

Further Erosion of American Civil Liberties. Why Renew the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act

Part II

By [Renee Parsons](#)

Global Research, February 28, 2020

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

As a mid March deadline approaches, the mad scramble is on for Congress to rubber stamp a 'clean' renewal of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act ([FISC](#)) without amendment.

*At every opportunity since 2001, Congress has **used the re-authorization process to expand both the Patriot Act and the [FISA Court's](#) authority in a further erosion of American civil liberties.***

Over the years, [Fourth Amendment protections](#) have been eviscerated allowing government access into every nook and cranny of American life.

Read Part I here:



[Why Renew the "Ultra Secret" Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act \(FISA\) Court?](#)

By [Renee Parsons](#), February 21, 2020

Those expansions of authority with bipartisan Congressional support who **claim to value liberty and freedom except when it comes to conducting widespread surveillance of Americans.** The Court has functioned unscathed as the Dems historically split 50-50 in their support for civil liberty and surveillance votes while almost 100% of Republicans have supported the mass surveillance of the American people under the illusion of a national security threat. **It is worth noting that the US is the world's leader in surveillance of its own citizens.**

It might be expected that any politician who wraps themselves in the American flag would find US surveillance repugnant but that has not been the case as the House [Freedom Caucus's](#) avid support for the Surveillance State has demonstrated.

The following are recent Congressional roll-call votes [reauthorizing](#) the FISA Court:

- 2008 Senate vote [32 - 66](#); House vote [293 - 129](#)

- **2012 Senate vote [73 - 23](#); House vote [301-118](#)**
- **2018 Senate vote [65 - 34](#); House vote [256 - 164](#)**

Despite its original intent in 1978 to provide [oversight](#) into government surveillance on American citizens, the FISA Court has remained super secret and obscure from public awareness, resistant to meaningful Congressional oversight and largely immune from real accountability while unilateral in its authority. In other words, **hands off the Court while the black-robed wizards labor in dark places about mysterious matters delving into the lives of anonymous Americans who remain unaware of the intrusion - and Congress has dutifully obeyed.**

As a result of the [IG Report on FISA Abuse](#)s revealing the FBI's egregious misconduct and the Court's unwillingness to protect its jurisdiction, there is a bit of groundswell in Congress demanding 'significant' amendments to the Court's authority. Whether that groundswell materializes or evaporates into obscurity, remains to be seen.

The question remains whether any amount of 'reform' can make the FISA Court acceptable from a Constitutional perspective or whether continued existence of the Court is necessary **given its unabashed record of facilitating near-unanimous approval to conduct surveillance**, in other words, the Court is needed for the mindless approval of surveillance applications to **create the false impression for a gullible public that there is an independent Constitutionally-valid process at work.**

No matter what changes are made to the Court, the process **approving surveillance will always be subservient to the political whim of the day.** It is essential to recognize that automatic approvals are indicative of a **judicial system complicit with a rigged law enforcement agency more committed to increased surveillance as a means to justify its existence as well as to assure budget and staff increases.** The ease with which the Bureau manipulated the moribund Court ([FISC](#)) into approving flawed applications suggests that unless profound changes are made to the FBI/DOJ, the current crisis is doomed to repeat itself.

With the Russiagate fiasco and the IG Report on FISA leading to Spycgate, an impeachment charge depending on a covert whistleblower of suspect intentions and origin, it might be expected that the upcoming Court re-authorization ought to, especially for House Republicans, be a subject of fierce debate who have learned first hand, in a way that the Dems did not, that **surveillance is a nasty business fraught with unintended consequences.**

While Attorney General Bill Barr favors a 'clean' renewal bill with reforms being enacted at the Department level, Sen Lindsay Graham (R-SC) who is more focused on his re-election, agrees to [postpone](#) any substantive changes to some future time. However, on the House side, it is an entirely different scenario with sleeping bi-partisan tigers finally awakened to the implications of how the FISA Court process does not serve the American people and how easily it can be manipulated.

Judiciary Committee member [Rep. Zoe Lofgren](#) (D-Calif) has five amendments to further strengthen 'reforms' to the Committee's bill, all of which were [rebuffed](#) by Committee Chair Jerrold Nadler at the [urging](#) of House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and Intel Chair Adam Schiff (D-Calif). Scutlebutt says that the House Judiciary bill is more of a Schiff 'wish list' with

watered down civil liberty tokens.

It is essential to recognize that government surveillance; that is, to secretly spy into another's private life is never done with good intentions or with the innocence of a harmless outcome. As the American Empire struggles to survive, spying, in all its nefarious forms, is a gross violation of elementary principles of fairness and ethics in a moral, just and civil society. It is ironic that Americans, as the most surveilled people on the planet, also pay for their own surveillance through their tax dollars.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Renee Parsons has been a member of the ACLU's Florida State Board of Directors and President of the ACLU Treasure Coast Chapter. She has been an elected public official in Colorado, an environmental lobbyist with Friends of the Earth and staff member in the US House of Representatives in Washington, DC. Renee is also a student of the Quantum Field. She can be reached at #reneedove31. She is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Renee Parsons](#), Global Research, 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Renee Parsons](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca