

What The Russian Hacking Report DOESN'T Say

By [Washington's Blog](#)

Global Research, December 29, 2016

[Washington's Blog](#)

Region: [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

Today, the Department of Homeland Security and FBI released a [report](#) alleging Russian hacking.

It's important to note what the report does NOT say ...

It does NOT allege any of the following:

- It doesn't claim that it's accurate. Instead, the report starts with a disclaimer, and uses the same type of weasel words - "as is", "does not provide any warranties of any kind regarding any information" - that someone selling a lemon uses when he doesn't want to talk about the fact that the blasted thing won't run and doesn't want to get sued for false misrepresentation:



- It doesn't mention Wikileaks ... *not even once*. In other words, the report does *not* allege that the Russians gave any Democratic Party or Podesta emails to Wikileaks
- It doesn't address the fact that the [NSA possesses records showing exactly how the emails went from the Democratic Party to Wikileaks](#), as it tracks *all* electronic communications in the U.S.
- It doesn't address the fact that Russia would [not have used widely known hacking methods](#) (and wouldn't have paid tribute within the code to a famous Russian intelligence officer), and that anyone could have copied these methods and names
 - It doesn't address the fact that top former NSA and CIA officials (and Wikileaks) says that [these were not hacks at all ... but rather leaks by American insiders](#)
- It doesn't address American intelligence services' [less-than-stellar history](#) of truthfulness, and routinely skew intelligence to justify preordained policy outcomes
- It doesn't address the fact that - according to the Los Angeles Times - the [U.S. interfered in foreign elections 81 times](#) between 1946 and 2000 ... compared to

only 36 times by the Ruskies

- It doesn't address the fact that [most Americans aren't buying](#) the whole claim that the Russians hacked our election

In other words, the report really doesn't say *much of anything* ...

The original source of this article is [Washington's Blog](#)

Copyright © [Washington's Blog](#), [Washington's Blog](#), 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Washington's Blog](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca