

Whither Musk's Twitter

By <u>Kim Petersen</u> Global Research, November 08, 2022 Theme: Media Disinformation

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

It must be excruciatingly difficult having to decide whether to put some of one's hundredsof-billions of dollars, along with potential future profit, at risk to affirm one's previous public declaration of support for the freedom of speech principle. That is the <u>dilemma that Musk</u> <u>has before him</u>.

Musk finds Twitter threatened with an advertiser boycott. As I previously <u>alluded to</u>, the boycotters of free speech on Twitter could well find themselves <u>boycotted by free-speech</u> <u>supporters</u>. Two can play the boycott game. Musk seems to realize that now. He warned of a "<u>thermonuclear name & shame</u>" reprisal against boycotting advertisers.

Musk has <u>expressed</u> willingness to fight the "activist groups pressuring advertisers" and their compliant advertisers who are "trying to destroy free speech in America."

But has Musk provided a free speech forum for all Twitter users? Yours truly, a small-fry free-speech, anti-racism, anti-war, anti-disinformation sporadic user of Twitter have been banished without notice or reason for several months now. I assume it is for my progressivist principles that are not shared by Democrats working in the Twitter space (e.g., support for Palestinian rights, support for Indigenous rights, support for all human rights, etc).

But changes are afoot at Twitter. Musk has cut the Twitter workforce in half. This is unfortunate for the ordinary workers just trying to earn an honest living; but, as far as cleaning out the anti-free-speech riff raff at Twitter, it might signal an opening for freespeech advocates.

Alas, Musk seems to think free speech shouldn't be "free." <u>Twitter Blue will only be</u> <u>available</u> to those who can afford the monthly \$8 fee.

Donald Trump (a personality equally reprehensible to **Joe Biden**) has, as far as I know, not been invited for reinstatement to Twitter. I dislike most of Trump's ideological views, but I support his right to express them honestly.

Then there is the case of **Scott Ritter**, an American patriot who comes across as a fierce critic of US imperialism. For his anti-imperialist views, he found himself banned from Twitter. After the takeover of Twitter by Musk, Ritter set up a new Twitter account and sent out a tweet, stating that Ukraine was behind the massacre at Bucha, as a challenge to Musk. Ritter, a former marine intelligence officer, found himself promptly banned from Twitter again.

<u>Ritter quoted The Who</u>: "Won't get fooled again. Meet the new boss, same as the old boss."

It is the early days of Twitter under Musk. Nonetheless, if Musk truly is a free speech devotee, truly is a man of principle, then he will use his Twitter ownership for the promotion of respectful free speech.

I submit that Musk might best demonstrate this by setting in motion a twitter storm pushing for the release of Julian Assange, a heroic free-speech advocate and a principled supporter of the public's <u>right to know</u>.

Assange has suffered at the hands of corrupt American power abetted by its minions for which he should never have been targeted or persecuted. Conversely, <u>he should be celebrated</u> for his actions which include exposing <u>US war crimes in Iraq</u>. If there is any justice in empire, then Assange must be released, exonerated of all wrongdoing, fairly recompensed, and regarded with all due respect for his sacrifice to humanity — as should all those brave souls who spoke out about the crimes of empire and find themselves languishing under unjust circumstances.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Kim Petersen is an independent writer and former co-editor of the Dissident Voice newsletter. He can be emailed at: kimohp at <u>gmail.com</u>. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from <u>YugaTech</u>

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright $\[mathbb{C}]$ Kim Petersen, Global Research, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Kim Petersen

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <u>publications@globalresearch.ca</u>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca