

What's the Future of Afghanistan After Trump? US Troops Replaced by Private Military Contractors?

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, January 13, 2021

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [AFGHANISTAN](#)

Biden's Foreign Policy

Many questions are swirling about President-Elect Biden's foreign policy upon his impending inauguration next week, but one of the most relevant for all of Eurasia is what his stance will be towards the US' seemingly never-ending War on Afghanistan. Russian Special Presidential Representative for Afghanistan **Zamir Kabulov** is [fairly certain](#) that President-Elect Biden won't do much to turn the tide on outgoing President Trump's drawdown from Afghanistan despite [newly promulgated legislation](#) hindering the prospect of further cuts, but he's also concerned that US troops might be replaced by private military contractors in a scenario that he warned would be a mistake. This is a pretty sound prediction which deserves to be elaborated upon more at length in order to better understand the logic behind it.

The US' New Central Asian Strategy

The author explained last year how "[The US' Central Asian Strategy Isn't Sinister, But That Doesn't Mean That It'll Succeed](#)". It was pointed out that Trump's official vision for the region as articulated by his administration's "Strategy For Central Asia 2019-2025" sharply contrasts the unstated one pursued by his predecessors. Instead of focusing on terrorist-driven divide-and-rule Hybrid Warfare, it concentrates mostly on peaceful regional connectivity in order to calmly expand American influence into the geostrategic Eurasian Heartland. The non-violent means that are to be relied upon to this soft power end should be commended, though one shouldn't also preclude the possibility of some elements of the former informal strategies remaining in place, especially following Biden's inauguration.

The Argument Against Another "Surge"

The former Vice President is bringing a bunch of Obama-era and -influenced officials (back) to the White House, hence why many feared that he might even seriously countenance repeating that administration's infamous but ultimately failed "surge". The geopolitical times have greatly changed since then, however, and there doesn't seem to be any real interest in doing so under the current conditions. Not only is the planet reeling from what the author described as [World War C](#) — which refers to the [full-spectrum paradigm-changing processes](#) unleashed by the international community's uncoordinated efforts to contain COVID-19 — but America is on the brink of launching a [domestic version](#) of its "War on Terror" in response to Capitol Hill's storming last week and the US must also adapt to China's growing leadership role in the world. These take precedence over the Taliban.

Improved US-Pakistani Ties Augur Well For Afghanistan

The author touched upon those last developments as well as the Biden Administration's lack of trust in the Modi one in his analysis earlier this week for Pakistan's Tribune Express about "[The Three Factors That Will Shape The Future Of US-Pakistani Relations](#)". It was concluded that bilateral relations will improve as a result of these pressures, which in turn will further reduce the possibility of the US doubling down on its failed War on Afghanistan. The Taliban peace process, for as imperfect as it is, has veritably led to some noticeable results over the past year. Not only would it be a waste for Biden to scrap all of that just for the sake of spiting his predecessor, but it wouldn't serve much of a grand strategic purpose anyhow considering the progress that's being made on implementing the Trump Administration's Central Asian strategy.

Trump & Biden: Different Visions, Shared Interests

In fact, it's in Central Asia where Trump and Biden have a unique confluence of interests. The former's economic-driven policy of engagement dovetails well with the latter's plans to assemble a so-called "[Alliance of Democracies](#)". Both are non-military means for expanding influence and perfectly complement one another. While Biden will probably retain the US' troop presence in Afghanistan or even slightly increase it for domestic political reasons should he find it convenient to do so, he's unlikely to devote as much military time and effort to the conflict as Obama did for the earlier mentioned reasons. While the Central Asian Republics don't practice Western forms of democracy, the US nevertheless subjectively regards them as being different in substance from Russia and China's governing models, and thus comparatively more "legitimate" to partner with.

The Military-Industrial Complex's Pernicious Influence

Even so, the US' powerful military-industrial complex won't take too kindly to its most direct interests being threatened in the region through the civilian government's decision to keep troop levels at an all-time low. Bearing this in mind, it makes sense for Biden to execute the Trump Administration's [reported proposal](#) to privatize the conflict through private military contractors (PMCs) as the latter are an important cutting-edge part of the military-industrial complex, albeit one which enables Washington to retain a degree of "plausible deniability". Many former servicemen transition from the Armed Forces to PMCs upon their honorable discharge because it pays much better, thus making these entities practically one and the same except in the legal sense, with both fulfilling the important task of guarding Afghanistan's [\\$1 trillion](#) in rare earth minerals.

Concluding Thoughts

Looking forward, Biden (or rather, the power structure behind him) might not make much political progress on resolving America's War on Afghanistan, but he probably won't make things much worse either.

Rather, this "endless war" might continue to persist, but simply become more and more "forgettable" so to speak. Should he feel that it would be politically convenient to do so in the domestic sense, then he might either slightly raise the troop levels or publicly announce that some of the existing ones will be switched out for PMCs. His intelligence agencies will probably continue to foment low-intensity destabilization scenarios across the region, but likely won't concentrate too much effort on this as the US' grand strategic focus shifts

elsewhere in light of the new domestic and international conditions in which the fading unipolar hegemon is forced to adapt.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on [OneWorld](#).

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from OneWorld

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca