

What's Behind the New AIDS Scare?

By Dr. Joseph Mercola Global Research, February 24, 2022 Mercola Theme: Science and Medicine

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @globalresearch_crg.

As the media are abandoning COVID, they're taking aim at AIDS instead. The timing of AIDSrelated articles and announcements is indicative of a coordinated PR campaign, which must have a specific purpose

While Prince Harry's fame is milked for all it's worth to get people to start thinking about getting HIV-tested, the discovery of a new HIV variant in The Netherlands has also been announced. The variant is more contagious, and causes more severe disease, twice as fast. There are 109 known cases of the HIV variant in The Netherlands

The COVID jab may be causing AIDS-like illness by decimating immune function. Researchers have also warned the COVID jab may raise your risk of HIV infection. Is the media's focus on AIDS an attempt to cover up COVID jab effects?

The same week as Prince Harry's media appearance and the publication of the new HIV strain, Moderna announced its launch of a human trial for the world's first mRNA HIV vaccine

The parallels between Dr. Anthony Fauci's AIDS campaign in the '80s and COVID are strikingly similar. In the '80s, Fauci pushed the deadly drug AZT as the only permissible way to treat AIDS. During the COVID pandemic, Fauci's failed and lethal Ebola drug remdesivir got the greenlight at the expense of far safer treatment alternatives. Fauci has also been pushing for a transition from conventional vaccines to the mRNA platform

*

When media start raising an issue all at the same time, it's usually a coordinated campaign directed by a PR company on the behalf of a client. There's a reason for it, and the reason is to sow a desired narrative in the minds of people. They plant ideas so that when something happens, people are already prepped with certain prejudices or assumptions.

So, what then might be the reason for everyone suddenly talking about AIDS? In December 2021, President Biden announced a White House plan to "end the HIV/AIDS epidemic by

2030."¹ The same exact vow had been announced by the British Health Security Agency a week earlier.²

Even as they seek to dump this pandemic in a shallow grave, they are already prepping the public for the next health scare — AIDS. \sim Off-Guardian

Meanwhile, **Prince Harry** was out there urging everyone to get an HIV test, and Dutch researchers announced the discovery of a concerning HIV strain. All of this is happening at the same time that COVID is starting to fade out.

As noted by Off-Guardian,³ "just because they're giving slack on COVID does not mean the agenda behind COVID is gone. Far from it. In fact, even as they seek to dump this pandemic in a shallow grave, they are already prepping the public for the next health scare — AIDS."

Prince Harry Urges Everyone to Get Tested for HIV

In recent weeks, Prince Harry has been making the rounds urging people to get an HIV test.

According to a February 10, 2022, report by the BBC,⁴ "the Duke of Sussex ... wants to continue his mum's 'unfinished' work in removing the stigma around the virus."

Prince Harry has pointed out that during the last two years, HIV testing among heterosexual men and women in the U.K. has dropped by 33%, compared to just 7% lower testing rates among gays and bisexuals. At the same time, AIDS diagnoses among heterosexuals are

outpacing those among gays for the first time in a decade.⁵

Similarly, a February 9, 2022, opinion piece by Ian Green, chief executive of the Terrence Higgins Trust (a British charity that provides HIV-related services), in The Guardian highlighted the need for a "new strategy" to combat HIV. "Continuing to solely target those traditionally most at risk won't work," he said. The answer, according to Green, is more widespread testing of all people, regardless of preconceived assumptions about risk.

New, More Infectious HIV Variant Discovered

While Prince Harry's fame is milked for all it's worth to get people to start thinking about getting HIV-tested, the discovery of a new HIV variant in The Netherlands has also been

announced.⁶ Is that a coincidence?

According to researchers, this mutated HIV virus, dubbed the VB variant, is more infectious and causes more severe illness, twice as fast. As of early February 2022, there were 109 known cases of the VB variant in The Netherlands. Curiously, scientists said the variant had

been circulating for decades. As reported by NPR, February 4, 2022:⁷

"They discovered a total of 109 people who had this particular variant and never knew it, dating all the way back to 1992. The variant probably emerged in the late '80s ... picking up steam around 2000 and then eventually slowing down around 2010.

People with this variant have a viral load that is three to four times higher than usual for those with HIV. This characteristic means the virus progresses into serious illness twice as fast — and also makes it more contagious \dots

There's no need to develop special treatments for this variant ... It shows no signs at all of resisting medications, as some HIV variants do. But because the variant moves quickly, people need to receive medicine as fast as possible."

Researchers said they also observed a large rise in viral load in individuals with this variant by a 3.5 to 5.5 point increase. What this means is that infected persons could develop AIDS faster without immediate treatment; which could explain the sudden call for mass testing,

They wrote:⁸

"By the time they were diagnosed, these individuals were vulnerable to developing AIDS within 2 to 3 years ... Without treatment, advanced HIV — CD4 cell counts below 350 cells per cubic millimeter, with long-term clinical consequences — is expected to be reached, on average, 9 months after diagnosis for individuals in their thirties with this variant."

Are We Looking at Vaccine-Induced AIDS?

To all of this we can also add the concern that the COVID jab may be causing AIDS-like illness by decimating immune function.⁹ This is not to imply the shot is causing HIV/AIDS.^{10,11}

Rather, a Lancet preprint¹² that compared outcomes among "vaccinated" and unvaccinated Swedes found that six months' post-jab, some of the more vulnerable vaccinated groups were at greater risk for symptomatic COVID than their unvaccinated peers.

Clearly, the jabs are making some people MORE prone to infection and serious disease rather than less so. According to a December 2021 article posted by the American Frontline Doctors:¹³

"Doctors are calling this phenomena in the repeatedly vaccinated 'immune erosion' or 'acquired immune deficiency,' accounting for elevated incidence of myocarditis and other post-vaccine illnesses that either affect them more rapidly, resulting in death, or more slowly, resulting in chronic illness."

In other words, they suspect myocarditis and other chronic health problems associated with the jabs could be the result of vaccine-acquired immunodeficiency syndrome or "VAIDS," which is basically very similar to AIDS. The main difference is the initial trigger. In November 2021, the U.K. also reported a 50% increase in ICU admissions of patients with immune

system problems in the preceding two months.¹⁴

America's Frontline Doctors warn the shots are creating "vaccine addicts," in the sense that their immune system won't be able to ward off COVID without them. However, it's still a losing venture, as each shot only worsens the immune erosion, making you ever more vulnerable to all kinds of infections — including HIV! As reported by Off-Guardian:¹⁵

"We have already seen a plethora of predictions of increases in strokes and heart attacks, all attributed to very much non-vaccine causes. Everything from increased energy prices to lockdown-related depression has been blamed. That certainly appears to be pre-emptive ass-covering behavior. And this 'new variant' of AIDS could be too.

If the COVID 'vaccines' cause millions of people to suddenly develop dysfunctional

immune systems, or some kind of antibody-dependent enhancement a 'more dangerous new strain of AIDS' is a pretty good cover story, don't you think? ...

In October 2020 one group of researchers, quoted in Forbes, warned that any potential COVID 'vaccine' could increase your risk of being infected with HIV.

One of the few abandoned COVID vaccine candidates, from the University of Queensland, actually used a protein from HIV as a 'molecular clamp' to bind their artificial spike proteins together, the researchers claimed. This potential 'vaccine' was

apparently discarded after test subjects returned 'false positives' on HIV tests.¹⁶"

What's the PR Campaign Trying to Hide?

We're looking at several big puzzle pieces here:

- 1. Prince Harry and others reminding everyone about the importance to get tested for $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HIV}}$
- 2. U.K. and U.S. governments simultaneously promising to eradicate AIDS by 2030
- 3. The discovery of a new, more infectious and dangerous HIV strain
- 4. Emerging data suggesting the COVID jabs erode your immune function
- 5. The theoretical possibility that the COVID jab might raise people's risk of HIV infection, thus possibly triggering an avalanche of AIDS cases in the near future

Could the focus on HIV testing, especially in combination with the warning of a new HIV strain, be an effort to hide the fact that the COVID jabs are destroying people's immune function, and possibly promoting HIV infection?

Perhaps. But there's also another possibility. The same week as Prince Harry's media appearance and the publication of the new HIV strain, Moderna also announced its launch of a human trial for the world's first mRNA HIV vaccine. The timing of all of these reports strongly indicate that this is a coordinated PR plan.

Human Trial for mRNA HIV Vaccine Is Underway

As reported by Bloomberg:¹⁷

"Like Moderna's COVID vaccine, the shot uses mRNA technology to deliver the instructions for key proteins needed to build an immune response ... Researchers have spent decades working out a possible way to inoculate people against HIV, and mRNA will make it possible to test the theory much faster than expected.

This work should help companies including Pfizer, BioNTech and Sanofi, all accelerating their own efforts to design and test mRNA vaccines, to understand when the technology can — and can't — make a difference in disease prevention ...

Creating an mRNA vaccine for HIV is trickier than making the kind of SARS-CoV-2 shots we've become familiar with. The mRNA COVID vaccines deliver the recipe for the spike protein ... This causes immune cells to produce neutralizing antibodies against COVID, much as they would do if they had experienced a COVID infection.

With HIV, there's no such simple recipe. HIV's equivalent to the spike protein — its

envelope glycoprotein — is wilier. It hides its vulnerable aspects, making it difficult for immune cells to generate antibodies against it. An even bigger problem is that HIV starts to mutate within hours of infecting someone ...

HIV behaves like 'a swarm of slightly different viruses' ... People with HIV rarely develop neutralizing antibodies, and in the very few who do, the antibodies take years to evolve — far too long for them to effectively fight the virus. The immune system can't keep up.

But what if the immune system could be given a head start? That's the idea behind the Moderna/IAVI vaccine ... The researchers will administer a series of shots to try to coax the immune system along that years-long process ahead of time so that when it is exposed to HIV, it can spring into action."

Hiding Injuries or Manufacturing Need for Vaccine, or Both?

So, to recap, the media's focus on AIDS testing and the emergence of a more infectious strain of HIV may well be a coordinated effort to both:

- a) Hide devastating COVID jab effects, and
- b) Manufacture the perception that we have an urgent need for an HIV vaccine

If true, just think how sick that is. A widely-pushed mRNA injection for one pandemic causes a second pandemic that is worse than the first, allowing them to roll out a second mRNA "vaccine." That second injection then erodes immune function even more, giving rise to a third epidemic and another injection. Where does it end? This plan has failure written all over it.

When I first learned of the mRNA COVID jab, it immediately struck me as a bad idea. There were several blatantly obvious mechanisms by which they might cause harm. Today, those concerns are borne out in injury and death statistics. The possibility for things to go wrong with an mRNA HIV vaccine is also assured, if you ask me. As reported by Bloomberg, the entire premise behind it is speculative.

The Moderna HIV vaccine will target a certain subset of B-cells known to loosely bind to HIV. The idea is that by prodding these B-cells with mRNA instructions, delivered through a series of shots, they might develop the capacity to produce neutralizing antibodies against HIV.

My fear here is that if the COVID shot can cause immune depletion after repeated doses, what kind of dysfunction might a series of HIV shots trigger? Endless COVID-19 booster shots are being presented as the solution to the pandemic, as repeated injections increase

the level of antibodies in your body,¹⁸ but artificially inflated antibodies caused by repeated booster shots signal to your body that you're always infected.

The resulting immune response may actually do more harm than good, and may accelerate the development of autoimmune conditions such as Parkinson's, Kawasaki disease and

multiple sclerosis, for example.¹⁹ Will an HIV vaccine based on a similar process be any safer? I doubt it.

The Fauci Connection

As noted by James Corbett in the video at the top of this article, there's another interesting parallel between COVID and AIDS, namely Dr. Anthony Fauci himself. He was in charge of both of these epidemics, and without doubt — unless our justice system wakes up before then — he'll be in charge of the coming AIDS campaign as well.

The parallels between Fauci's AIDS campaign in the '80s and COVID are so strikingly similar, it's almost like a handbook that's being repeated, Corbett says. In the '80s, Fauci pushed the deadly drug AZT as the only permissible way to treat AIDS. During the COVID pandemic, Fauci's failed and lethal Ebola drug remdesivir got the greenlight at the expense of far safer treatment alternatives.

Fauci is also a connecting link between the COVID shots and the HIV jab, as he's been eagerly pushing for a transition from conventional vaccines to this new mRNA platform. As recently as October 2019, he participated in a panel discussion about how this transition might be achieved in light of regulatory hurdles and public distrust of gene transfer technologies.²⁰

Fauci acknowledged it would indeed be very difficult to change people's perception about vaccines (in this particular case he was referring to the flu vaccine). His advice? "Do it from within and say, 'I don't care what your perception is, we're going to address the problem."

Not only does Fauci not care about public perception, he doesn't care who he hurts either. He didn't care about AIDS patients in the '80s, and he doesn't care about COVID patients today. If he did, he'd insist on doctors using whatever works, and not just the products that he's personally vested in.

HIV Discoverer Dies

An odd coincidence in the middle of all this is the unexpected death of Dr. Luc Montagnier, who together with Harald zur Hausen and Françoise Barré-Sinoussi in 2008 won the Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine for the discovery of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).²¹

Montagnier, who was 89 years old, died February 8, 2022, at the American Hospital of Paris

in Neuilly-sur-Seine. No specifics about the cause of death have been released.²² Montagnier was an outspoken critic of the COVID jab from the start. He also suspected SARS-CoV-2 was

genetically engineered, as the spike protein shared similarities with HIV.²³

Is the AIDS Hype a Real Threat?

So, does the emerging AIDS hype reflect a real threat? Is it just an attempt to keep the population in fear? Or are they simply trying to cover up COVID jab effects? If it's a cover-up, was HIV infection an accidental consequence or an intentional effect of the jab?

Might the new HIV variant actually be the result of mass COVID injection? After all, the timing of this "super strain" of HIV is interesting, to say the least. Why did it take 40 years for it to emerge?

Will HIV testing now be pushed the way COVID testing has been, and if so, why? As noted by Off-Guardian,²⁴ for all we know, AIDS screening may simply be another way of monitoring

this massive health experiment. For now, we have far more questions than answers, but if we keep asking them, eventually we're bound to unearth the truth.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Notes

¹ Very Well Health December 10, 2021

- ² UKHSA December 1, 2021
- ³ Off-Guardian February 10, 2022
- ^{4, 5} BBC February 10, 2022
- ^{6, 8} Science February 3, 2022; 375(6580): 540-545
- ⁷ <u>NPR February 4, 2022</u>
- ^{9, 13} Americas Frontline Doctors December 6, 2021
- ¹⁰ Euro News February 10, 2022
- ¹¹ <u>Twitter February 11, 2022</u>
- ¹² Lancet Preprint October 25, 2021
- ¹⁴ Daily Mail November 20, 2021
- ^{15, 23, 24} Off-Guardian February 11, 2022
- ¹⁶ <u>Top Universities April 26, 2021</u>
- ¹⁷ Bloomberg February 4, 2022 (Archived)
- ¹⁸ mBIO December 7, 2021
- ¹⁹ Marc Girardot, COVID Myth Buster News January 30, 2021
- ²⁰ Rights and Freedoms October 7, 2021
- ²¹ Britannica Luc Montagnier

²² ABC News February 10, 2022

Featured image is from OffGuardian

The original source of this article is <u>Mercola</u> Copyright © <u>Dr. Joseph Mercola</u>, <u>Mercola</u>, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Dr. Joseph Mercola

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca