

Welcome to the Food Wars: Patriot Act for Food

A close look at bizarre propaganda for S.510

By [Rady Ananda](#)

Global Research, November 28, 2010

28 November 2010

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

Need a good laugh? Check out the bizarre reasoning offered in support of the Patriot Act for Food (S 510, the Food Safety Modernization Act), which the U.S. Senate will vote on shortly (likely Monday). From a need to stop food smuggling, to the law is too old, to the terrorists are gonna get us, elites sure are shy on brains when it comes to credible propaganda. They must be drinking [fluoridated water](#) and smoking [Monsanto marijuana](#), or hoping you are.

A couple weeks ago, we [reported](#) that Senator Bob Casey informed his Pennsylvania constituents that S.510 will stop food smuggling in the United States. Never heard of the problem? That might be because the “[biggest food smuggling case in the history of the U.S.](#)” amounted to \$40 million worth of commercial grade honey over a five-year period. Food smuggling is clearly not a problem – nor is it a fiscally sound reason for giving the Food and Drug Administration an [extra \\$1.6 billion](#).

Admittedly, no one is accusing U.S. elites of being fiscally sound – just look at our rising [unemployment](#), [hunger](#), and [home foreclosure](#) rates. Clearly, food smuggling is just bizarre bunk that lazy propagandists invented out of thin air.

Next, the well-regarded [Christian Science Monitor](#) listed as the “strongest argument for the bill” – get this – because the law in place is too old. Nothing about whether or not the old law is effective, nothing about the [tens of thousands of deaths the FDA causes](#) each year by the drugs it allows on the market. No – that very agency needs more power, more money, more authority over what’s on your table, according to S.510 supporters.

Here’s some more penetrating analysis by CSM:

Would SB 510 put America’s cornucopia under the control of a “globalist mafia” led by the World Trade Organization?

No. Some people have been concerned that the bill would give international groups more power over food matters in the US. The bill does state that the US will not knowingly break any existing agreements with the World Trade Organization, but it doesn’t cede any inspection or enforcement powers to international agencies.

Merely because the bill does not cede inspection or enforcement powers to foreign agents does not preclude domestic ones from inspection and enforcement authority. CSM’s response is absurd, as well as deceptive. The correct answer is YES, SB 510 puts US food under the control of the WTO. Read the section and decide for yourself:

SEC. 404. COMPLIANCE WITH INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS.

Nothing in this Act (or an amendment made by this Act) shall be construed in a manner inconsistent with the agreement establishing the World Trade Organization or any other treaty or international agreement to which the United States is a party.

Black is white; war is peace; and who are you going to believe: corporate media or your own ability to read plain English?

And, who is the enforcement agency for these agreements? Why, the DHS, otherwise known as the:

- Dept. of Homeland Stupidity - you know, those folks who want to build a [bioterrorism lab in the middle of Tornado Alley](#), where a huge bulk of the nation's food is grown and raised ([map here](#));
- Dept. of Heck-of-a-Job Security that miserably [failed New Orleans](#) after Hurricane Katrina and has again failed the Gulf of Mexico following the BP oil catastrophe this year;
- Dept. of Homeland Perversity that sexually molests children and adults who travel by air, that is collecting naked images of those who pass thru their [carcinogenic body scanners](#), and which has [not prevented](#) a single terrorist from boarding a plane.

That's who's going to be in charge of protecting the US food supply. Feel safer?

This leads us to the latest absurdity emanating from the federal government: The terrorists are going to try to poison us. Like the "red menace" that was so effective a bogeyman in the last century, the Muslim "terrorist" is this century's bogeyman. It's just as overblown.

How are terrorists going to poison the food supply? Seriously, that might be accomplished in a centralized food system that is forced to adulterate natural foods because laws and rules have decreed it or allowed it - like the FDA allowing [BPA](#), [fluoride](#), [chlorine](#), [pesticides](#) - all known poisons. Notice [how sick Americans are](#) compared to the rest of the world? You can thank the alphabet soup of federal agencies that allows our skies, lands and waters, and thus our food, to be poisoned by industrial processes.

It's nearly impossible to poison the food supply under a decentralized scenario with tens of millions of producers and distributors. Food safety is enhanced by decentralization and localization, not by allowing monopoly production as we have now in the U.S. Centralizing control in the hands of a few [people who used to work for Monsanto](#), the company that brought us [PCBs](#), [DDT](#), [rBST](#) (see this [also](#)), [Agent Orange](#), [aspartame](#), and [glyphosate](#), amounts to a clear and present danger to our health, and certainly to our food safety. But that's what S.510 intends to do.

How likely is a terrorist attack on the US food supply? About as likely as [19 Muslims destroying](#) four significant structures without a defensive response from the world's largest and most technologically advanced military. The threat to our food safety lies within, from a corrupt and bloated federal government owned and controlled by pharmaceutical, chemical and biotech corporations, not from outside our borders.

The entire food "safety" legislative scheme at the federal level is really a "food control"

scheme backed by corporate monopolies. It is part and parcel of the [Full Spectrum Dominance plan](#) to control every aspect of human life. "Control the food and you control the people," [planned Henry Kissinger](#) back in the 1970s.

We might expect more effective propaganda when going for complete control over our natural born right to sustain ourselves as we deem fit, but we'd be expecting too much from this crop of elites. Rather than intelligence, they rely on [brute force](#) and [hyper-regulation](#) in destroying small producers and distributors of natural, unadulterated food.

To view a list of articles that detail the dangers of such legislation, [click here](#).

Welcome to the food wars.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Rady Ananda](#), Global Research, 2010

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Rady Ananda](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca