

Who Downed Russia's Metrojet Flight 9268?

By [Justin Raimondo](#)

Global Research, November 07, 2015

[AntiWar](#) 5 November 2015

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

Was it ISIS - or somebody else?

First they said the downing of Russian Metrojet Flight 9268 was most likely due to Russia's "[notorious](#)" regional airlines, which supposedly are rickety and unreliable. The Egyptian government denied that terrorism is even a possibility, with Egyptian despot Abdel Fatah al-Sisi [proclaiming](#):

When there is propaganda that it crashed because of Isis, this is one way to damage the stability and security of Egypt and the image of Egypt. Believe me, the situation in Sinai - especially in this limited area - is under our full control.

However, it soon came out that the person in charge of Sharm el-Sheikh airport, where the Russia plane had landed before taking off again, had been "replaced" - oh, but *not* because of anything to do with the downing of the Russian passenger plane! As the Egyptian authorities [put it](#):

Adel Mahgoub, chairman of the state company that runs Egypt's civilian airports, says airport chief Abdel-Wahab Ali has been 'promoted' to become his assistant. He said the move late Wednesday had nothing to do with media skepticism surrounding the airport's security. Mahgoub said Ali is being replaced by Emad el-Balasi, a pilot.

Laughable, albeit in a sinister way, and yet more evidence that something wasn't quite right: after all, everyone knows the Egyptian government does *not* have the Sinai, over which the plane disintegrated in mid air, under its "full control." ISIS, which [claimed responsibility](#) for the crash hours after it occurred, is [all over](#) that peninsula.

Still, the denials poured in, mostly from US government officials [such as](#) Director of National Intelligence James "[Liar-liar-pants-on-fire](#)" Clapper, who said ISIS involvement was "unlikely." Then they told us it couldn't have been ISIS because they supposedly don't have surface-to-air missiles that can reach the height attained by the downed plane. Yet that wasn't very convincing either, because a) How do they know what ISIS has in its arsenal?, and b) couldn't ISIS or some other group have [smuggled](#) a bomb on board?

The better part of a week after the crash, [we have this](#):

Days after authorities dismissed claims that ISIS brought down a Russian passenger jet, a U.S. intelligence analysis now suggests that the terror group or its affiliates [planted a bomb on the plane](#).

British Foreign Minister Philip Hammond said his government believes there is a 'significant possibility' that an explosive device caused the crash. And a Middle East source briefed on intelligence matters also said it appears likely someone placed a bomb aboard the aircraft.

According to numerous [news](#) reports, intercepts of "internal communications" of the Islamic State/ISIS group provided evidence that it wasn't an accident but a terrorist act. Those intercepts must have been available to US and UK government sources early on, yet these same officials said they had no "direct evidence," as Clapper put it, of terrorist involvement. Why is that? And furthermore: why the general unwillingness of Western governments and media to jump to their usual conclusion when any air disaster occurs, and attribute it to terrorism?

The answer is simple: they didn't want to arouse any sympathy for the Russians. Russia, as we all know, is The Enemy – considered even worse, in some circles, than the jihadists. Indeed, there's a whole section of [opinion-makers](#) devoted to the idea that we must *help* Islamist crazies in Syria, [including al-Qaeda's](#) affiliate, known as al-Nusra, precisely in order to stop the Evil Putin from extending Russian influence into the region.

In a broader sense, the reluctance to acknowledge that this was indeed a terrorist act is rooted in a refusal to acknowledge the commonality of interests that exists between Putin's Russia and the West. The downing of the Metrojet is just the latest atrocity carried out by the head-choppers against the Russian people: this includes not only the [Beslan school massacre](#), in which over 700 children were taken hostage by Chechen Islamists, but also the [five apartment bombings](#) that took place in 1999.

The real extent of Western hostility to Russia, and the unwillingness to realize that Russia has been a major terrorist target, is underscored by the [shameful propaganda](#) pushed by the late Alexander Litvinenko, and [endorsed by Sen. John McCain](#), which claims that the bombings were an "inside job" carried out by the Russian FSB – a version of "trutherism" that, if uttered in the US in relation to the 9/11 attacks, is routinely (and rightly) dismissed as sheer crankery. But where the Russians are concerned it's not only allowable, it's the default. A particularly egregious example is Russophobic hack Michael D. Weiss, who, days before the downing of the Russian passenger plane, solemnly informed us that Putin was "[sending jihadists to join ISIS](#)." Boy oh boy, talk about ingratitude!

This downright creepy unwillingness to express any sympathy or sense of solidarity with the Russian people ought to clue us in to something we knew all along: that the whole "war on terrorism" gambit is as phony as a three-dollar bill. If US government officials were actually concerned about the threat of terrorist violence directed at innocent civilians, they would partner up with Russia in a joint effort to eradicate the threat: that this isn't happening in Syria, or anywhere else, is all too evident. Not to mention our [canoodling](#) with "moderate" Chechen terrorists, openly encouraging them to carry on their war with Putin's Russia. Our "war on terrorism" is simply a pretext for spying on the American people, and most of the rest of the world, and cementing the power of the State on the home front, not to mention fattening up an already grotesquely obese "defense" budget.

With the belated admission that the downing of the Russian passenger jet was an act of terrorism, we are beginning to hear that this a tremendous [blow to Putin's prestige](#) at home – something no one would dare utter about Obama's or Cameron's "prestige" if the Metrojet had been an American or British passenger plane. They say it's "blowback" due to Russia's

actions in Syria, with the clear implication that it's deserved. And yet, according to US officials and the usual suspects, the Russians *aren't* hitting ISIS so much as they're [smiting](#) the "moderate" Islamist head-choppers – the "Syrian rebels," as they're known — who are being funded, armed, and encouraged by the West.

If that's true, then what kind of blowback are we talking about – and from which direction is it coming? Given this, isn't it entirely possible that Metrojet Flight 9268 was downed by US-aided –and-supported "moderates," who moderately decided to get back at Putin?

NOTES IN THE MARGIN

You can check out my Twitter feed by going [here](#). But please note that my tweets are sometimes deliberately provocative, often made in jest, and largely consist of me thinking out loud.

I've written a couple of books, which you might want to peruse. [Here](#) is the link for buying the second edition of my 1993 book, [Reclaiming the American Right: The Lost Legacy of the Conservative Movement](#), with an Introduction by Prof. [George W. Carey](#), a [Foreword](#) by Patrick J. Buchanan, and critical essays by [Scott Richert](#) and [David Gordon](#) (ISI Books, 2008).

You can buy [An Enemy of the State: The Life of Murray N. Rothbard](#) (Prometheus Books, 2000), my biography of the great libertarian thinker, [here](#).

The original source of this article is [AntiWar](#)
Copyright © [Justin Raimondo](#), [AntiWar](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Justin Raimondo](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca