

Weapons Went from the CIA to ISIS in Less Than Two Months

By Zero Hedge

Global Research, December 16, 2017

Zero Hedge 15 December 2017

Region: Middle East & North Africa, USA

Theme: Intelligence, Media Disinformation,

Terrorism, US NATO War Agenda

Mainstream media in 2013: "Conspiracy Theorists!"

Mainstream media in 2017: "ISIS Got a Powerful Missile the CIA Bought!"

Years late to the party, mainstream media outlets like USA Today, Reuters, and Buzzfeed are just out with "breaking" and "exclusive" stories detailing how a vast arsenal of weapons sent to Syria by the CIA in cooperation with US allies fuelled the rapid growth of ISIS. Buzzfeed's story entitled, Blowback: ISIS Got A Powerful Missile The CIA Secretly Bought In Bulgaria, begins by referencing "a new report on how ISIS built its arsenal highlights how the US purchased munitions, intended for Syrian rebels, that ended up in the hands of the terrorist group."

The original study that Buzzfeed and other media are referencing comes from a UK-based independent weapons research organization called <u>Conflict Armament Research (CAR)</u> which has had a team of weapons and munitions experts on the ground in the Middle East for years examining arms and equipment recovered from ISIS and other terrorist groups in Iraq and Syria. Using serial numbers, crate shipping markings, and all available forensics data, the CAR experts began finding that as early as 2013 to 2014 much of the Islamic State's advanced weapons systems as well as small arms were clearly sourced to the United States and the West.

"Supplies of materiel into the Syrian conflict from foreign parties – **notably the United States and Saudi Arabia** – have indirectly allowed IS to obtain substantial quantities of anti-armor ammunition," states the <u>CAR report</u>. "These weapons include anti-tank guided weapons and several varieties of rocket with tandem warheads, which are designed to defeat modern reactive armor."



Source: Conflict Armament Research



A PG-9 missile modified to fit a Model 2 recoilless launcher system. Produced in 2016 in Romania, exported to the United States and documented in Mosul in September 2017. (Source: Conflict Armament Research)

The study further reveals that in one notable instance, a weapons shipment of advanced

missile systems **switched hands from US intelligence to "moderate" Syrian groups to ISIS in only a two month time period.** Though the report is now evoking shock and confusion among pundits, the same weapons research group has actually published similar findings and conclusions going years back into the Syrian conflict.

For example, a previous <u>2014 Conflict Armament Research report</u> found that Balkan origin anti-tank rockets recovered from ISIS fighters appeared identical to those shipped in 2013 to Syrian rebel forces as part of a CIA program.

And <u>CAR's damning publications</u> presenting such inconvenient empirical data have been consistent for years, **yet were largely ignored and suppressed by analysts and mainstream media** who were too busy cheerleading US support for Syrian "rebels" cast as romantic revolutionaries in their struggle to topple Assad and his secular nationalist government. Of course, it's an <u>old story</u> if you've been reading Zero Hedge or the profusion of <u>independent outlets</u> that have long reported the truth about the covert <u>"dirty war" in Syria</u> since nearly the beginning.

Even though it's now suddenly acceptable and fashionable to admit – as does one <u>recent BBC headline</u> ("The Jihadis You Pay For") – that the US and Saudi covert program in Syria <u>fuelled the rise of ISIS</u> and various other al-Qaeda linked terror groups, it must be remembered that only a short time ago the mainstream media openly mocked analysts and writers who dared make the connection between the West's massive covert Syrian rebel aid programs and the al-Qaeda insurgents who so clearly benefited.

When news of the 2012 Defense Intelligence Agency report broke, which described what it called a "Salafist principality" or "an Islamic State" as a strategic asset or buffer in Syria that could be used by the Western coalition "in order to isolate the Syrian regime", American media outlets dismissed what was labelled a "conspiracy theory" at the time in spite of the hard evidence of a US military intelligence report being made available.

The Daily Beast for example mocked what it called <u>"The ISIS Conspiracy Theory that Ate the Web"</u> – describing those analyzing the Pentagon intelligence document as far-right and farleft loons. This occurred even as the document was taken very seriously and analyzed indepth by some of the world's foremost Middle East experts and investigative journalists in foreign outlets like the <u>London Review of Books</u>, <u>The Guardian</u>, <u>Der Spiegal</u>, as well as RT and Al Jazeera.



Daily Beast fail from 2015

And yet now once again "conspiracy theory" has been confirmed as "conspiracy fact": Conflict Armament Research's new report out this week is the result of a three-year ground investigation which compiled findings from 40,000 military items recovered from ISIS between the years 2014 and 2017. Its conclusions are scientific, exhaustive, and irrefutable.

International weapon supplies to factions in the Syrian conflict have significantly augmented the quantity and quality of weapons available to IS forces. Read more here https://t.co/5QKauwzHpU pic.twitter.com/uZqb8zjP3r

The extensive report confirms what former MI6 spy and British diplomat Alastair Crooke once stated – that the CIA established the basis of a "jihadi Wal-Mart" of sorts – to which ISIS had immediate and easy access. Crooke noted that the weapons program was set up with "plausible deniability" in mind, which would allow its American intelligence sponsors to be shielded from any potential future legal prosecution or public embarrassment. Crooke noted in a 2015 BBC interview that, "The West does not actually hand the weapons to al-Qaida, let alone to ISIS..., but the system they've constructed leads precisely to that end."

This is what enables <u>Buzzfeed</u>, <u>USA Today</u>, and <u>others</u> to report the bombshell findings **yet continue to soft peddle the significance** by emphasizing things like "weaknesses in oversight and regulation" while also highlighting the "accidental" nature of US-supplied missiles "ending up" in the hands of ISIS terrorists.

Buzzfeed's coverage of the CAR weapons report is summarized in the article introduction:

A guided anti-tank missile ended up in the hands of ISIS terrorists less than two months after the US government purchased it in late 2015 — highlighting weaknesses in the oversight and regulation of America's covert arms programs, according to information published Thursday by an arms monitoring group called Conflict Armament Research (CAR).

Though the report says the missile was purchased by the US Army using a contractor, **BuzzFeed News has learned that the real customer appears to have been the CIA.**It was part of the spy agency's top secret operation to arm rebels in Syria to fight the forces of Syrian President Bashar al-Assad. **The missile ended up in the hands of ISIS fighters in Iraq, according to the report.**

The CIA declined to comment on the Obama-era program to back Syrian rebels, **which was canceled by President Trump in July.** The Pentagon did not provide information in time for publication.

The missile is one piece of a critical puzzle that is being solved only now, with ISIS on the run: **How did the vast terror group arm its war machine?** CAR spent three years tracking ISIS weapons as they were recovered by Iraqi, Syrian, and Kurdish forces — and found that **what happened to the missile was no aberration.** Indeed, the terror group managed to divert "substantial quantities of anti-armour ammunition" from weapons provided to Syrian opposition forces **by the US or Saudi Arabia.**



The anti-tank missile recovered from ISIS in February 2016. It originated with the US Army in December 2015. (Source: Conflicts Armament Research, "Weapons of the Islamic State" via Buzzfeed)

But some astute observers might notice the significance of the timeline related to the CIA purchase of one of the anti-tank missiles examined: "A guided anti-tank missile ended up in the hands of ISIS terrorists less than two months after **the US government purchased it in late 2015."** As highlighted previously, the CAR team of experts had already documented the trend of CIA weapons delivered to the Syrian battlefield going to ISIS

fighters <u>as early as September of 2014.</u> Beyond this 2014 study, a seemingly endless stream of articles going back years published in independent and international media have underscored the reality of ISIS growing and thriving because of Western and Gulf state covert weapons shipments.

This means that CIA and government analysts **knew full well where the weapons were going** *in real time*, yet continued with the program anyway. As former Pentagon intelligence chief Michael Flynn told Al Jazeera's Mehdi Hasan in a stunningly frank <u>summer 2015 interview</u> (significantly before Flynn was part of the Trump campaign), the White House's sponsoring of radical jihadists (that would emerge as ISIS and al-Nusra/HTS) against the Syrian government **was most certainly "a willful decision."**

Thus General Flynn in the summer of 2015, speaking as recently retired military intelligence officer, warned in no uncertain terms that US-supplied weapons in Syria were going to ISIS, al-Qaeda, and other jihadists. **This was so well known at the time that it could be openly stated by a retired high ranking official an a major international program.** Flynn also said something similar to both <u>Seymour Hersh</u> and the <u>New York Times</u> in 2015.

But what did the CIA and allied intelligence agencies do? They continued arming the jihadist insurgency in Syria in their efforts to oust Assad. This was indeed "a willful decision" as Flynn affirmed and not mere "weaknesses in oversight and regulation" as Buzzfeed would have us believe.

The original source of this article is Zero Hedge Copyright © Zero Hedge, Zero Hedge, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Zero Hedge**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca