

War Propaganda and “ISIS Held Oil Fields”: UK Airstrikes Hit “Obliterated” Syrian Oil Field, Not Hitting “Actual” ISIS Targets

By [21st Century Wire](#)

Global Research, December 05, 2015

[21st Century Wire](#) 4 December 2015

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [US NATO](#)

[War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

So what exactly have they been bombing?

Just over a month ago on Friday 23rd October 2015, [The Express](#) reported the following obliteration of an ‘ISIS held’ oil field by a Russian and American attack:

The terrorists’ oil field in eastern Syria – part of a half a billion dollar crude industry for the group – was obliterated in a day of bombing conducted by both Russia and the US-led coalition.

US operations officer Major Michael Filanowski told reporters in Baghdad the Omar oil field was blitzed, heavily damaging the lucrative funding source for ISIS.

He said: “There were strikes last night that struck Daesh-controlled oil refineries, command and control centres and transportation nodes.

“There were 26 targets and all 26 were struck.”

Watch a video of this report here:

Now, [The Express is reporting](#) that the commencing British airstrike action has “destroyed” the **same** oil field:

Four Tornados took off from RAF Akrotiri, Cyprus just an hour after MPs voted in favour of launching airstrikes in the war-torn country. The jets struck targets in the Omar oil field in Eastern Syria, dealing a “real blow” to the death cult, also known as Daesh.

One wonders... how it might be possible to deal a ‘real blow’ to a target which had already been ‘obliterated’ over one month ago?

Of course, it is possible that excessive hyperbole was used in the original reporting, but it is interesting that this was the *first* target that the British report hitting.



'OIL BOOM': Business is booming. (Photo Credit: [Andy Dunaway](#))

Just over two weeks ago, British Foreign Secretary [Philip Hammond was insisting](#) that the internationally recognised and legal government headed by President of Syria **Bashar al-Assad** "has to go", but that "We [the UK] are not seeking to destroy the institutions of government in Syria" – surely this is one of the greatest examples of double speak we've seen yet.

If Britain's airstrikes are not hitting actual *live* ISIS targets, perhaps they are already working on their true end game of trying to oust Assad. To do so, they will have to avoid hitting terrorist fighters because they will need to work with them in order to achieve their primary objective which is *regime change*. Still, they will have to deal with the [increasing Russia presence](#) seeking to secure the sovereignty of Syria.

As [21WIRE first reported last year](#), on the first day of the US-led Coalition 'Anti-ISIL Airstrikes' last fall in 2014, the US hit a series of empty targets, where ISIS fighter were actually given advanced warning and vacated the building in advance of bombing raids.

It should come as no surprise if Cameron is actually dropping bombs on already 'obliterated' targets. Those weapons have to be paid for, which will make the British government's friends in [the military industrial complex incredibly happy](#) indeed.

The original source of this article is [21st Century Wire](#)
Copyright © [21st Century Wire](#), [21st Century Wire](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **21st Century**
Wire

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca