

# Water Fluoridation - A Toxic Prescription? A Landmark Legal Win in California and Lobbying in Ireland

By [Reality Books](#)

Global Research, January 04, 2025

Region: [Europe](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Environment](#), [Law and Justice](#)

*The book [Godless Fake Science](#) demonstrates that much of the scientific narrative we have been taught from our school days onwards, is based on falsehood, and that the institution of 'science' itself has in many ways been hijacked by financial interests seeking to advance their own narrative and agenda. This article explores the controversial subject of fluoridation of public water supplies. Is the rationale for water fluoridation another example of bogus science being inflicted upon people? Is this so-called healthy policy, in fact just the opposite? Is water fluoridation simply another sick deception?*

According to Mary Hilary at the organization [Fluoride Free Water Ireland](#), the 'prescription' for artificial water fluoridation in the Republic of Ireland is now 64 years old as the population has been living under compulsory artificial water fluoridation policy since 1965.

According to Dr. Jenny Goodman, an ecological medical doctor, everyone using fluoridated water is being dosed daily with toxic chemicals that are hazardous and displace the iodine in your thyroid and other areas. Are artificial water fluoridation toxic additives safe for human consumption? The organization [Fluoride Free Water Ireland](#) is opposed to artificial water fluoridation for the reasons detailed in the informational table below:

<b>Drugs, Medicines</b>	<b>Artificial water fluoridation</b>
Required to conform to strict medicinal regulations	Not required to conform to the same strict medicinal regulations.
Pharmaceutical grade	Industrial grade, unlicensed and contaminated with toxic heavy metals, including lead, arsenic and mercury (names and toxicity omitted).
Manufacturers need a license	Chemical producers have no license to supply toxic additives for human consumption.
Chemists need a license to sell	The chemical supplier in Ireland has no license to sell chemicals for human consumption.
Doctors and dentists need a licence to prescribe to their patients	Water engineers are currently compelled by a 1960 law. The Health Service Executive, Department of Health, legislators, and politicians, have no license to prescribe or endorse toxic chemicals for human consumption.
Prescriptions last only 6 months	The prescription for artificial water fluoridation in Ireland is now 64 years old.
Full description of product for patient protection and consent	No description for consumers and no informed consent.
Age calibrated drugs	0.7ppm minimum/toxic fluoride compounds/litre water/per kg body weight/per person/per day, plus other toxic additives to water with exceedances recorded in some years.
Strict drug control monitoring	1965 official start of compulsory artificial water fluoridation in Ireland. 1989 first Drinking Water Quality report published (24 years later).
Supposed to comply with medicinal law	Breaches medicinal law on many counts.
Full traceability of product	No traceability of products used in artificial water fluoridation.
All risks supposed to be made known to patients	Dental fluorosis is a known risk that was not shared with consumers before the policy began.
After known risk of harm the precautionary principle applies for future harm to be acknowledged to patients at risk	The known risk of dental enamel damage was not acknowledged before the artificial water fluoridation policy started.

## **A Landmark Legal Win in California**

On September 24<sup>th</sup> 2024, a landmark ruling occurred in a 7-year legal case that was taken by 'Moms against Fluoridation', in the U.S. District court of the Northern District of California. According to the U.S. [Fluoride Action Network](#) on September 24, 2024 the court ruled on behalf of the Fluoride Action Network and the plaintiffs. A U.S. federal court has now deemed fluoridation an "unreasonable risk" to the health of children, and the EPA will be forced to regulate it as such. The [decision](#) is written very strongly in our favor.

It appears that the U.S. EPA will be forced to regulate it as such. The Court finds that fluoridation of water at 0.7 mg/litre (0.7 ppm), the level presently considered optimal in the U.S, poses an unreasonable risk of reduced IQ in children. The case was taken against the

Toxic Substances Control Act, which is similar to the Irish Poisons Act of 1982. For detailed information on the legal process see the U.S. [Fluoride Action Network](#) website. Lead attorney Michael Connett was interviewed by journalist Derrick Broze after day 6 of trial, see the video below:

Below is an excerpt from the introduction of the ruling:

“The issue before this Court is whether the Plaintiffs have established by a preponderance of the evidence that the fluoridation of drinking water at levels typical in the United States poses an unreasonable risk of injury to health of the public within the meaning of Amended TSCA. For the reasons set forth below, the Court so finds. Specifically, the Court finds that fluoridation of water at 0.7 milligrams per liter (“mg/L”) – the level presently considered “optimal” in the United States – poses an unreasonable risk of reduced IQ in children... the Court finds there is an unreasonable risk of such injury, a risk sufficient to require the EPA to engage with a regulatory response... One thing the EPA cannot do, however, in the face of this Court’s finding, is to ignore that risk.”

Ireland also has a required level of 0.7 mg/litre (0.7 ppm), of artificial water fluoridation additives. Ireland’s own ‘Mother against fluoridation’ Mrs. Gladys Ryan took a High Court case 61 years ago, in 1963; and a Supreme Court Appeal. Both judgments were ruled against her. While compulsory artificial water fluoridation was previously thought to be safe by the Irish Government, it has now been deemed harmful in the aforementioned legal case in the U.S. It has also been rejected in most of Europe for health, legal and environmental reasons.

[Fluoride Free Water Ireland](#) has called for the repeal of the 1960 Fluoridation Act immediately, and the policy of adding toxic corrosive industrial grade unlicensed chemicals to tap water in Ireland to stop immediately. It appears there is now a legal basis for the precautionary principle to be applied, and for citizens groups to apply pressure on political parties to repeal the 1960 Fluoridation Act.

\*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

### **[Don’t Miss Out on Global Research Online e-Books!](#)**

**Author:** [Reality Books](#)

[www.realitybooks.co.uk](http://www.realitybooks.co.uk)

The following books are available on [Reality Books](#) and on amazon.com:

- [Transcending the Climate Change Deception Toward Real Sustainability](#)
- [CO2 Climate Hoax - How Bankers Hijacked the Real Environment Movement](#)
- [Godless Fake Science](#)
- [No Worries No Virus](#)
- [Demonic Economics and the Tricks of the Bankers](#)

- [Fake Moon Landings and the Lies of NASA](#)
- [Censored History of WW2 and Communism](#)

Featured image [source](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Reality Books](#), Global Research, 2025

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Reality Books](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)