

“Where Have All the Flowers Gone”?: “Something Surreal” About the Afghanistan Troop Withdrawal Scenario

By [Renee Parsons](#)

Global Research, August 31, 2021

Region: [Asia, USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence, US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [AFGHANISTAN](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

In 1626, “The New Atlantis: an unfinished work,” written by Sir Francis Bacon, was published posthumously. It described a utopian land of “generosity and enlightenment, dignity and splendor, piety and public spirit” with citizens of “high moral character and honesty.” It is believed that The New Atlantis planted the seed for transforming a continental wilderness into a democratic republic that became the United States.

Fast forward four hundred years and that same nation is hardly recognizable today as the American Empire disintegrates under the weight of one unfathomable crisis after another. Once a country whose origins were the result of divine providence, devoted to the concept of self rule and individual liberty, as it grew into the world’s most esteemed system of government and its people, extraordinary and honorable.

There is something surreal about the Afghanistan troop withdrawal scenario that does not fit the facts as they have emerged. We are asked to believe that the pathetic, doddering Joe Biden should be held solely responsible for the gross failures committed by the US State Department and the military hierarchy including Joint Chief Mark Milley and Afghanistan Commander General Kenneth McKenzie.

Clearly, the Presidency is where the buck stops, but scratching beneath the surface reveals we are being spoon-fed that a massive bungling operation is simply a more than normal bureaucratic snafu not unexpected of the Federal government. It is undeniable that there are administration officials who view American lives as no more valuable than any other nationality as well as the distressing reality that there has been a government infiltration at the professional political class level that is more devoted to Klaus Schwab’s collapse of American society rather than the New Atlantis vision.

There is not one scintilla of humor about [State Department](#) bureaucrats being confused with the [Apple Dumpling Gang](#) on steroids as they inexplicably held the Taliban’s hand throughout each day, leaving any thinking person to consider the unthinkable: that the unmitigated failure of geopolitical consequences, a disaster of unimaginable negligence can

have only been the result of a planned strategy and an intentional series of decisions to further destroy American sovereignty.

This is not to say that Biden, who may have less than half a functioning brain, is responsible enough to be held responsible via Amendment 25 but rather that he is the convenient scapegoat for a dark ops situation, the full awareness of which may lie beyond our comprehension.

The credibility of the entire withdrawal tragedy relies on the US government's open door communications as well as trading messages with its newest best friends, the Taliban as if they are partners in some otherwise malodorous project that defies definition.

As of January 20th, the Biden forces made the conscious decision to reject Trump's '[conditions based withdrawal](#)' plan as incoming [Pentagon Chief Lloyd Austin](#) issued a dramatic sixty day 'stand down' order to investigate 'white supremacy' within the ranks. Declaring it to be the nation's number one national security risk, Austin told the Senate Armed Services Committee that

"The job of the Department of Defense is to keep America safe from our enemies. But we can't do that if some of those enemies lie within our own ranks."

In an embarrassingly inadequate [joint press conference](#), knowing that the Taliban offensive in Kandahar had begun a week earlier, Defense Secretary Austin and Joint Chief of Staff [Mark Milley](#) announced that the Kabul airport was secured by the US military. Austin added

"There have been no hostile interactions with the Taliban. And our lines of communication with Taliban commanders remain open, as they should be."

Milley who was Joint Chief during the Trump administration and had attended weekly meetings on Trump's withdrawal plan, feigned ignorance that *"there was nothing that I or anyone else saw that indicated a collapse of this army and this government in 11 days"* when he well knew the Trump team assessment was always 2-4 days for a Taliban takeover.

Milley repeated his best reassurance at least twice that the *"State Department is currently working with the Taliban to facilitate safe transit to the Airport for American citizens. That's the primary means; that's the primary effort."*

It was all one big staged lie; the Biden Administration, Milley and Austin had the assessments at their fingertips and chose to reject it because it came from the Trump Administration.

Hours after the Abbey Gate attack that took the lives of 13 young Marines, [General Kenneth McKenzie](#), Commander of US Central Command in Afghanistan held a press briefing exhibiting a cold-hearted lack of humanity as he announced:

"It was a failure by Taliban operates with varying degrees of competence; some are scrupulously good, some are not. The other thing we do is we share a version of this information with Talibans so they can actually do some searching out their forces and we believe some attacks have been thwarted by them. We also use Taliban as a tool to protect us as much as possible. We share a common purpose; as long as we keep that common purpose aligned, they have been useful to work with. They've cut some of our

security concerns down.” McKenzie added that the intelligence sharing with the Taliban had been in effect since August 14 and included the biometric program.

Almost simultaneously [Politico](#) reported that “US officials gave Taliban a list of names of American citizens and allies to grant entry into the outer perimeter of the city’s airport.”

Some of the curiosities that defy explanation include what names were on ‘the list’ that McKenzie referred to as sharing with the Taliban and as reported by Politico? And for what purpose were Taliban recipients other than to enjoy a favored game of torture as their favorite sport? Are we naive enough to believe those names will be given a personal escort by the Taliban out of the country? Were any of the names on that list State Department whistleblowers who have been leaking information about a [dark ops](#) biochemical program being run by [Dynaport Vaccine Company](#)? How has Eric Prince, founder of Blackwater Services and less known for humanitarian impulses, offered rides out of Kabul to favored individuals? What exactly were the circumstances of the Abbey Gate bombing and the whereabouts of our [martyred](#) thirteen Marines?

A logical question at this point might be why the US committed twenty years of war in Afghanistan since the Taliban was never known to be complicit with the 911 attack? After spending \$4T in Afghanistan, with 800K US armed services, 2,448 fatalities, 20,722 wounded and 4,000 contractors, what government would justify a total walk-away from \$80 Billion of military hardware including 70,000 vehicles, 600K American made weapons, hundreds of aircraft and some of the most sophisticated weaponry in the world?

Meanwhile, in no less an incomparable political crisis masquerading as a massive health pandemic, the utter chaos in Afghanistan has not stopped Big Pharma’s Covid campaign to wage a concurrent crisis against the no-vaxxers as the southern border continues to explode with thousands of illegals.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Renee Parsons served on the ACLU’s Florida State Board of Directors and as president of the ACLU Treasure Coast Chapter. She has been an elected public official in Colorado, staff in the Office of the Colorado State Public Defender, an environmental lobbyist for Friends of the Earth and a staff member of the US House of Representatives in Washington DC. She can be found at reneedove3@yahoo.com

She is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

[America’s “War on Terrorism”](#)

 by Michel Chossudovsky

ISBN Number: 9780973714715

List Price: \$24.95

[click here to order](#)

Special Price: \$18.00

In this new and expanded edition of Michel Chossudovsky's 2002 best seller, the author blows away the smokescreen put up by the mainstream media, that 9/11 was an attack on America by "Islamic terrorists". Through meticulous research, the author uncovers a military-intelligence ploy behind the September 11 attacks, and the cover-up and complicity of key members of the Bush Administration.

The expanded edition, which includes twelve new chapters focuses on the use of 9/11 as a pretext for the invasion and illegal occupation of Iraq, the militarisation of justice and law enforcement and the repeal of democracy.

According to Chossudovsky, the "war on terrorism" is a complete fabrication based on the illusion that one man, Osama bin Laden, outwitted the \$40 billion-a-year American intelligence apparatus. The "war on terrorism" is a war of conquest. Globalisation is the final march to the "New World Order", dominated by Wall Street and the U.S. military-industrial complex.

September 11, 2001 provides a justification for waging a war without borders. Washington's agenda consists in extending the frontiers of the American Empire to facilitate complete U.S. corporate control, while installing within America the institutions of the Homeland Security State.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Renee Parsons](#), Global Research, 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Renee Parsons](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca