely with India as before due to America's concerns that its partner's acquisition of Russia's S-400s could somehow or another enable Moscow to tap into their military communication networks. These fears are factually unfounded and are only being used as the pretext for pressuring India into dropping its special and privileged strategic partner so that the US can replace its leading role in that country's military-industrial complex, but they'd nevertheless also compel the US to limit its military cooperation with India if it wants to uphold the so-called "credibility" of its false claims.

In the possible scenario of India and the US limiting their presently expanding military cooperation with one another, let alone scaling it back through either India's voluntary withdrawal from some Quad exercises or the US' exclusion of it from the same, the CAATSA sanctions might actually be a blessing in disguise for Eurasia. The South Asian state already saw how the US abandoned its Afghan allies in August then backstabbed its oldest ally France the month after by poaching its AUS\$90 billion submarine deal with Australia from it through AUKUS. It's about time that India wakes up and realizes that it cannot rely on US support to "contain" China.

The sooner that this happens, whether out of its own will by proudly taking a step back from the Quad in the event that it's sanctioned or due to the US piling further pressure upon it after imposing those threatened punitive economic-financial costs on India, the better that it'll be for Eurasian stability. India would learn the long-overdue lesson about America's unreliability as an "ally" with comparatively less costs than if it was misled into provoking another kinetic conflict with China only to be abandoned by America like many expect would inevitably happen. For that reason, it might not be all that bad if the US sanctions India later this year.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on OneWorld.

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from OneWorld

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Andrew Korybko**

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca