

# Who Wants to Be a Millionaire—Afghanistan Reconstruction Edition

By [Klaus Marre](#)

Global Research, April 29, 2015

[WhoWhatWhy](#) 28 April 2015

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#)

In-depth Report: [AFGHANISTAN](#)

*[Originally published by WhoWhatWhy](#)*

Image: Did US taxpayers foot the bill for this unfinished slaughterhouse? Photo credit: [Special IG for Afghanistan Reconstruction / Flickr](#)

*Forget Nigerian email scams. A new get-rich-quick game targets that quintessential aggregation of easy marks: US taxpayers.*

An Afghan contractor managed to get paid more than \$1.5 million—just to build parts of a wall, a water well and a column to support a guard tower. All of this was part of a \$12-million slaughterhouse construction project that was then... discontinued. This [fiasco was revealed in a new](#) report from the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR), an outfit that regularly cranks out reports about mind-boggling inefficiency, waste and corruption—reports that are largely ignored.

## **Project Was Epic Fail from the Start**

The slaughterhouse turned out to be unnecessary because an expected increase in Afghan National Army troop strength never materialized, and an existing facility could handle the demand.

The facility would have butchered common sense in any case. In its recommendation to terminate the project, the Combined Security Transition Command–Afghanistan (CSTC–A) noted that the planned slaughterhouse would have included refrigerated storage. The problem is that “the Afghans do not typically refrigerate meat, instead preferring fresh meat.”

Even if the Afghans had wanted refrigeration, the recommendation noted they “have demonstrated an inability to successfully maintain refrigerating equipment in the long term.”



Had the slaughterhouse been finished, it would likely not even have been used by the local community. Photo credit: [USAID Afghanistan / Flickr](#)

In any case, the whole thing was not, in a manner of speaking, *Kosher*: “When the slaughterhouse was first put out for bid it called for modern equipment that would not be considered *halal* and would not be used.” [Halal](#) refers to dietary regulations in accordance

with Islamic law, in this case meat that has been butchered appropriately.

So the proposed slaughterhouse turned out to:

- Not be needed in the first place;
- Feature refrigeration capacities the Afghans had no use for; and
- Include modern equipment that would not have allowed the meat to be prepared in a way that is considered halal, meaning that it would not have been prepared in accordance with Islamic law.

What does the military say about the decision to scrap construction?

### **Ensuring Fiscal Discipline**

“Cancelling the construction of the slaughterhouse potentially saves the Coalition Forces approximately \$10.5 million in new construction costs and potentially saves GIRoA [Afghan government] approximately \$264,000 per year in sustainment costs,” CSTC-A stated.

Putting a positive spin on the mess was clearly a priority. “Let’s make sure your report recognizes the savings here while attempting to find fault with the requirement,” US Army Major General Todd Semonite stated in a handwritten note to SIGAR. “Looking forward to your continued partnership as we both work to ensure fiscal discipline.”

\*\*\*

All this begs a larger question about such waste and incompetence, which, according to SIGAR, seems more the norm than the exception: Why do we continue tossing billions after billions down a sinkhole? Because the people in charge think no one is looking?

As *WhoWhatWhy* previously reported, out of \$56 billion that the Department of Defense has spent in Afghanistan, [only \\$21 billion can be accounted for](#). Then again, if US companies can get a big enough stake in [Afghanistan’s vast mineral resources](#), it’ll at least have been worth it to... *somebody*. Just not the American taxpayer.

And not the average, suffering Afghan.

So what exactly does \$1.5 million buy in Afghanistan these days? These [photos of the construction site](#) show the amount of work the contractor, AREEB-REC Joint Venture, did for the money.



An unfinished construction site. Photo credit: [Afghanistan Reconstruction / Flickr](#)

And the story doesn't end there. The contractor is requesting an *additional* payment of \$4.23 million for work already completed. A decision on that request is pending.

Panorama photo credits: [USAID Afghanistan / Flickr](#); [Omar Chatriwala / Flickr](#); [CSTC-A / Wikimedia](#).

The original source of this article is [WhoWhatWhy](#)  
Copyright © [Klaus Marre](#), [WhoWhatWhy](#), 2015

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Klaus Marre](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)