

White House Says It Will Fake “Chemical Weapon Attack” in Syria

By [Moon of Alabama](#)

Global Research, June 27, 2017

[Moon of Alabama](#)

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Military and WMD](#), [Terrorism](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

The White House claims that the Syrian government is preparing “chemical weapon attacks”. This is clearly not the case. Syria is winning the war against the country. Any such attack would clearly be to its disadvantage. The White House announcement must thereby be understood as preparation for another U.S. attack on Syria in “retaliation” for an upcoming staged “chemical weapon attack” which will be blamed on the Syrian government.

In August 2013 Syria invited inspectors of the Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons to investigate chemical weapons attacks on the Syrian army. As soon as the inspectors arrived in Damascus a “chemical attack” was staged in Ghouta near Damascus. Lots of Jihadist video coverage of killed children was published and the “western” media blamed the incident on the Syrian government. It never explained why targeting a militarily irrelevant area with chemical weapons at the same time as inspectors arrived would have been a rational decision for a Syrian government that was just regaining control and international standing.

The “attack” was [clearly staged](#) by the opposition of the Syrian government and its foreign supporters. The Obama administration had planned to use it to launch U.S. attacks on the Syrian government but refrained from this when Russia arranged to remove Syria’s strategic chemical weapons, aimed at Israel, instead.

In early 2017 the new U.S. president Trump made positive comments about the Syrian government. Assad can stay, he said. The Syrian military and its allies had gained the upper hand and were victorious on all fronts. [Two days later](#) another “chemical attack” was staged in the al-Qaeda held town of Khan Sheikhun. Lots of jihadi video coverage of killed children, likely prepared in advance, was spilled onto the “western” public. U.S. intelligence [knew](#) that no chemical attack by the Syrian government had taken place. But the Trump administration used the incident to launch a volley of cruise missiles against a Syrian military airport. The neoconservatives were delighted. They finally had Trump where they wanted him. The media coverage changed from damning Trump for his alleged “Russian connections” to lauding his decisiveness in response to the faked attack.



President of France Emmanuel Macron

Late May the new French president Macron ostensibly changed his position towards the Syrian government. The hostile position of France (and other EU countries) against the Syrian president Assad that had been eminent throughout the last six years [changed on a dime](#):

Macron said that on Syria: “My profound conviction is that we need a political and diplomatic roadmap. We won’t solve the question only with military force. That is a collective error we have made. The real change I’ve made on this question, is that I haven’t said the deposing of **Bashar al-Assad** is a prerequisite for everything. Because no one has introduced me to his legitimate successor!

But Macron also added:

“I have red lines on chemical weapons and humanitarian corridors. I said it very clearly to **Vladimir Putin**. I will be uncompromising on that. So the use of chemical weapons will be met with a response, and even if France acts alone.”

This immediately set off my warning lights:

Moon of Alabama @MoonofA - [4:28 PM - 29 May 2017](#)
You like fakes? Tune in to Macron announcing the next False Flag chemical weapon attack in Syria.

Like all “red lines” this one Macron set was an invitation to the Takfiris to launch more fake incidents. Others had a [similar reaction](#) to Macron’s (fake) turnaround.

The end of the war on Syria is [in sight](#). One can start to tabulate the [winners and losers](#). The U.S. military [conceded](#) that it had lost the race to occupy south-east Syria. All these turns in favor of Syria show that the war is practically won unless some of the outside sponsors of the Takfiri “rebels” again escalate.

Such an escalation is now happening. The White House [claims](#) to have information that the Syrian government is preparing [a chemical weapon](#) attack to kill “innocent children”:

In an ominous statement issued **with no supporting evidence or further explanation**, **Press Secretary Sean Spicer** said the U.S. had “identified potential preparations for another chemical weapons attack by the Assad regime that would likely result in the mass murder of civilians, including innocent children.” He said the activities were similar to preparations taken before an April 2017 attack that killed dozens of men, women and children, and warned that if “Mr. Assad conducts another mass murder attack using chemical weapons, he and his military will pay a heavy price.”

Several **State Department officials** typically involved in coordinating such announcements said they were **caught completely off guard** by the warning, which didn’t appear to be discussed in advance with other national security agencies. Typically, the State Department, the Pentagon and U.S. intelligence agencies would all be consulted before the White House issued a declaration sure to ricochet across foreign capitals.



Alleged ‘sarin’ attack in Khan Sheikhoun
(Photo: Search For the Truth)

The White House claim is of course nonsense and not supported by any evidence or logic at all. No one but the White House, not the State Department nor the Defense Department, seems to be informed about this (though that could be a ruse):

Five US defense officials said they did not know where the potential chemical attack would come from and were unaware the White House was planning a statement.

The lunatic U.S. ambassador to the UN jumped in to make it clear that it does not matter who commits whatever crime in Syria, Takfiris, the U.S. or Israel, it will be the Syrian, Russian and Iranian governments who will held guilty of it:

Nikki Haley @nikkihaley - [2:36 AM - 27 Jun 2017](#)

Any further attacks done to the people of Syria will be blamed on Assad, but also on Russia & Iran who support him killing his own people.

A U.S. bomb attack on an Islamic State used building in Mayadin, Syria, just [killed 57 prisoners](#) of the Islamic State. Will **Nikki Haley** hold the Syrian government responsible for this?

Take note of Trump’s schedule today:

Laura Rozen @lrozen [8:56 AM - 27 Jun 2017](#)

Trump has call with France's Macron first thing this morning, before intel brief.
Then meeting w Nat. Sec. adviser McMaster

Intense U.S. military reconnaissances [takes place](#) along the Syrian coast.

The UK Defense Minister just [announced](#) that his government is "in full agreement" with any U.S. "retaliation" for a chemical attack in Syria.

U.S. Secretary of Defense Mattis announced that the U.S. will [continue to arm its Kurdish proxies in Syria](#) even after ISIS is defeated.

During the last three days Al-Qaeda attacks on Syrian army position near the Israeli occupied Golan heights were [supported by Israeli air attacks](#).

This all is clearly a coordinated operation by the "western" supporters of the Takfiris in Syria. Their aim is to prevent the victory of Syria and its allies. The U.S. wants to split up the country.

The announced fake "chemical attack" and the "retaliation" it is supposed to justify will likely happen in the south-west of Syria around Deraa where all recent attempts by Israel and the U.S. supported Takfiris to dislodge the Syrian government forces have failed. The provocation, now prepared and announced by Macron and the White House and supported by the UK, is probably planned to happen [shortly before or during the upcoming G-20 meeting](#) in Hamburg:

President Trump and members of his administration are requesting a full bilateral meeting with Russian **President Vladimir Putin** at the G-20 summit in Germany next month.

...

While some administration officials have pressed for a quick "pull-aside" meeting at the Group of 20 summit or lower officials talking privately instead of the heads of state, Trump wants an event that includes the media and time for work sessions, according to one government official.

Trump has to make a deal (or war) with Russia and the announced fake "chemical attack" will be the pressure point against Putin. The [neoconservatives in his administration](#) want to [break up Syria](#) and Trump is tasked to get the Russian agreement for that (... or else.)

Syria [insists](#) that its has no chemical weapons nor any intention to use any indiscriminate weapon. Russia [warns](#) of any further military aggression and calls such U.S. threats [unacceptable](#).

The original source of this article is [Moon of Alabama](#)
Copyright © [Moon of Alabama](#), [Moon of Alabama](#), 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **Moon of Alabama**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca