

# Will Russia's Special Operation in Ukraine Restore Global Strategic Stability?

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, February 24, 2022

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch\\_crg](#).

\*\*\*

*Had Russia submitted to the US' attempted nuclear blackmail, Washington would have immediately set its sights on China, after which it would have restored its declining unipolar hegemony over the planet if it subsequently succeeded in strategically neutralizing that country too.*

The author warned earlier this week that “[Kiev Must Withdraw From Donetsk & Lugansk If It Truly Wants To Avert War](#)”, pointing out that Moscow will decisively support its [newfound Donbass allies](#) with military means in order to ensure the security of their civilian populations if Ukraine kept up its unprovoked [genocidal](#) offensive against them. Regrettably, the US failed to rein in its Eastern European proxy army, which prompted Russia to commence its ongoing special operation in Ukraine.

Russian Ambassador to the UN Vasily Nebenzya [articulated](#) the reasons behind President Putin's decision earlier this morning. Accusing the US-led West of exploiting the Donbass people as a “bargaining chip in the geopolitical game that seeks to weaken Russia and bring NATO closer to our borders”, he slammed their double standard towards the same humanitarian rights that they hitherto claimed to hold sacred, albeit only whenever saying such advances their own geostrategic objectives.

Kiev's continued refusal to implement the [UNSC-backed Minsk Accords](#) directly provoked Moscow's decisive intervention into the rest of Ukraine that Russia still recognizes as falling with that government's writ. To be exact, the Kremlin actually believes that the Ukrainian government doesn't even exercise practical sovereignty anymore upon being taken over by the US after 2014's coup that followed the months-long spree of urban terrorism popularly known as “EuroMaidan”.

Semantics aside, the ongoing operation is aimed at forcing the US-backed Ukrainian post-coup authorities to return to their pre-regime change peaceful policies towards their own

people, Russia, and the rest of the region. President Putin's [address to the nation](#) Thursday morning stated his country's goals as ensuring that country's demilitarization, denazification, and to bring to justice those who carried out crimes against civilians, including against Russian citizens.

The larger context in which this is occurring is [the undeclared US-provoked missile crisis in Europe](#) that was initiated by Washington's desire to neutralize Moscow's nuclear second-strike capabilities so as to perpetually place it in a position of nuclear blackmail. President Putin earlier elaborated on these very credible concerns during his 21 December "[Expanded Meeting Of The Defense Ministry Board](#)" as well as 21 February's "[Security Council Meeting](#)" and subsequent [address to the nation](#) later that evening.

The end goal is to revise the European security architecture through military means in the absence of the US-led West's failure to respect Russia's security guarantee requests so as to make it more amenable to Moscow's national security interests. This is in accordance with the OSCE's principle of indivisible security that's been violated over the decades by NATO's eastward expansion at its expense. That's the only outcome that can restore the strategic security stability that the US undermined.

Sergey Karaganov, honorary chairman of Russia's highly influential Council on Foreign and Defense Policy, published a very detailed analytical piece at RT on Wednesday titled "[Russia's New Foreign Policy, The Putin Doctrine](#)". All interested readers are very strongly encouraged to read it in full since it's akin to Russia's 21<sup>st</sup>-century version of Kennan's "Long Telegram" in the sense that it meticulously describes Moscow's intended means for sustainably containing US-led threats to its national security.

Observers should remember that it didn't have to come to this but that Russia literally had no choice lest it eventually end up blackmailed by the US through nuclear means. President Putin hinted at this in his cited event from 21 December when he admitted that "what they are doing, or trying or planning to do in Ukraine, is not happening thousands of kilometres away from our national border. It is on the doorstep of our house. They must understand that we simply have nowhere further to retreat to."

With "nowhere further to retreat" and the US refusing to resort to the diplomatic means proposed by Russia for resolving that missile crisis that America itself initiated, it was obvious in hindsight that Moscow would be compelled to act through the same military-technical means that it vaguely warned about earlier in order to ensure the integrity of its national security red lines. This fact confirms the legitimacy of President Putin's reference to [Article 51 of the UN Charter](#) for justifying his operation.

Those who truly support the inherent democratic right of all states to govern and develop themselves according to however their internationally recognized leaders deem fit, as well as to defensively ensure their national security in the face of unprovoked foreign threats, should therefore support Russia's special operation in Ukraine. Moscow's aims aren't to further destabilize the world but to finally restore stability to it after Washington unilaterally undermined the strategic state of affairs.

The Eurasian Great Power is employing its international legal right to self-defense, not only in protection of its own national security red lines and the humanitarian interests of the Donbass people, but also for the sake of the entire world. [Had Russia submitted](#) to the US'

attempted nuclear blackmail, Washington would have immediately set its sights on China, after which it would have restored its declining unipolar hegemony over the planet if it subsequently succeeded in strategically neutralizing that country too.

For these reasons, Russia's cause is just and fully in line with the spirit of the UN Charter that officially decrees the equality of nations and the inadmissibility of one such as the US allegedly ensuring its own security at anyone else's expense like Russia's (and China's for that matter too). Moscow isn't a so-called "revisionist power", Washington is, as all that Russia wants to do is return to the international order enshrined by the UN and earlier agreed to by the US itself at that time as well.

It was solely the US' globally destabilizing pursuit of unipolar hegemony following the USSR's dissolution at the end of the Old Cold War that resulted in everything reaching the dire point that it's presently at. America is therefore unquestionably the truly revisionist power that it's deceitfully attempted to gaslight the world into thinking that Russia is through its global network of "perception managers". The impending success of Russia's special operation in Ukraine will therefore restore stability to the world.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch\_crg. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

*This article was originally published on [OneWorld](#).*

*Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare.*

*He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image is from SouthFront*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2022

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road

connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)