

Why the Corporate State Doesn't Target the American Left

By [Ben Bartee](#)

Global Research, January 19, 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#).

When Biden’s executive branch sets its internal security apparatus sights on “domestic extremists” (intelligence jargon for any group or individual who deviates from the corporate state narrative), [The Daily Bell was among the first independent media to sound the alarm](#).

However, we didn’t rigorously assess why the populist Right, and not the Left, poses an existential threat to the ruling class.

The “establishment” references the conglomeration of dominant interest groups that sits atop the political food chain.

In a past era (in the Western context, before the original Industrial Revolution), the establishment was a landed aristocracy in collusion with the Church and militaries that ruled nation-states.

In the 21st century iteration of feudalism, the ruling class is a consortium of multinational offshore corporate elites.

Theoretically, regardless of who occupies power, any social group outside of the establishment is a latent threat – hence the oppressive apparatus of state.

In a rough 99% vs. 1% breakdown, if the vast majority or the “ruled” rose up against the tiny minority of “rulers,” their days perched atop the social hierarchy would be finished.

But, if the 99% can be chopped up into all manner of sub-groups – either along pre-existing cultural, racial, or political fault lines or artificial ones engineered by the state – and then

turned against one another, the status quo can be maintained.

The British perfected this method of rule - [often called “divide and conquer”](#) -in their management of colonial assets.

Politically, the population at large (outsiders of the establishment) in the United States is divided into two large groups: “Right” and “Left.”

Here is a brief breakdown of why, in the current social configuration, **the Left serves as the enforcement arm of the state to suppress the grassroots Right, which is correctly viewed as the only faction that is a threat to the power structure.**

#1: International vs. National Orientation

First, it’s imperative to understand that the United States, along with the rest of the West, is now under the effective control of a multinational corporate elite.

Piece by piece, sovereignty over decision-making is chipped away at the national level and handed over to international governing institutions like the UN, World Bank, World Economic Forum, WHO, et al.

We’ve explored this concept in greater detail in several pieces:

- [US Democracy is a Façade: There Are No Effective Constraints on Elites’ Behavior](#)
- [No Longer Right vs. Left, the Real #UNRIG Fight Is Elites vs. the Citizens](#)
- [Corporate or State Rule: ‘Vaccine Passports’ Coming to American Neighborhoods Either Way](#)
- [The Populist ‘Great Upset’: Decoupling From the Corporate State Deathgrip](#)

Cutting the legs out from insurgent nationalist movements, accordingly, is essential to moving the multinational corporate agenda ahead.

The Right is nationalist in its orientation, not internationalist. This is, as just one example, why the corporate media [intently demonized the UK Brexit separation from the European Union as “racist”](#) to taint the nationalist movement.

The inverse is true of the Left - especially as you move further to the fringes - which makes it a natural ally.



#2: The True Anti-Authoritarian, Anti-Establishment Left in America Is Dead

The corporate state certainly *would* target the Left if it posed a threat to its interests -- **except that there is no authentic anti-authoritarian Left still alive in the United States.**

Whatever “Left” remains has been thoroughly co-opted to serve the interests of the multinational oligarchy.



Leftist protests used to target multinational trade organizations, as happened in '99 anti-WTO riots in Seattle.



Then (1999): the “Black Bloc” in ‘99, fighting a class war that may have legitimately challenged the multinational corporate state (embodied by the WTO) if it had fostered popular support.



Now (2021): “Antifa” earlier this year showed up to [fight the culture war outside of an LA spa](#) where a “transgender woman” flashed her semi-erect penis at a mother and child in the women’s-only section.

The “transgender” perpetrator was later charged by the LAPD over the incident and exposed as a [convicted sex offender for previous indecent exposure crimes](#).

The victim (the mother) had the audacity to make a social media video about the trauma imposed on her daughter, which led to a [“trans rights” protest](#) against alleged rampant transphobia (in LA, one of the most “progressive” cities in America).

One of these things is not like the other:

- The **former version of the Left targeted the rape of the American economy** by multinational corporations that offshore American manufacturing jobs to generate profits (which, also, incidentally, was a [centerpiece talking point to Trump’s successful 2016 campaign](#) in which he defeated the entire GOP and

DNC establishment)

- The **modern version of the Left targets normal mothers who don't want their daughters wantonly exposed to men's genitalia** in public spas, fueling a culture war and distracting the population with nonsense while the oligarchs methodically implode the US economy

#3: The Left Is Hyper-focused on Identity 'Equity' Politics, Not Governmental or Corporate Overreach

The modern Left can be neutered (quite easily) with language such as "going green" or "promoting [insert protected minority group]'s rights."



When the [Department of Justice requested additional funds to combat "domestic extremism"](#) earlier this year, they pacified any potential resistance from the Left with such fig leaves. These came in the form of a variety of race and gender-based spending proposals:

"[Attorney General] Mr. Garland also said that the department sought \$1 billion... **[to] fund services for transgender survivors of domestic abuse, support women at historically Black colleges and in Hispanic and tribal institutions...."**

Given their unending obsession with identity politics, this stuff is catnip to the Left base.

#4: The Left Will Dependably Enforce the Vaxx/Lockdown Agenda

Action item #1 on the corporate state to-do list is the vaxx/lockdown agenda, the initial stages of the internationally-standardized [CCP-style digital control grid](#).



An antifa group protests in Brisbane, Australia over the weekend calling for stricter government action on Covid-19. pic.twitter.com/2ZT5qYBExU

— Andy Ngô 🇻🇳 (@MrAndyNgo) [October 17, 2021](#)

Reconciliation with far-gone elements of the "Left" is a losing cause – time for the remaining independent, undomesticated elements of civilization to de-rig with our own ["Great Reset" parallel society](#).

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on [The Daily Bell](#).

Ben Bartee is a Bangkok-based American journalist with opposable thumbs. Follow his stuff via his blog, [Armageddon Prose](#), [Substack](#), [Patreon](#), [Gab](#), and [Twitter](#).

All images in this article are from The Daily Bell

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Ben Bartee](#), Global Research, 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Ben Bartee](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca