

## Wikileaks Says They Have 1,700 Emails Proving Hillary Clinton Knew about U.S. Military Weapons Shipments to Al Qaeda and ISIS

By <u>Tara West</u> Global Research, April 11, 2018 <u>Inquisitr</u> 11 August 2016 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Terrorism</u>

**Among Global Research's most popular articles in 2016.** First published *on August 14, 2016* 

Wikileaks head Julian Assange says he has proof that Hillary Clinton lied under oath while giving a public testimony following the 2013 Benghazi terrorist attack. Assange says Wikileaks has 1,700 emails proving Clinton's statements that she was not involved or aware of any sale of weapons to Syrian "rebels" were a lie. In fact, Assange notes that the former Secretary of State was fully aware of the United States' involvement in arming rebels in Libya in a bid to help them overtake Qaddafi. Ultimately, it is alleged that those same weapons then made their way to the Islamic State in Syria.

In a *Democracy Now* interview, <u>Wikileaks' Julian Assange</u> claimed that the first batch of Hillary Clinton emails was only the beginning. Assange made the bold claims that his organization has more Clinton emails and that the next batch will be even more damning for the former Secretary of State and presidential hopeful. Wikileaks says that the emails contain proof that Hillary Clinton has lied under oath and that she was fully aware of weapons shipments to Al Qaeda and the Islamic State rebels.

The National Review points out that Wikileaks seems to be honing in on statements made by then-Secretary of State <u>Hillary Clinton</u> following the 2013 Benghazi terrorist attack. In a public testimony, Secretary Clinton claimed that she had no knowledge of weapons transfers to Libya, Turkey, or Syria in the months leading up to the terrorist attack. Clinton said "I don't have any information on that" when pressed about her personal knowledge of the weapons transfers.

She claimed to have no knowledge of any transfers of weapons from Libya to Turkey, Syria, or any other countries.

It was Senator Rand Paul that asked Clinton the damning question when he pointed out that there were news reports of ships leaving Libya with weapons and asked Clinton if she was aware of these transfers. He specifically asked if she or the United States had been involved in "any procuring of weapons, transfer of weapons, buying, selling, anyhow transferring weapons to Turkey out of Libya." In response to the question, Clinton tried to deflect by saying "nobody has ever raised that with me." However, upon further pressing, Senator Paul asked directly if Clinton personally knew of any such transfers which she flatly denied. "US government, at the time that HRC was in charge did use Libya as a conduit to get arms to Jihadists in Syria,"<u>https://t.co/Fbn3lbFozA</u>

— Daniel Lindsey (@PatriotByGod) <u>7 August 2016</u>

It is important to note that Hillary Clinton was under oath when she answered the question from Senator Paul; therefore, if her statements were proven false it would mean she was guilty of lying under oath. Therefore, Julian Assange's claims that he has numerous emails which implicate Clinton in the weapons transfer, it could mean that the presidential hopeful may need to do some serious damage control.

Wikileaks reveals:<u>@HillaryClinton</u> earned a staggering \$100,000 whilst arming ISIS militants in Syria <u>pic.twitter.com/ay9kuBBcQS</u>

- Politweecs (@Politweecs) <u>6 August 2016</u>

The contents of the emails have not yet been released, but many say they are expecting an "October surprise" from Wikileaks and that the latest leak will happen very soon. If Assange is telling the truth, the leak could prove extremely detrimental to Clinton's presidential bid as she is already struggling with voter trust following the first batch of emails showing the DNC had favored Clinton during the primaries.

PROOF Hillary Armed Jihadists in Syria Is in the WikiLeaks Hillary Emails Newly Released <u>https://t.co/eTR4Mc0zTY</u>

— Activist Post (@ActivistPost) <u>11 August 2016</u>

What do you think about Wikileaks' claims that they have proof Hillary Clinton lied under oath? If they have the information, should they release it quickly as the November general election is quickly approaching?

The original source of this article is <u>Inquisitr</u> Copyright © <u>Tara West</u>, <u>Inquisitr</u>, 2018

**Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page** 

**Become a Member of Global Research** 

## Articles by: Tara West

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca