

Who Will Pay for America's Torture Program? Law Suit against CIA Sponsored Psychologists

Going After the Shrinks

By Klaus Marre

Global Research, October 26, 2015

Who What Why 26 October 2015

Region: USA

Theme: Crimes against Humanity,

Intelligence, Law and Justice

Note: This article was originally published by Who What Why

In a clear violation of the Geneva Convention, the US made systematic torture a staple in its fight against terrorism. This revelation has resulted in international condemnation and lamentation, but nobody has been held accountable for torturing terror suspects — many of whom were released later without any charges having been filed. The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) hopes to change that.

"Barbaric"

On behalf of three torture victims, one of them who died while detained by the US, the <u>ACLU</u> <u>filed suit against James Elmer Mitchell and John "Bruce" Jessen</u> — two psychologists who helped devise the Central Intelligence Agency's (CIA) torture program.

"Mitchell and Jessen conspired with the CIA to torture these three men and many others," said Steven Watt, a senior staff attorney with the ACLU Human Rights Program.

"They claimed that their program was scientifically based, safe, and proven, when in fact it was none of those things. The program was unlawful and its methods barbaric. Psychology is a healing profession, but Mitchell and Jessen violated the ethical code of 'do no harm' in some of the most abhorrent ways imaginable."

The involvement of Mitchell and Jessen was revealed by the summary of the <u>Senate Select Committee on Intelligence's report on the torture program</u>, which found that the duo helped design the program even though neither of them "had experience as an interrogator, nor did either have specialized knowledge of al-Qaeda, a background in terrorism, or any relevant regional, cultural, or linguistic expertise."

Torture Program Architects Made Millions

Still, for coming up with a series of "enhanced interrogation" techniques — as torture deniers refer to the methods used — the two of them were paid more than \$1 million each. Their company, Mitchell, Jessen & Associates, received a total of \$81 million.

While the two psychologists made out like bandits, the "War on Terror" was less kind to the

plaintiffs in the lawsuit.

Gul Rahman, an Afghan citizen, lived in Pakistan until he was abducted in 2002 and sent to a secret CIA site in Afghanistan. He died of hypothermia after being tortured. At the time of his death, he was naked from the waist down, chained to a wall and forced to sit on the ground. According to the ACLU, his family has never been officially notified of his death, and his body has never been returned to them for burial.

Mohamed Ahmed Ben Soud, a native Libyan, is the second plaintiff. Captured during a joint US-Pakistani raid, he was sent to two secret CIA prisons in Afghanistan and tortured. Ben Soud identified Mitchell as one of the men who was there when he was "interrogated."

The third plaintiff is a Tanzanian man named Suleiman Abdullah Salim. He was also sent to CIA "black sites" in Afghanistan and tortured there. After being held for five years, he was released and received a document stating that he posed no threat to the United States.

The Lingering Effects of Torture

"The terrible torture I suffered at the hands of the CIA still haunts me. I still have flashbacks, but I've learned to deal with them with a psychologist who tries to help people, not hurt them." said Salim. "This lawsuit is about achieving justice. No person should ever have to endure the horrors that these two men inflicted."

The lawsuit alleges that the plaintiffs were subjected to (1) solitary confinement; (2) extreme darkness; (3) extreme cold; (4) noise; (5) repeated beatings; (6) starvation; (7) excruciatingly painful stress positions; (8) prolonged sleep deprivation; (9) confinement in coffin-like boxes; and (10) water torture.

Under the Alien Tort Statute, the ACLU is seeking at least \$75,000 in compensatory damages for each victim — less than $1/1000^{th}$ the defendants' firm received for its "work." That statute allows foreign nationals to sue in US courts if they were subject to a human rights violation committed outside the country.

"These psychologists devised and supervised an experiment to degrade human beings and break their bodies and minds," Dror Ladin, a staff attorney with the ACLU National Security Project, stated. "It was cruel and unethical, and it violated a prohibition against human experimentation that has been in place since World War II."

The American Psychological Association (APA) condemned Mitchell and Jessen after their involvement in the torture program became known late last year. At the time, APA President Nadine J. Kaslow stated that "if the allegations are true, they [Mitchell and Jessen] should be held accountable for inexcusable violations of ethical principles and legal standards." An independent investigation later found that the APA was also complicit in the US torture program.

The US judicial system rarely punishes those who violate national and international law — when the violators serve established interests. Indeed, whether it was the systematic torture of prisoners, or the spying on Americans by the NSA, the architects and authorizers of these programs have escaped all punishment.

The ACLU and its allies obviously realize that Mitchell and Jessen are cogs in a larger

machine. But they presumably hope their efforts will lead to accountability further up the chain.

The original source of this article is Who What Why Copyright © Klaus Marre, Who What Why, 2015

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Klaus Marre

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca