

The West Must Stop Blocking Negotiations Between Ukraine and Russia

By [Prof. Vijay Prashad](#)

Global Research, December 09, 2022

[Peoples Dispatch](#) 25 October 2022

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Ukrainians have been paying a terrible price for the failure of ensuring sensible and reasonable negotiations from 2014 to February 2022 – which could have prevented the invasion by Russia in the first place, and once the war started, could have led to the end of this war.

Russia invaded Ukraine on February 24, 2022. This war has been horrendous, though it does not compare with the terrible destruction wrought by the US [bombardment](#) of Iraq (“shock and awe”) in 2003. In the [Gomel region of Belarus](#) that borders Ukraine, Russian and Ukrainian diplomats [met](#) on February 28 to begin negotiations toward a ceasefire. These talks fell apart. Then, in early March, the two sides met again in Belarus to [hold](#) a second and third round of talks. On [March 10](#), the foreign ministers of Ukraine and Russia met in Antalya, Turkey, and finally, at the end of March, senior officials from Ukraine and Russia [met](#) in Istanbul, Turkey, thanks to the initiative of Turkey's President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan. On March 29, Turkey's Foreign Minister Mevlüt Çavuşoğlu [said](#), “We are pleased to see that the rapprochement between the parties has increased at every stage. Consensus and common understanding were reached on some issues.” By April, an agreement regarding a tentative [interim deal](#) was reached between Russia and Ukraine, according to an article in *Foreign Affairs*.

In early April, Russian forces began to [withdraw](#) from Ukraine's northern Chernihiv Oblast, which meant that Russia halted military operations around Kyiv, Ukraine's capital. The United States and the United Kingdom claimed that this withdrawal was a consequence of military failure, while the Russians said it was due to the interim deal. It is impossible to ascertain, with the available facts, which of these two views was correct.

Before the deal could go forward, then-UK Prime Minister Boris Johnson [arrived](#) in Kyiv on April 9. A Ukrainian media outlet – *Ukrainska Pravda* – [reported](#) that Johnson carried two messages to Ukraine's President Volodymyr Zelensky: first, that Russian President Vladimir Putin “should be pressured, not negotiated with,” and second, that even if Ukraine signed

agreements with the Kremlin, the West was not ready to do so. According to *Ukrainska Pravda*, soon after Johnson's visit, "the bilateral negotiation process was paused." A few weeks later, US Secretary of State Antony Blinken and US Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin visited Kyiv, and following the trip, Austin spoke at a news conference at an undisclosed location in Poland and [said](#), "We want to see Russia weakened." There is no direct evidence that Johnson, Blinken, and Austin directly pressured Zelensky to withdraw from the interim negotiations, but there is sufficient circumstantial evidence to suggest that this was the case.



Russian and Ukrainian delegations at the negotiating table on March 7, 2022. Photo: Belta news agency via Xinhua

The lack of willingness to allow Ukraine to negotiate with Russia predates these visits and was summarized in a March 10, 2022, article in the *Washington Post* where senior officials in US President Joe Biden's administration [stated](#) that the current US strategy "is to ensure that the economic costs for Russia are severe and sustainable, as well as to continue supporting Ukraine militarily in its effort to inflict as many defeats on Russia as possible."

Long before the Russian invasion of Ukraine, since 2014, the United States has - through the Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative of the US Department of Defense - [spent](#) more than \$19 billion in providing training and equipment to the Ukrainian military ([\\$17.6 billion](#) since Russia invaded Ukraine on February 24, 2022). The total annual [budget](#) of the United Nations for 2022 is \$3.12 billion, far less than the amount spent by the US on Ukraine today. The arming of Ukraine, the [statements](#) about weakening Russia by senior officials of the US government, and the [refusal](#) to initiate any kind of arms control negotiations prolong a war that is ugly and unnecessary.

Ukraine is not in Iowa

Ukraine and Russia are neighbors. You cannot change the geographical location of Ukraine and move it to Iowa in the United States. This means that Ukraine and Russia have to come

to an agreement and find a solution to end the conflict between them. In 2019, Volodymyr Zelensky won by a [landslide](#) (73%) in the Ukrainian presidential election against Petro Poroshenko, the [preferred](#) candidate of the West. “We will not be able to avoid negotiations between Russia and Ukraine,” Zelensky [said](#) on a TV panel, “Pravo Na Vladu,” *TSN* news service reported, before he became president. In December 2019, Zelensky and Putin [met](#) in Paris, alongside then-Chancellor of Germany Angela Merkel and France’s President Emmanuel Macron (known as the “Normandy Four”). This initiative was driven by Macron and Merkel. As early as 2019, France’s President Emmanuel Macron [argued](#) that it was time for Europe to “rethink... our relationship with Russia” because “pushing Russia away from Europe is a profound strategic error.”

In [March 2020](#), Zelensky said that he and Putin could work out an agreement within a year based on the [Minsk II agreements](#) of February 2015. “There are points in Minsk. If we move them around a bit, then what bad can that lead to? As soon as there are no people with weapons, the shooting will stop. That’s important,” Zelensky [told](#) the *Guardian*. In a December 2019 press conference, Putin [said](#), “there is nothing more important than the Minsk Agreements.” At this point, Putin said that all he expected was that the Donbas region would be given special status in the Ukrainian constitution, and during the time of the expected Ukraine-Russia April 2020 meeting, the troops on both sides would have pulled back and agreed to “disengagement along the entire contact line.”

Role of Macron

It was clear to Macron by 2020 that the [point](#) of the negotiations was about more than just Minsk and Ukraine; it was about the [creation](#) of a “new security architecture” that did not isolate Russia – and was also not subservient to Washington. Macron [developed](#) these points in February 2021 in two directions and spoke about them during his interview with the Atlantic Council (a US think tank). First, he said that NATO has “pushed our borders as far as possible to the eastern side,” but NATO’s expansion has “not succeeded in reducing the conflicts and threats there.” NATO’s eastward expansion, he made clear, was not going to increase Europe’s security. Second, Macron said that the US unilateral withdrawal from the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty in 2019 – and Russia’s [mirroring](#) that – leaves Europe unprotected “against these Russian missiles.” He further said, “As a European, I want to open a discussion between the European Union and Russia.” Such a discussion would pioneer a post-Cold War understanding of security, which would leave the United States out of the conversation with Russia. None of these proposals from Macron could advance, not only because of hesitancy in Russia but also principally because they were not seen favorably by Washington.

Confusion existed about whether US President Joe Biden would be welcomed into the Normandy Four. In late 2020, Zelensky [said](#) he wanted Biden at the table, but a year later it became [clear](#) that Russia was not interested in having the United States be part of the Normandy Four. Putin said that the Normandy Four was “self-sufficient.” Biden, meanwhile, chose to [intensify](#) threats and sanctions against Russia based on the claims of Kremlin interference in the United States 2016 and 2018 elections. By December 2021, there was no proper reciprocal dialogue between Biden and Putin. Putin [told](#) Finnish President Sauli Niinistö that there was a “need to immediately launch negotiations with the United States and NATO” on security guarantees. In a video call between Biden and Putin on December 7, 2021, the Kremlin [told](#) the US president that “Russia is seriously interested in obtaining reliable, legally fixed guarantees that rule out NATO expansion eastward and the deployment of offensive strike weapons systems in states adjacent to Russia.” No such

guarantee was forthcoming from Washington. The talks fizzled out.

The record shows that Washington rejected Macron's initiatives as well as entreaties from Putin and Zelensky to resolve issues through diplomatic dialogue. Up to four days before the Russian invasion, Macron [continued](#) his efforts to prevent an escalation of the conflict. By then, the appetite in Moscow for negotiations had dwindled, and Putin rejected Macron's efforts.

An independent European foreign policy was simply not possible (as Macron had suggested and as the former leader of the Soviet Union Mikhail Gorbachev had [proposed](#) in 1989 while talking about his vision for a "common European home" that would stretch from northern Asia to Europe). Nor was an agreement with Russia feasible if it meant that Russian concerns were to be taken seriously by the West.

Ukrainians have been paying a terrible price for the failure of ensuring sensible and reasonable negotiations from 2014 to February 2022 - which could have prevented the invasion by Russia in the first place, and once the war started, could have led to the end of this war. All wars end in negotiations, but these negotiations to end wars should be permitted to restart.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was produced by [Globetrotter](#).

Vijay Prashad is an Indian historian, editor, and journalist. He is a writing fellow and chief correspondent at Globetrotter. He is an editor of [LeftWord Books](#) and the director of [Tricontinental: Institute for Social Research](#). He is a senior non-resident fellow at [Chongyang Institute for Financial Studies](#), Renmin University of China. He has written more than 20 books, including [The Darker Nations](#) and [The Poorer Nations](#). His latest books are [Struggle Makes Us Human: Learning from Movements for Socialism](#) and (with Noam Chomsky) [The Withdrawal: Iraq, Libya, Afghanistan, and the Fragility of U.S. Power](#).

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is [Peoples Dispatch](#)
Copyright © [Prof. Vijay Prashad](#), [Peoples Dispatch](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof. Vijay Prashad](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca