

What China's Push for An Alternative World Reserve Currency Means

By [Washington's Blog](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#)

Global Research, June 28, 2009

washingtomblog.com 28 June 2009

Yesterday, after [China called for a super-sovereign currency, the dollar slid](#) as investors started seeing the writing on the wall. Specifically, the People's Bank of China said the International Monetary Fund should manage part of members' foreign-exchange reserves. See [this](#).

"To prevent the deficiencies in the main reserve currency, there's a need to create a new currency that's delinked from the economies of the issuers," the People's Bank of China (PBOC) said in a review of the economy in 2008 released today. In March, the PBOC had [urged](#) the IMF to expand operations of its [Special Drawing Rights currency](#) (SDRs) and move toward a "super-sovereign reserve currency."

The PBOC argues that the frequency and intensity of financial crises following the collapse of the Bretton Woods system suggests costs of the dollar-based system may have exceeded its benefits and that that the SDR could take on a key global role.

The PBOC statement comes a day after a top Communist Party research chief [said](#) that China should buy gold and U.S. real estate rather than Treasuries.

American officials and talking heads have attempted to downplay the irreversible [trend of the dollar fading as the world's reserve currency](#). They have argued that China is in a dollar trap. With trillions in dollars, they argue, China cannot let the dollar tank.

But as Marc Faber has pointed out, 2 trillion dollars in reserves isn't really that much for a country with as many people as China has. And China long ago signaled that it was gradually moving out of dollars. The signals came in the form of (1) China moving out of long treasuries and into treasuries with a duration of 3 years or less, and (2) China using its reserves to buy commodities, instead of more dollars.

Nouriel Roubini [argues](#):

The process that will lead - in the medium-long term - to a challenge of the US dollar as the major global reserve currency has started. The US creditors - the BRICs, the Gulf states and others - are becoming increasingly alarmed that the US will deal with its unsustainable fiscal path via inflation and debasement of the value of the dollar via depreciation. So they will not sit idly waiting for this to happen: they are already diversifying into gold, into resources (as China purchases mines and energy, mineral and commodity resources all over the world).

And the senior economist at the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland [argued](#) last month:

While SDRs may be declared an official international reserve asset today, they are not likely to become the world's key international currency anytime soon.

In other words, as [explained](#) by Nouriel Roubini, the Fed economist he believes that the SDR may be declared the world reserve currency, but the current usefulness of the dollar as a highly liquid, international medium of exchange means that it won't be replaced in the near future as the key unit of international trade.

Would the SDR be a Better Reserve Currency than the Dollar?

Given the IMF's history of imposing draconian, destructive and anti-democratic measures on third world countries, I'm not sure that appointing the IMF as the issuer of the world reserve currency is a great idea.

But to understand the big picture on SDRs, dollars and other potential reserve currencies and mediums of exchange, we have to take a step back and look at what money really is.

It should be remembered that money does not itself have to be a valuable commodity. Obviously, gold is a valuable commodity and can serve as money. And, in many ways, having a gold-backed currency is better than having a fiat currency.

But in its most essential form, currency is solely a way to keep track of the exchange of goods and services. In other words, money is simply a way of keeping tally, a scoreboard, a record keeping system.

As such, money could be pegged to just about anything objective, such as the consumer price index (as long as it included food and energy) or - as China has [proposed](#) - a basket of 30 or so commodities.

In fact, there is no need for the U.S. or any other country to cede its sovereignty to a group of international bankers. On the other hand, there is no need for the rest of the world to be beholden to the dictatorial one-sidedness inherent in using the dollar as the world's reserve currency.

Instead, money can be returned to its most minimalist function of being a neutral yardstick to measure goods and services exchanged. In other words, instead of being an instrument of financial warfare and oppression, money can return to its function simply as an objective [tally](#) of who owes who what for the good and services they have provided.

As Ellen Brown explains in her book [Web of Debt](#) (as [summarized](#) by Stephen Lendman):

A global currency is another proposal - one that creates more problems than it solves. The world "is not one nation or one region," and who's to be boss and in charge. Further, if all governments issued the same currency, "the global money supply (would be) vulnerable to irresponsible governments (issuing) too much." Strong ones would end up dominating the weak, and national sovereignty would be weakened, perhaps ended. A "fully dollarized" world is a prescription for trouble enough to make scarcity "the order of the day."

Rather than one currency, "a single global yardstick" is needed "against which governments

can value their currencies – some independent measure (by) which merchants can negotiate their contracts and be sure of getting what they bargained for”...

National currencies “would become what (they) should have been all along – (contracts) or promise(s) to return value in goods and services of a certain worth, as measured against a universally recognized yardstick for determining value.”

The original source of this article is washingtonsblog.com
Copyright © Washington's Blog, washingtonsblog.com, 2009

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Washington's Blog](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca