

Why Russia, Which Spends One-Twentieth What America Does on Military, Is Militarily More Successful Than America

By Eric Zuesse

Global Research, December 27, 2022

Region: Russia and FSU, USA
Theme: Militarization and WMD

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Whereas in America (which makes and sells <u>half of all of the world's war-weapons</u>), the manufacturers of war-weaponry are privately owned and succeed only by enriching their investors, Russia's manufacturers of war-weapons are majority-owned by the Government and succeed only by winning Russia's wars — serving the Government, which controls these companies, instead of serving private investors, who control the Government itself by lobbying and political donations.

In America, the arms-manufacturers control the Government in order to control their markets, which are their own Government and secondarily its 'allied' or vassal-Governments (which also buy their products). Russia never privatized its war-industries; so, they serve the Government — the public, not investors (who, in turn, control the Government in order to be able to control those corporations).

Ever since America's failed war against Vietnam, the U.S. Government has been accustomed to military failure. That war began in 1954 when President Eisenhower <u>"sent 700 military advisers to South Vietnam"</u> without seeking congressional approval, and in violation of the U.S. Constitutional requirement for there to be no U.S. standing army and thus explicitly that *only* after a congressional declaration of war can a President send any U.S. forces to any foreign country.

Ike relied upon his immediate predecessor President Truman's precedent of having done the same thing (violated the Constitution) by sending a force for "police action" (lying that it wasn't military) to start his war to conquer Korea by enforcing <u>Truman's General Order #1</u>, on 17 August 1945, to stop North Korea's forces from advancing into South Korea.

Ike then hid from JFK this and other traps that were awaiting him in Vietnam, and in Cuba. According to JFK's description of his 19 January 1960 meeting with Ike prior to assuming office, JFK said, regarding Vietnam, "Eisenhower never mentioned it, never uttered the word

<u>Vietnam."</u> Ike never mentioned the 700 troops in Vietnam, but JFK found that he had inherited that.

So, "In May 1961, Kennedy sent 500 more American advisers to Vietnam" — he just continued lke's unConstitutional policy there; it was the path of least resistance. Soon, however, he learned that it was a dreadful mistake, and that lke had silently left minefields for him and had aimed to get his successors blamed for lke's disastrous decisions (which Kennedy learned too late). "By early November 1963, the number of US military advisers had reached 16,000", but Kennedy already knew that he was trapped and would be attacked especially by Republicans if he changed course. He was just learning the ropes, and starting to think about how to change course, when he got assassinated (and his V.P. Johnson continued those errors).

That war ended in a U.S. defeat when President Ford withdrew the last U.S. troops from Vietnam by helicopters on 30 April 1975. (He, of course, failed to win election. His successor, Carter, then failed to win re-election, due to Ike's having stolen Iran in 1953 and Carter's suffering the resultant Iranian anti-American fervor in 1979, which doomed his campaign and brought in President Reagan.)

Afterward, the only successful <u>U.S. wars</u> were two very limited ones, both waged by President GHW Bush: one to seize and capture Panama's leader and drug-trafficker Manuel Noriega on 3 January 1990; the other to <u>expel Iraq's forces from Kuwait during 24-28 February 1991</u>.

America's other wars were failures, or, at best, partial failures:

- Grenada in October 1983,
- Beirut in 1983-4,
- Libya in 1986 and again in 2011,
- Somalia 1992-95,
- Haiti 1994-5,
- Yugoslavia 1995-2000,
- Afghanistan 2001-2021,
- Philippines 2002-2017,
- Iraq 2003-22,
- Libya 2011-2022,
- Syria 2012-2022, and
- Ukraine (coup) 2014-2022.

(They all were successes for America's arms-makers, however.)

None of America's invasions after WW II was justified, nor was any of them in accord with America's Constitution.

All of them were done in order to use, test-out, and to wear-out and replace, U.S. weapons, so as to increase sales-volumes and enrich political donors, who got the congresspeople elected that they wanted elected, to vote for these invasions and for the military appropriations. The system succeeded at what it was designed to do: produce profits for those American international corporations, whose owners control the U.S. Government.

And then there are the U.S. regime's many other undeclared wars, which are partnerships with U.S.-allied regimes in which America supplies only the weaponry and training but no direct fighters, such as in America's partnership with the Sauds to grab control over Yemen — causing mass starvation in Yemen. (It burns up lots of U.S. weapons, thus likewise pumping U.S. weapons-sales and profits.)

Russia has been spending \$70 billion annually on its entire military; America has been spending (not just in its 'Defense' Department but in *all*Departments) <u>around \$1.5 trillion per year</u>, for its military.

In crucial military technologies, Russia is the world's leader.

For example, on December 23rd, South Front headlined <u>"KINZHAL HYPERSONIC MISSILE PROVED TO BE UNSTOPPABLE IN UKRAINE: RUSSIAN MILITARY CHIEF"</u>, and it's no mere brag by Russia; it is true. (Such missiles would be unstoppably in *any* nation.)

Furthermore, on November 14th, I headlined <u>"U.S. GAO Finds Failure Is the Norm in U.S. Military Aircrafts"</u>. That's what happens when the military manufacturers serve their investors *instead of*the public.

On June 17th, U.S. military expert Alex Vershinin headlined at the UK's Royal United Services Institute, <u>"The Return of Industrial Warfare"</u>, about how inferior America is militarily as compared to Russia, and he argued — without mentioning or even showing any *awareness* of it — that America's replacing industrialization (the manufacturing economy) with financialization (the financial-services economy) had done this (hollowed-out America's military).

I would argue more *specifically* that the resultant <u>intense corruptness in America's military</u> has done this.

The U.S. 'Defense' Department is the ONLY federal Department that <u>can't be audited</u>.

Its opportunities for graft are unlimited (or else are limited only by the value of the dollar, which would mean that the dollar's international value is bound to crash and collapse some day, as having been the ultimate Ponzi scheme). In fact, <u>trillions of dollars in spending by the Pentagon simply cannot be traced</u>. Nobody knows, or can find out, where it went.

Another reason why Russia gets far higher bang for each military buck spent is that whereas America's military is designed to expand the American empire throughout the world, Russia's is designed to protect the nation's sovereign independence and to ward-off America's constant (ever since 1945) aim to turn Russia into yet another U.S. 'ally' (vassalnation).

Whereas America's billionaires drive America's military for increasing their empire, the Russian population drive Russia's military for the nation's protection and very survival.

There is no evidence — none — that Russia, spending \$70 billion annually on its military, is militarily inferior to America, which spends \$1.5 trillion per year on its military.

In a country that spends 20 times more for its military but gets military inferiority instead of military superiority, <u>deceiving the public</u> is essential (in order to function as being a 'democracy', which the U.S. Government needs in order to be able to call any country it

aims to take over — or regime-change — a "dictatorship"). And that is the way it is done, and has been done, for decades, now. So, the military has been, at least since 2001, the highest-respected institution of all, by the American people. The trick has been very successful.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on **The Duran**.

Investigative historian **Eric Zuesse's** new book, <u>AMERICA'S EMPIRE OF EVIL: Hitler's Posthumous Victory</u>, and Why the Social Sciences Need to Change, is about how America took over the world after World War II in order to enslave it to U.S.-and-allied billionaires. Their cartels extract the world's wealth by control of not only their 'news' media but the social 'sciences' — duping the public. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image: Ukraine has began fielding US M777 howitzers to repel Russia

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Eric Zuesse, Global Research, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Eric Zuesse**

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca