

# Why Does the West Allow the Ukrainian Government to Write the Official Report on the Shoot-Down of MH-17?

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, November 21, 2014

Region: [Russia and FSU, USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

*There are only two suspects in the shoot-down of the MH-17 Malaysian airliner over Ukraine on July 17th: the separatist rebels, whom the Ukrainian Government charge had shot it down mistaking it for one of the Ukrainian Air Force bombers that routinely drop bombs onto the separatists and their families and [indiscriminately onto everyone else in that region](#); or otherwise the Ukrainian Air Force itself, as a means for President Obama to be able to win increased international sanctions against Russia for Russia's support of those blamed rebels.*

That's it, and that's all.

One of these two suspects, the Ukrainian Government, was granted by the other three member-states of the official MH-17 'investigating' commission, a veto-power over anything that's written into that 'investigating' report.

In other words, basically what exists is this:

The Ukrainian Government gets to write the official 'investigation' report on that 'accident.' The other three Obama-allied nations will place their signatures onto it — or else there simply won't be any such 'final report.'

This agreement on Ukraine's veto-power was signed on August 8th, by Ukraine, Australia, Belgium, and Netherlands, the four member-nations of the official 'investigation.'

This fact, of a Ukrainian veto, was made public on August 12th, in [an obscure Ukrainian announcement](#).

Then, on August 23rd, [the first English-language news report on it was published at Global Research](#).

The very next day, the present writer [published a news-report placing that secret agreement into a fuller context](#).

And, just today, on November 20th, [Russian Television has reported](#) that the four-member 'investigating' team are still refusing to say anything about the earlier news reports that the Ukrainian Government possesses this veto-power.

Do Western Governments, and their now (in the wake of [Obama's coup](#)) client-state of Ukraine, really think that the public are so stupid as not to recognize that this is an admission by the West (i.e., by the U.S. President and his various international stooges) that

Russia was framed by themselves and their colleague U.S. client-states, into those economic sanctions?

That's the basic question, now, isn't it?

How many 'reporters' in the Western 'news' media are asking it? Why do their bosses refuse to allow them to ask it?

Here is why: If 'journalists' don't report it, then who's to blame, for the public's not knowing it, isn't really their respective public (as any elite likes to claim: "Democracy is really just mobocracy"), but is instead those 'news' media themselves, for hiding this important information from them. So: that's what the 'news'-elite wants — an ignorant or misinformed public, a public that can be led around by the nose, like animals to their slaughter.

Western diplomacy has become a scam, basically. [Russia faces economic sanctions for what Obama did](#). And that's the news that none of the Western 'news' media will report.

This cover-up of that scam is, itself, very big news. How will Western 'news' media cover it? Or will they instead cover-up the cover-up; and, to the extent that they report about it at all, will they charge that the authentic news-reports about the matter, such as this one, are 'mere opinion pieces, not really news-stories at all,' and so simply ignore the solidly documented facts that are reported in these news-stories?

However, is it really fair to expect the public to form truth-based opinions about things of which they are intentionally being kept ignorant, and even outright deceived (such as [whether the sanctions against Russia are based on what they were told they were](#))?

Is the problem really democracy, as so many executives in the 'news' business say? Or is it actually oligarchy itself: that this 'democracy' is merely fake?

Will the Western 'news' media please, just for once, stand up and answer that? Or will they instead merely ignore it, as they ignore other vital truths?

How much longer can the public accept being raped by the press, and by their allegedly 'democratic' government?

How much longer *will* they, in fact, accept it?

But how can they *not* accept it, if they are being constantly lied-to? Is that what the Western press will continue to do?

Big news can have big consequences. But not so long as it's still being kept secret, and lied-about.

*Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#).*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2014

---

## [Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

## [Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

### About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of *They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010*, and of *CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity*.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)