

# Why Are Ethiopia's Wheat Imports Being Politicized?

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, October 29, 2021

Region: [sub-Saharan Africa](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Terrorism](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg\\_globalresearch](#).

\*\*\*

*What's happening is that foreign forces aim to instrumentalize this issue so as to manufacture doubts among the international community about Ethiopia's commitment to ensuring food security for its people, especially those in Tigray. This is intended to advance the 'genocide' narrative that's meant to maximize foreign pressure on its government in an attempt to coerce it into unilateral political concessions.*

Ethiopian **Prime Minister Abiy Ahmed**'s pragmatic remarks in late October about reducing his country's wheat imports were maliciously misreported by some foreign media. The UK's Telegraph falsely headlined an article at the time titled “[Ethiopian PM Threatens To Stop Food Aid Entering The Country](#)”, which propagated the weaponized information warfare narrative that he's personally carrying out a so-called “genocide” in the terrorist-controlled northern Tigray Region that's presently occupied by the TPLF.

To their credit, the BBC was more balanced in their piece about this at the end of the month titled “[Ethiopia Food Crisis: Why Does PM Have A Problem With Wheat Aid?](#)”. That outlet accurately reported on his words warning about the risk of importing agricultural diseases from such aid as well as his spokeswoman's clarification about him “talking more generally about the need to move away from wheat and towards healthier local produce.” Nevertheless, their dramatic description of this as a “crisis” contributes to fearmongering.

What's happening is that foreign forces aim to instrumentalize this issue so as to manufacture doubts among the international community about Ethiopia's commitment to ensuring food security for its people, especially those in Tigray. This is intended to advance the “genocide” narrative that's meant to maximize foreign pressure on its government in an attempt to coerce it into [unilateral political concessions](#). Particularly, the plan seems to be comprehensively erode the country's sovereignty by making it more dependent on others in all respects.

To elaborate, even the comparatively more balanced BBC tried to sow the seeds of doubt in their piece by writing that PM Abiy's spokeswoman's response “doesn't explain why the government only has an issue with imported wheat and not the locally produced crop, which provides three-quarters of the country's overall supply.” According to the outlet, locally

produced crops might also spread certain diseases, so they're implying that Ethiopia has ulterior motives in sharing its explanation. This might fuel the "genocide" conspiracy theory.

In reality, not only is no so-called "genocide" occurring in the Tigray Region – and if anything, ethnic cleansing and disturbing signs of a genuine genocide are evidenced through the TPLF's indiscriminate killings of non-ethnic-Tigrayans in the Afar and Amhara Regions that they invaded over the summer – but Ethiopia isn't weaponizing its wheat. To the contrary, it ambitiously aspires to become more agriculturally self-sufficient in order to reduce its dependence on such imports that hostile foreign forces could weaponize against it.

Despite its representatives' regular rhetoric to the contrary, the West is actually opposed to Global South nations like Ethiopia ever sustainably ensuring food security. One underdiscussed aspect of the [American Hybrid War on Ethiopia](#) is how it politicizes agricultural issues – in this case food aid – as part of its increasingly intensifying information war against that country. Not only does it understand how emotive this issue is for the international audience in terms of shaping their perceptions, but it also knows its importance inside Ethiopia.

The BBC's report notes that the country imports a quarter of its wheat, approximately a quarter of which (so around 6% of its total) it receives as food aid, mostly from the US. Although this ratio might not seem too significant, it can still have a powerful impact on domestic stability during the ongoing conflict if it's instrumentalized to put further pressure on the government. This agricultural aspect of the American Hybrid War on Ethiopia is also inextricably connected to the economic war against it.

As Ethiopia attempts to reduce its dependence on foreign food aid, it must first seriously consider switching suppliers in order to not remain as vulnerable to the West's possible weaponization of this aid during the interim. Russia has become an [agricultural superpower](#) in recent years, ironically enough largely due to its response to Western sanctions according to President Putin during his [remarks](#) at the latest Valdai Club plenary session. It should therefore have more than enough supply to meet Ethiopia's needs.

The Eurasian Great Power is incomparably more politically reliable than the West as evidenced by its support of Ethiopia during its ongoing anti-terrorist campaign in Tigray. The two countries even signed a [military agreement](#) over the summer which aims to revive their Soviet-era strategic partnership. From the Ethiopian perspective, it would be wise to rely more on Russian wheat imports – including through possible food aid – than on Western ones while it transitions towards sustainably ensuring its food security, which will take time.

Altogether, PM Abiy's comments about wheat shouldn't be politicized, but praised. He deserves to be applauded for his ambitious vision of reducing Ethiopia's dependence on foreign imports. Precisely because this is against Western interests, especially in the context of the American Hybrid War on Ethiopia, the issue is being politicized so as to manipulate foreign perceptions of him and his government. Be that as it may, the reality is that Ethiopia is becoming more sovereign under his leadership, which can inspire other African countries.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg\_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site,

internet forums. etc.

*This article was originally published on [OneWorld](#).*

**Andrew Korybko** is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

*Featured image is from OneWorld*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2021

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

### About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)