

# Why Canada Should Leave NATO

By [Yves Engler](#)

Global Research, April 01, 2021

[Yves Engler](#) 31 March 2021

Region: [Canada](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

All Global Research articles **can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website”** drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

\*\*\*

*NATO is a bad influence. The North Atlantic Treaty Organization strengthens the worst tendencies of our political culture.*

Ricochet recently [reported](#) on internal government documents regarding a discussion about selling sensors for armed drones to Turkey. Last spring the Trudeau government approved an exemption to an arms export ban to Turkey, allowing Ontario-based L3Harris Wescam to sell its thermal surveillance and laser missile targeting technology. It was subsequently employed in the deadly conflict between Azerbaijan and Armenia over Nagorno-Karabakh.

In providing the exemption, government officials demonstrated concern about corporate interests and Canadian relations with a NATO ally.

“[The need](#) for cooperation among NATO partners was a major element of the justification for the carve-out that allowed Canadian tech to be transferred despite the stated ban,” reported Jon Horler.

This is not the first time NATO has been invoked to justify arms sales that fueled a war. In 1967 Prime Minister Lester Pearson responded to calls by opponents of the war in Vietnam to end the Defence Production Sharing Agreement, the arrangement under which Canada sold the US weapons, with the claim that to do so would imperil NATO. Lester Pearson claimed this “[would be](#) interpreted as a notice of withdrawal on our part from continental defence and even from the collective defence arrangements of the Atlantic alliance.”

NATO has also had a deleterious impact on nuclear weapons policy. In 2017 the government “[hid behind](#) Canada’s NATO membership”, according to NDP foreign critic H el ene Laverdi ere, when it [voted](#) against holding and then boycotted the 2017 UN Conference to Negotiate a Legally Binding Instrument to Prohibit Nuclear Weapons, Leading Towards their Total Elimination. In the lead-up to the resulting Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons entering into force, the nuclear armed alliance publicly criticized the TPNW. “[As the](#) Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, or ban treaty, nears entry into force, we collectively reiterate our opposition to this treaty,” noted a NATO statement. Despite 86 countries signing the treaty, Ottawa continues to refuse to adopt the UN Nuclear Ban.

The alliance also heightens pressure on the federal government to boost socially and

ecologically damaging military spending. In 2006 NATO countries adopted a pledge to put 2% of economic output into their military. Militarists regularly cite this arbitrary figure when pushing for greater military spending. Donald Trump and other US officials have repeatedly demanded Canada and other NATO countries spend 2% of GDP on the military. "[NATO Members](#) Ramp Up Defense Spending After Pressure From Trump", noted a recent *Bloomberg* headline.

NATO has also been used to push weapons procurement. Calling for expanding the jet fleet, senior military officials [told](#) the *Globe and Mail* in 2017 that "Canada's fighter fleet is not big enough to meet its NORAD and NATO obligations at the same time." The federal government's website justifies purchasing 15 Canadian Surface Combatants (CSC) ships - at a cost of [\\$77](#) billion to acquire and [\\$286](#) billion over its life-cycle - on the grounds they "[will be](#) able to perform a broad range of missions with" NATO and other alliances. On Lockheed Martin's site it says the "[CSC will](#) be fully interoperable with 5-eyes and NATO nations" and that its ship building "is based on 30+ years' experience and knowledge of Canadian and NATO naval operations."

In a history of the first century of the navy Marc Milner describes a series of reports in the mid-1960s concluding that the Royal Canadian Navy was "[too small](#) to meet Canada's NATO obligations" and should be expanded "to meet NATO and North American commitments."

NATO also draws Canada into foreign expeditions. A Canadian vessel currently [leads](#) Standing NATO Maritime Group One that is patrolling approximately 2,000 km from Canadian territory. It operates in the Baltic Sea, North Sea and Norwegian Sea while three other NATO Standing Naval Forces operate in the Black Sea, Mediterranean and elsewhere. Canada provides logistical support to NATO's Kosovo Force and Canadian soldiers are part of NATO Mission Iraq, which Canada led until recently. About [600](#) Canadians are part of a Canadian-led NATO mission on Russia's doorstep in Latvia.

Over the past two decades the alliance has drawn Canada into a number of violent conflicts. A Canadian general lead NATO's 2011 attack on Libya in which seven CF-18 fighter jets and two Canadian naval vessels participated. [Hundreds](#) of civilians were killed by NATO bombers and to this day the country remains divided.

During the 2000s 40,000 Canadian troops fought in a NATO war that left thousands dead in Afghanistan. While the stated rationale of the war was to neutralize al-Qaeda members and topple the Taliban regime, the Taliban remains a major actor in the country and Jihadist groups' influence has increased.

In 1999 Canadian fighter jets dropped 530 bombs in NATO's illegal 78-day bombing of Serbia. Over [500 civilians](#) were killed and hundreds of thousands displaced in bombing that destroyed critical infrastructure.

Sometimes it is necessary to stop hanging around with people who lead you astray. Get rid of the bad influences in your life. It's time Canada left the belligerent, militaristic, North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

On the eve of NATO's 72<sup>nd</sup> anniversary the Canadian Foreign Policy Institute will be hosting a discussion on "[Why Canada](#) should leave NATO"

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is [Yves Engler](#)  
Copyright © [Yves Engler](#), [Yves Engler](#), 2021

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Yves Engler](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)