

# What are the Causes of “Catastrophic Incidents”?

## The Impacts of Geo-Engineering on Climate and the Environment

Scientists call for regulation, acknowledge that “Geoengineering” is “causing disasters”

By [R. Teichmann](#)

Global Research, April 02, 2013

[News Beacon Ireland](#)

Theme: [Environment](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

In-depth Report: [Climate Change](#)

There are still many people who believe that anyone pointing out “chemtrails in the sky” and asking questions is somehow out of tune with reality.

But this is slowly changing as geo-engineering and its effects cannot be kept under wraps any longer. So it is not surprising that the first to come out on mainstream media are so called experts. Playing on the current (in my opinion manufactured) “scientific consensus” that the earth is warming and a climate catastrophe is imminent, they call on geoengineering to be regulated on a global scale.

In an article published in the Guardian titled [“Earth-cooling schemes need global sign-off, researchers say”](#) it reads:

Controversial [geoengineering](#) projects that may be used to cool the planet must be approved by world governments to reduce the danger of catastrophic accidents, British scientists said.

Met Office researchers have called for global oversight of the radical schemes after studies showed they could have huge and unintended impacts on some of the world’s most vulnerable people.

Packed nicely into the language of preventing catastrophic incidents and protecting “some of the world’s most vulnerable people” they argue for a global “sign - off” by governments.

“The massive complexities associated with geoengineering, and the potential for winners and losers, means that some form of global governance is essential,” said [Jim Haywood](#) at the Met Office’s Hadley Centre in Exeter.

It is interesting to note that this comes from the Hadley Centre, the same institution that was at the centre of the “climate-gate scandal”. Some of the most prominent advocates of manmade global warming are working there.

As people are slowly waking up to the facts of global geoengineering and start questioning the practice, which has been [going on for decades](#) under the radar of the public and

without debates in national parliaments or the UN, this awakening leads to the need to legalize this practice. This is exactly what these scientists and experts are now calling for. It is however interesting that they admit that through geoengineering catastrophic events already took place (emphasis added):

The dangers arose in projects that cooled the planet unevenly. In some cases these **caused devastating droughts across Africa**; in others they increased rainfall in the region but **left huge areas of Brazil parched**.



Photo: Drought in Somalia by Per-Anders Petterson/Save the Childrensource; [source](#)



Photo: Flooding in Australia 2010 by Jono Searle, Reuters/Pool; [source](#)

While the unaware public is wondering why there are droughts in one region and deluges in others, why there are unprecedented wildfires in one region and out of season hurricanes in others, the messing around with the atmosphere has been going on at an accelerated rate. Now it seems that even the advocates of the global warming theory get a little scared (emphasis added):

The warning builds on work by scientists and engineers **to agree a regulatory framework that would ban full-scale geoengineering projects, at least temporarily**, but allow smaller research projects to go ahead.

All in all it is a half hearted attempt to justify the massive stratospheric geoengineering that is going on and by asking for an international regulatory framework they hope they can legalize their past catastrophic actions.

They acknowledge that there are “massive complexities associated with geoengineering” (and by extension massive complexities with climate science in general). If these scientists and experts were serious they would simply admit to the fact that climate science is [far from an agreement](#) on the scale of global warming or even if it takes place at all. Instead of calling for an immediate end to stratospheric geoengineering and pleading guilty to having messed up the natural cycles of the weather patterns they now want the absolution by international regulation making geoengineering legitimate retrospectively.

And of course there is no mention in this mainstream article about the military use of weather modification and the HAARP facilities. Neither is there any information about the ingredients found in the toxic mixture that is sprayed and its effects on all living things.

The seemingly benign article morphes into a nice piece of disinformation by omission. Having said this in these times it is an act of courage by the Guardian to touch this issue at all and to include one thoughtful voice, that of Matthew Watson, who leads the [Spice project](#) at Bristol University. He commented:

“This paper tells us there are consequences for our actions whatever we do. There is no get-out-of-jail-free card,”...

“That opens massive ethical questions: who gets to decide how we even determine what is a good outcome for different people?”

*R. Teichmann is an activist within the Awaken Ireland movement and lives in West Cork / Ireland. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research*

The original source of this article is [News Beacon Ireland](#)  
Copyright © [R. Teichmann](#), [News Beacon Ireland](#), 2013

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [R. Teichmann](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long as the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)