

Who's Afraid of Elon Musk? Biden's "Disinformation Governance Board"

By [Rep. Ron Paul](#)

Global Research, May 11, 2022

[The Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity](#) 9 May 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on [Instagram](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*Any doubt that many progressives have abandoned their commitment to free speech was erased by the hysterical reaction to **Elon Musk's** effort to purchase Twitter and return the company to its roots as a free speech zone. **Former Labor Secretary Robert Reich** and "woke" neocon **Max Boot** fretted that Musk's commitment to free speech threatens democracy. Those confused by how free speech threatens democracy should remember that for neoconservatives and many progressives democracy means allowing the people to choose between two largely identical supporters of the welfare-warfare state. In this version of "democracy," those whose views are outside the welfare-warfare mainstream — such as libertarians — are marginalized.*

More ominous than the griping of ex-government officials and pundits was the threat of prominent Democratic politicians to haul Musk before Congress. These politicians likely want an opportunity to smear Musk and other supporters of free speech as promoters of hate and Russian (and/or Chinese) disinformation.

Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman **Dick Durbin** and other Senate Democrats, none of whom seem to have read the First Amendment, are also investigating whether it would be "appropriate" for Congress to force tech companies to "moderate" content on their platforms.

President Biden is not waiting for legislation to ramp up the attack on free speech. His administration has created the **Disinformation Governance Board** located in the Department of Homeland Security. The board's purpose is to coordinate government and private sector efforts to combat "disinformation," with a focus on Russia. The focus on Russia is not surprising since "Russian disinformation" has joined racism and sexism as a go-to justification to smear and silence those whose views (and factual information) contradict the political and media establishment's "party line."

Biden's choice to head the Disinformation Governance Board, **Nina Jankowicz**, is a spreader of disinformation herself. In 2020, for example, Jankowicz parroted the lie that Russia created the damning materials found on Hunter Biden's infamous laptop. Jankowicz's résumé also includes stints as an advisor to the Ukraine government and a manager of National Democratic Institute programs in Russia and Belarus. Jankowicz's background suggests she will never call any lie peddled by the US war party "disinformation."

The Disinformation Governance Board may not directly censor social media. However, by "encouraging" tech companies desperate to maintain good relations with the federal government to remove "unapproved" opinions from their platforms, it can achieve the same results. This is why anyone who values free speech, which should include everyone who cherishes liberty, should not fall for the claim that tech companies' behavior is nothing to be concerned about since it does not involve government censorship.

Sadly, some misguided conservatives have joined progressives in promoting legislation imposing new regulations on big tech. Increased regulation will only empower Nina Jankowicz and her ilk to further pressure tech companies to restrict free speech. It will also hurt consumers by reducing the ability to find affordable goods and services online. The only way to protect free speech on the internet is to make online platforms truly private through a complete separation of tech and state.

The drive to censor is driven by the woke mob and authoritarian establishment's fear that their policies could not maintain majority support if forced to compete in a free market of ideas. This shows that even enemies of liberty sense that the days of the welfare-warfare state are numbered.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from [YugaTech](#)

The original source of this article is [The Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity](#)
Copyright © [Rep. Ron Paul](#), [The Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Rep. Ron Paul](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the

copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca