

## Will Rogue Fundamentalist Christian Military Leaders Start a Nuclear War in the Middle East?

By Washington's Blog

Global Research, May 19, 2012

Washington's Blog 18 May 2012

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Theme: Religion, US NATO War Agenda

## Before You Write Off This Threat ... Read This

Russian Prime Minister Dmitry Medvedev said that if the U.S. invades the sovereignty of countries like Syria or Iran, it could <u>lead to nuclear war</u>. And <u>see this.</u>

Russia and China have previously stated that an attack on Iran would be considered a <u>direct</u> threat to their national security.

And Iran and Syria have had a <u>mutual defense pact</u> for years. <u>China and Russia</u> might also defend Syria if it is attacked. So an attack on Syria could draw Iran into the war ... followed by China and Russia.

Of course, while the Middle Eastern wars are mainly <u>driven by oil</u> (and perhaps <u>protecting</u> <u>the dollar</u>) – and while <u>real conservatives are anti-war</u> – many in the U.S. military view the wars as a <u>literal crusade</u>, and see <u>Islam itself</u> as their mortal enemy.

For example, Wired <u>reported</u> last week:

The U.S. military taught its future leaders that a "total war" against the world's 1.4 billion Muslims would be necessary to protect America from Islamic terrorists, according to documents obtained by Danger Room. Among the options considered for that conflict: using the lessons of "Hiroshima" to wipe out whole cities at once, targeting the "civilian population wherever necessary."

If this sounds nuts, remember that <u>millions of evangelical Christians want to start WWIII to speed the "second coming"</u> ... and atheist Neocons are using religion to rile them up to justify war against Iran.

And Professor Michel Chossudovsky documents that the U.S. is so enamored with nuclear weapons that it has <u>authorized low-level field commanders to use them in the heat of battle in their sole discretion</u> ... without any approval from civilian leaders.

What could possibly go wrong?

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2012

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Washington's

**Blog** 

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>