

Washington Challenges the CSTO's Kazakhstani Peacekeeping Mission

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, January 07, 2022

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)
Theme: [Intelligence](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#).

The more that people realize the reality of what's happening in Kazakhstan, the more that they'll realize that the CSTO peacekeeping mission there is much more peaceful, democratic, and stabilizing than the US' own 'peacekeeping missions'.

White House Press Secretary **Jen Psaki** responded to a question on Thursday about Kazakhstan. Here's a transcript of that exchange from the [official White House website](#):

“Q And then, on the situation in Kazakhstan, does what is happening there in any way change the dynamic for the U.S.-Russia talks that are going to begin next week, from the U.S. side? And is there any thought that Putin might be less likely to invade Ukraine while this crisis is playing out in Kazakhstan?”

MS. PSAKI: Well, let me touch on a couple of things. First, to provide all of you an update — and you may have seen this — but today, Secretary Blinken shared a productive call with Kazakhstan foreign minister — with the Kazakhstan foreign minister, where he reaffirmed the United States full support for Kazakhstan's constitutional institutions, human rights, media freedom, including through the restoration of Internet service, and advocated for a peaceful, rights- respecting resolution to the crisis.

There have been, kind of, a range of reports about peacekeeping forces, which I think you might be referencing, but — from Russia. We are closely monitoring reports that the Collective Security Treaty Organization have dispatched its collective peacekeeping forces to Kazakhstan. We have questions about the nature of this request and whether it has — it was a legitimate invitation or not. We don't know at this point.

The world will, of course, be watching for any violation of human rights and actions that may lay the predicate for the seizure of Kazakh institutions, and we call on the CSTO collective peacekeeping forces and law enforcement to uphold international human rights obligations in order to support a peaceful resolution.”

It's not her place or anyone else's actually to question the legitimacy of the [CSTO's Kazakhstani peacekeeping mission](#).

The Russian-led bloc is carrying out a limited intervention at the request of Kazakhstan's President Tokayev, whom the American government also recognizes. There are also no credible concerns that the CSTO is violating human rights though it was [predictable](#) that the US-led West would fearmonger about that scenario in a desperate attempt to discredit this operation. Washington doesn't like that Moscow is supporting genuine democracy in Kazakhstan since this contradicts everything that the US government ever said about its Russian counterpart.

To elaborate, the CSTO's Kazakhstani mission is predicated on "regime reinforcement", which is the opposite of the US' regime change policy. It deserves mentioning that America's anti-Russian "deep state" faction [might have played a role](#) in catalyzing the Hybrid War of Terror on Kazakhstan in a last-ditch gamble to sabotage the [upcoming negotiations](#) on de-escalating the [undeclared US-provoked missile crisis](#) in Europe. Even if the Biden Administration didn't approve of this operation, it'll still want to exploit Russia's response as part of its ongoing information warfare campaign against that country.

The CSTO was invited into Kazakhstan and didn't invade it like NATO did Afghanistan, Iraq, and the Serbian Autonomous Province of Kosovo & Metohija where the American-led alliance carried out its own "[peacekeeping missions](#)" and still does in the last-mentioned. It importantly wasn't preceded by a "shock and awe" campaign either nor did the CSTO overthrow Kazakhstan's government and replace it with a puppet regime before intervening. The contrast between the Russian-led mission in Kazakhstan and the American-led ones in the earlier mentioned places powerfully erodes the US' soft power.

Kazakhstan's national model of democracy is under threat from [regime change terrorists](#) that are waging [Hybrid Warfare](#) against this geostrategically located Central Asian nation. Its people are literally living in fear of the terrorists that took over their country's largest city in the span of just several hours, which made Kazakhstan's January 5th [way worse](#) than the US' January 6th. Attacking members of the security services (let alone [beheading](#) them), seizing and burning government buildings, and even taking over an airport are indisputable acts of terrorism, not "peaceful pro-democracy protests".

Russia is therefore literally saving Kazakhstan's democracy, freeing its people from the reign of terror that they've suddenly been forced to suffer under, and thus stabilizing the region. This objective observation discredits the US' fake news narrative that Russia is an "anti-democratic regional destabilizer", which is why Psaki so desperately attempted to malign its peacekeeping mission in Kazakhstan. She can't let Russia get away with looking good lest the global masses begin questioning the basis of her country's information warfare campaign against it.

The more that people realize the reality of what's happening in Kazakhstan, the more that they'll realize that Russia's pro-democracy anti-terrorist peacekeeping mission there is much more peaceful, democratic, and stabilizing than the US' own "peacekeeping missions". It's the latter whose legitimacy should be questioned and serious concerns raised about its soldiers' widespread violation of the most basic human rights. The US has a track record of death, destruction, and despair whenever it intervenes to overthrow other

governments while Russia's Kazakhstani operation is legal, peaceful, and stabilizing.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on [OneWorld](#).

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare.

He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from OneWorld

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long as the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca