

# “The Real Nuclear Danger”: What Is Israel Building at Its Dimona Nuclear Site?

Satellite photos indicate that construction has been underway for two years, while Netanyahu continues to paint Iran as the regional villain

By [Richard Silverstein](#)

Global Research, March 11, 2021

[Middle East Eye](#) 5 March 2021

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Military](#) and [WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#)

All Global Research articles **can be read in 27 languages by activating the “Translate Website”** drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

\*\*\*

*An international NGO devoted to halting the proliferation of nuclear weapons recently released satellite imagery showing that Israel, for the first time in decades, was [engaged in new construction](#) at its Dimona nuclear site. The reactor there, which first became active in the mid-1960s, manufactures plutonium as fuel for Israel’s reputed stockpile of [80 nuclear warheads](#).*

This excavation has piqued the curiosity of nuclear experts and intelligence agencies around the world. Since the Dimona reactor has long since passed its [projected lifespan](#), some have speculated that Israel may be building a new plutonium reactor.

This seems unlikely, as this element is long lasting, and Israel has already [produced enough](#) for current or future needs. Some have speculated that the existing reactor is either [substantially shut down](#) or being decommissioned.

If Israel does not need a new reactor to replace the old one, then what else might it be building there? In a [recent interview](#) with the Associated Press, Daryl Kimball, executive director of the Washington-based Arms Control Association, pointed to another critical element in nuclear warheads: [tritium](#). It is a hydrogen isotope used to increase the yield of nuclear warheads. It also makes the explosive reaction more efficient, so less fuel (in Israel’s case, plutonium) is needed.

Tritium has allowed for advances in weapons design, including smaller devices whose explosive power is magnified. It is also used in [neutron bombs](#), which are designed to kill humans while having a reduced blast area. Kimball [told the AP](#) that Israel “may want to produce more tritium, a relatively faster-decaying radioactive byproduct used to boost the explosive yield of some nuclear warheads”.

[Tritium](#), like plutonium and other substances used to produce nuclear weapons, is manufactured in a nuclear reactor. It can be produced by irradiating lithium metal. The isotope is less stable than plutonium, meaning it requires replenishing more frequently for

use in a nuclear arsenal.

If, as leading nuclear expert Avner Cohen has [speculated](#), the old Dimona reactor is to be retired, Israel would need a new source for the production of tritium. Perhaps a reactor is being specifically built for that purpose.

Construction appears to have begun, according to an analysis of satellite photos, [sometime in late 2018](#) or early 2019. This means that work has likely been underway for around two years. The current [images](#) mainly indicate excavation, but no buildings yet being constructed.

Why is the process moving so slowly? It could indicate indecision among policymakers about when and whether to shut down the old reactor, or budgetary constraints preventing a faster pace of construction.

### **The real nuclear danger**

But why has the imagery become public only now, after two years of construction? Given the looming conflict between Israel and US President Joe Biden over the revival of the [Iran nuclear negotiations](#), it's possible that the US administration wants to remind the world where the real nuclear danger lies – and it's not in Iran.

If the construction is related to the production of tritium, this would suggest that Israel is not building a new class of nuclear arms, such as the [hypersonic weapons](#) of which Russian **President Vladimir Putin** has boasted – at least not at Dimona. Rather, Israel is likely refining the potency of its existing arsenal.

Satellite images shows significant new construction at the Dimona site  
<https://t.co/Mhyh801Uzp>

— IPFM (@fissilematerial) [February 18, 2021](#)

The ultimate irony of the Dimona project is that no one questions Israel's right to make nuclear weapons or to improve the lethality of its arsenal. Digging a hole the size of a [soccer field](#) to build God-knows-what? Go right ahead. Yet, should a [single particle of uranium fall to the ground](#) in a place where it should not be in Iran, the whole international community begins tut-tutting that Tehran is a [few weeks from nuclear breakout](#) and imminent catastrophe.

Why the double standard? Why does the world believe that Israel has the right to such an immense arsenal, and that it will maintain it responsibly, while Iran has no right to even a single weapon – and that should the latter create one, it would blow up the world? What has Israel ever done to deserve such credibility, and what has Iran ever done that is so much more heinous as to deserve this level of obloquy?

### **Keeping enemies in check**

In a Facebook exchange with Cohen, he called **Benjamin Netanyahu** Israel's most "nuclear-keen" prime minister since **David Ben-Gurion**, who founded the country's nuclear

programme. Netanyahu has taken far more interest in the nuclear project and made numerous speeches, both at Dimona and at Ben Gurion's graveside nearby at Sde Boker, [threatening Iran with nuclear destruction](#).

That doesn't necessarily mean that Netanyahu is any more likely to use such weapons than previous prime ministers. It means that he sees a critical need for Israel to have credible nuclear deterrence to keep its enemies in check. This is a key element of Israel's geopolitical strategy, a means of projecting power and guaranteeing the nation's regional dominance.

It fends off threats by hostile forces in Iran, Syria and Iraq. In the past, **former Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin** ordered an [attack on an Iraqi nuclear reactor](#), and **former Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Olmert** [ordered an attack](#) on a Syrian reactor being built in its eastern desert.

Netanyahu's obsession with Iran's nuclear programme might derive from his being loath to be the first Israeli leader to permit an Arab enemy to join the nuclear club.

Over the past decade, the US and Israel have played good cop-bad cop roles regarding the Iranian nuclear threat. US presidents have used a combination of [covert sabotage](#) and public diplomacy to advance a policy of restraining Iran, while Israel has, at times, advocated an outright [military attack](#). Both collaborated on the covert [Stuxnet](#) malware that destroyed uranium centrifuges.

But the US has never been willing to join in an attack on Iran, despite Netanyahu's [lobbying](#). Will the Israeli leader show restraint, or will he test Biden's resolve and Iran's commitment to negotiations by continuing to [assassinate nuclear scientists](#) and otherwise undermine a political-diplomatic approach to resolving the crisis?

Biden has learned from the past experiences of former President Barack Obama not to trust Netanyahu. It is an unenviable position to have to distrust both one's enemy (Iran) and one's ally.


\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

**Richard Silverstein** writes the *Tikun Olam* blog, devoted to exposing the excesses of the Israeli national security state. His work has appeared in *Haaretz*, *the Forward*, *the Seattle Times* and *the Los Angeles Times*. He contributed to the essay collection devoted to the 2006 Lebanon war, *A Time to Speak Out* (Verso) and has another essay in the collection, *Israel and Palestine: Alternate Perspectives on Statehood* (Rowman & Littlefield)

Featured image is from Shutterstock

---



## [“Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War”](#)

by Michel Chossudovsky

**Available to order from Global Research!**

ISBN Number: 978-0-9737147-5-3

Year: 2012

Pages: 102

**Print Edition:** \$10.25 (+ shipping and handling)

**PDF Edition:** \$6.50 (sent directly to your email account!)

*Michel Chossudovsky is Professor of Economics at the University of Ottawa and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), which hosts the critically acclaimed website [www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca). He is a contributor to the Encyclopedia Britannica. His writings have been translated into more than 20 languages.*

### Reviews

“This book is a ‘must’ resource – a richly documented and systematic diagnosis of the supremely pathological geo-strategic planning of US wars since ‘9-11’ against non-nuclear countries to seize their oil fields and resources under cover of ‘freedom and democracy’.”

*-John McMurtry, Professor of Philosophy, Guelph University*

“In a world where engineered, pre-emptive, or more fashionably “humanitarian” wars of aggression have become the norm, this challenging book may be our final wake-up call.”

*-Denis Halliday, Former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations*

Michel Chossudovsky exposes the insanity of our privatized war machine. Iran is being targeted with nuclear weapons as part of a war agenda built on distortions and lies for the purpose of private profit. The real aims are oil, financial hegemony and global control. The price could be nuclear holocaust. When weapons become the hottest export of the world’s only superpower, and diplomats work as salesmen for the defense industry, the whole world is recklessly endangered. If we must have a military, it belongs entirely in the public sector. No one should profit from mass death and destruction.

*-Ellen Brown, author of ‘Web of Debt’ and president of the Public Banking Institute*



The original source of this article is [Middle East Eye](#)  
Copyright © [Richard Silverstein, Middle East Eye](#), 2021

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **Richard Silverstein**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)