

Why the April 14th Missiles Invasion of Syria Was a Historic Downward Turning Point for American Hegemony

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, April 17, 2018

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Military and WMD, US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

The April 14th invasion was the apogee, the turning-point downward, for American hegemony, the end of the mono-polar world or U.S. dictatorship that [ever since 24 February 1990](#) the U.S. Government has imposed on all of its foreign allies for them to join the U.S in imposing against Russia — the only other nuclear super-power and thereby the only effective counter-weight to America's global dictatorship. The strategy, ever since that time, has been to pick off, one-by-one, Russia's allies, and bring them into NATO, so as to place missiles right on and near Russia borders, and, effectively, compel Russia to surrender to the will of America's leaders.

The embarrassing ineffectiveness of the April 14th invasion — [it didn't even get the OPCW, Organization for the Prevention of Chemical Weapons, to cancel its planned investigation](#) of the evidence in Douma Syria regarding whether it was Assad and Putin who have been lying, or instead Trump and his foreign allies who were lying — caps what is actually the U.S. Government's defeat in its decades-long plan for global hegemony, global dictatorship.

The [Washington Post reported, on April 16th](#), that Trump is angry at his "aides" for their falsehoods, misrepresentations to him about what the result would be if he were to select a particular policy-option — their false advice and predictions on the basis of which he had made the decisions that he had decided, on the basis of their advice, to make, and which results did not happen as they had predicted. It reported that:

Growing angrier, Trump insisted that his aides had misled him about the magnitude of the expulsions [of Russian diplomats]. "There were curse words," the official said, "a lot of curse words."

In that news-article was made clear that his "aides" had persuaded him that if he expelled lots of Russian diplomats, he would satisfy not only the American newsmedia but the leaders of allied countries, which would then expel at least as many diplomats as the U.S., in order for the U.S. President to be able to be leading a real "alliance" against Russia, and not to be, essentially, pursuing a go-it-alone anti-Russia policy (and thus hardly any global dictator at all).

The *WP* article indicated that Trump strongly wants to win Putin's support for his anti-Syria and anti-Iran policies (apparently, Trump is *100% committed to the policies of the royal Saud family and of Israel's aristocracy, to conquer both Iran and Syria*), and that Trump is

dumbfounded that European leaders are *not following through* with the necessary support (of Saudi Arabia's and of Israel's policies). (Though [George Herbert Walker Bush had intended on 24 February 1990 that the U.S. would be the global dictator](#); Israel and the Saudis jointly took control of the U.S. Government, and Trump is even more committed to those two aristocracies than Obama was. And, yet, even with the U.S. aristocracy's support, the Saudis and Israel are failing to achieve their demands.)

Russia resists, and [now no reasonable person can question — despite America's spending ten times what Russia does on the military — that Russia's weapons are vastly more reliable than America's are](#). (If you click on the video, start viewing at around 1:30:00.) This is a failure also of the corrupt leaderships of America's allies that joined in the invasion, who now can know that [in any country where their billionaires can make profits from war \(e.g., Lockheed Martin, BAE, etc.\), corruption will flourish even more than 'defense' spending does](#). Why are the Saudis and Israelis being served by America's foreign policies (against Syria and Iran)? It's because that is the way for America's aristocracy to generate wars and to cause the profits of [the hundred top military contractors](#) to soar. The only winners are those super-rich who are on the taking side of their government's expenditures — and, secondarily, their mega-bankers who benefit also from those nations' continuously soaring debts to fund the permanent-war-for-permanent-'peace' economies. Aristocracies thrive on nothing so much as the sale, to other governments, of wars, and of debts — other governments are their essential market. If an aristocracy's allies don't buy, that aristocracy will die. Mainly, aristocrats sell to governments weapons, and then sell, to the public, those governments' debts.

Finally, [the massive corruption in the U.S. military](#) (what Eisenhower had called the "military-industrial complex") has come home to roost. Not even the projected increases in U.S. 'defense' spending (and soaring federal debts) will be able to address the problem — the only result of doing yet more of the same will simply be yet further escalation of the corruption, and of the foreign corpses, cripples, and refugees. For only the most corrupt to be on the receiving end of the benefits cannot be sustained forever. The aristocracies are coming to the end of their tethers, and won't be able to grab all that they crave to have (which is everything). [The U.S. aristocracy's dream of establishing "Nuclear Primacy"](#) is likewise just a fantasyland.

Russia is now [making the commitment to its allies clearer, upping the ante](#), but if the only allies that the U.S. can get behind its campaign for the Saudis and Israel remain UK and France, then perhaps Trump will prefer a face-saving way out, over invading Russia. However, given the unlimited greed of Trump's masters, there could be a nuclear war even without any expectation that it would have a 'winner'. For some people, being (or remaining) king of the hill is even more important than staying alive. Unless some NATO members quit the alliance, the prospect of avoiding World War III will remain unlikely. If Turkey quits NATO, that optimistic prospect will suddenly become likely. Turkey's President Erdogan holds the most important cards of all.

[The Saker remains pessimistic:](#)

Facts [such as [this](#) and [this](#)] simply don't matter. And neither does logic. All that matters are perceptions!

And the perception is that "we" (the AngloZionist rulers and their serfs)

“kicked” Assad’s “ass” and that “we” will “do it again” if “we” feel like it. That is all that matters in the Empire of Illusions which the AngloZionist Hegemony has become.

The failed missiles-invasion of Syria might be a turning-point in reality; but, if it’s not also a turning-point in [the way that Western publics view reality](#), then WW III will probably come soon. Can the human [lemmings](#) be fooled all the way off the cliff? Fooled much farther, we’ll all fall.

*

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They’re Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST’S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#). He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They’re Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST’S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca