

# The Program to Mask Society is a Grotesque Governmental Manipulation of a Frightened and Confused Public.

From 9/11 to Covid-19: Watching as the CDC and NIST Destroy Their Own Reputations

By [Prof. Bill Willers](#)

Global Research, September 02, 2020

Global Research 23 September 2020

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

*"Anyone who believes anything the US government says is gullible beyond the meaning of the word." -[Paul Craig Roberts](#), 2014*

*The dramatic reversal in official U.S. policy regarding facial masking is epitomized by, first, the [May, 2020 report](#) of the U.S. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), in **which facial masks are acknowledged to be ineffective in blocking viral transmission**, this followed two months later by **CDC's inexplicable [July, 2020 recommendation that the public be masked](#)**. The earlier report was based on a review of 14 randomized controlled trials and reviews since 1982. The radical change two months later was based on nothing that could in any way negate the dozens of earlier studies.*

**On the July, 2020 web page, a heading, 'Evidence for Effectiveness of Wearing Masks'**, shows a ridiculous artist's rendition of the now familiar spiked spheres indicating viruses bouncing off a cloth surface like pingpong balls off concrete (although the text states "droplets"). It is a visual lie, purposeful and unforgivable. A link to "emerging evidence" of mask efficacy leads to a bibliography of 19 "Recent Studies" (scroll down). It is difficult to explain to non-scientists what do, and what do not, qualify as bona fide scientific studies, but, just to make a point, the first listed in this CDC bibliography is a report based on a single asymptomatic infection. This might qualify as an item to incorporate into a study, but it is not in itself a "study" by the 17 (no kidding) listed authors.

The other 18 (on the website's August 7, 2020, "update") consist primarily of reports of viral loads, the prevalence of asymptomatic patients, "presumed" transmission in a family of 5, rates of spread, fabric filtration efficiency, even laser light visualization of oral droplets (really). Only 4 deal with masks per se, and not one comes close to making a case for the efficacy of public masking. One actually ends with the authors support of

*".... surgical mask use as one of the recommended cough etiquette interventions" [their term]. Etiquette? [Check them out \(scroll down\)](#). The list, a pathetically limp effort by the CDC to justify its indefensible authorization of public masking, does absolutely nothing to overturn years of studies that, in sum, show public masking to be ineffective in preventing transmission of viruses. There are no new definitive scientific studies yielding [the claimed](#) ".... hard evidence that risk of transmission goes down dramatically when people wear masks."*

Masks, and only those of a professional grade, are intended specifically as protection for health professionals dealing with infected patients likely to spread pathogens in aerosol form. The program to mask society is a grotesque governmental manipulation of a frightened and confused public. The CDC, by its hawking of the public masking charade, betrays the public trust. The situation absolutely reeks of a concealed project of global scale, and if serious investigative journalism were a norm, there would be reporters all over the apparent political connections like flies on rotting meat. Instead, we have major media intent on eclipsing a vast source, authoritative but suppressed, of anything that counters the totalitarian “official narrative”.

The contemporary situation regarding the CDC and media is not unique. In 2009, investigative journalist [Sharyl Attkisson reported](#) on CBS that the CDC suddenly advised against testing for H1N1 “Swine Flu” virus (in disregard of its federal mandate) after having declared it an epidemic. The professed reason for the reversal was that further tracking during a known epidemic would waste resources. In an [interview by Jon Rappoport](#), Attkisson added that she learned through the Freedom of Information Act that before the CDC halted testing, nearly none of the cases that had been reported as H1N1 had actually been Swine Flu, or any flu at all. And what then? CBS, and news media generally, ignored her discovery and continued to claim a Swine Flu epidemic. Attkisson summed up with “We aired numerous stories pumping up the idea of an epidemic, but not the one that would shed original, new light on all the hype [and] it meant that many in the public took and gave their children an experimental vaccine that may not have been necessary.”

There is now a doubling down on enforcement of public masking. Here, September 16, 2020 [on C-Span](#), is CDC Director Robert Redfield [skip to 1:04:40] testifying before Congress: “Face masks are the most important powerful public health tool we have ..... We have clear scientific evidence they work, and they are our best defense. I might even go so far as to say that this face mask [he holds up a standard cloth mask] is more guarantee to protect me against Covid than when I take a Covid vaccine, because the immunogenicity may be 70%, and if I don’t get an immune response, the vaccine’s not going to protect me. This mask will.” According to decades of scientific studies, the statement by the CDC Director is pure fabrication.

\*

When it comes to conspicuous in-your-face lying, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) may have set a bureaucratic record. Anyone still unaware that a third World Trade Center building, Building 7, collapsed later in the day on 9/11/2001 has either been in some form of solitary confinement or embalmed by TV reporting and America’s “newspapers of record”. Building 7 dropped suddenly and perfectly because it had been professionally prepared for destruction long before 9/11/2001, and a few minutes into this [15-minute presentation](#) by A&E makes that very clear. The twin facts that Building 7 was (1) such a masterful controlled demolition that it has been called “a work of art”, and (2) its not having even been mentioned in the official 9/11 Commission Report (itself a shameless hoax), indicates not only the crime, but also an ongoing cover up with tendrils extending into many sectors of government, media and, [most sadly, academia](#).

The 2008 release of [NIST’s study](#), which offers the lie that office fires caused the collapse, is astounding in its brazenness and includes their computer simulation that bears no resemblance to what you actually see as 7 begins its drop with perfect symmetry at near free fall speed, as if thousands of tons of structural steel suddenly did not exist. The 4-

minute video within the NIST release includes a governmental functionary lying into the camera as he most certainly was ordered to do. He is lying because the collapse of Building 7, in all of its naked obviousness, is the single event most likely to “open one’s eyes”, this leading to the discovery of an entire catalog of lies. From the standpoint of the creators of the 9/11 attack, the “office fires” lie must be protected at all cost.

The falsehoods being perpetrated by the CDC and NIST are not isolated within circumscribed strategies. Instead, both are enmeshed in a much larger, multi-faceted imperial project that has a global reach. For those who search out its disturbing details, there is a toll. William Pepper, who spent 40 years in pursuit of the truth regarding the [King Assassination](#), wrote regarding the experience, “Its revelations and experiences have produced in the writer a depression stemming from an unavoidable confrontation with the depths to which human beings, even those subject to professional codes of ethics, have fallen.” That is a fair description of my own sentiments as I watch the pronouncements of medical experts from the CDC and engineers from NIST.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

***Bill Willers** is an emeritus professor of biology, University of Wisconsin at Oshkosh. He is founder of the Superior Wilderness Action Network (SWAN) and editor of Learning to Listen to the Land, and Unmanaged Landscapes, both from Island Press. He can be contacted at [willers@uwosh.edu](mailto:willers@uwosh.edu)*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Prof. Bill Willers](#), Global Research, 2020

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof. Bill Willers](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)