

We Need a Smaller Pentagon

Sorry, but we have too many other needs in this country to spend \$858 billion on a department that can't even pass an audit.

By [Lindsay Koshgarian](#)

Global Research, December 09, 2022
[OtherWords](#) 7 December 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#), [Militarization and WMD](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Can you imagine the audacity to fail a multi-trillion dollar audit of public funds, and then ask for even more of those taxpayer dollars?

Pentagon leaders just did exactly that.

This month news broke that the agency once again failed to pass a basic audit showing that it knows where its money goes. And instead of holding out for any kind of accountability, Congress stands [ready to give a big raise](#) to an agency that failed to account for more than 60 percent of its assets.

This is a sign of an agency that is too big, plain and simple.

Other major government agencies have long since passed audits. But the Pentagon — with its global sprawl of more than [750 military installations](#), expensive contractors, and boondoggle weapons systems — is so big and disjointed that no one knows where its money goes.

Here's a simple solution: the Pentagon needs to be a lot smaller.

After 20 years of war, when government spending is desperately needed elsewhere, the Pentagon's [fifth failed audit](#) in as many years — it's never, ever passed — should be the last straw.

Instead, recent reports suggest that Congress is moving toward an [\\$858 billion budget](#) for the Pentagon and nuclear weapons — and that figure may grow even more. The increase alone from last year's spending would [more than double the entire diplomacy budget at the State Department](#).

This isn't using our taxpayer dollars wisely. It's robbing programs that we need, like the discontinued Child Tax Credit expansion that [cut child poverty by half](#). The only winners here are the military contractors who commandeer roughly [half of the Pentagon's budget](#) in any given year.

For what taxpayers spend on Lockheed Martin in a typical year alone, we could instead [give every American child a strong start](#) in life through quality childcare and preschool. Which would make us stronger?

It looks like the people in this country are starting to catch on, though: A new poll shows that just [48 percent of Americans trust the military](#), down from a high of 70 percent in 2018.

It's not because they don't trust the troops. It's because after 20 years of ill-begotten wars, the brass expects to get \$858 billion of our hard-earned tax dollars when they can't even account for half of what they've already gotten. Sorry, but we have too many other needs in this country for that to make sense anymore.

With the tide of public opinion turning, the days of endlessly growing Pentagon budgets are numbered.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Federal budgeting expert Lindsay Koshgarian directs the National Priorities Project at the Institute for Policy Studies. This op-ed was distributed by OtherWords.org.

Featured image is from Shutterstock

The original source of this article is [OtherWords](#)
Copyright © [Lindsay Koshgarian](#), [OtherWords](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Lindsay Koshgarian](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those

who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca