

“War is Good for Business”: Military Industrial Complex Pressures US Presidential Candidates into Supporting a “Strong Foreign Policy”

By [Stuart J. Hooper](#)

Global Research, May 15, 2015
[21st Century Wire](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Militarization and WMD](#), [US NATO](#)
[War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

A new group of leaders from the military industrial complex has formed seeking to pressure potential presidential candidates into supporting a ‘strong foreign policy’.

Americans for Peace, Prosperity, and Security (APPS) has been established by former House Intelligence Committee Chairman **Mike Rogers**. It wants to be the “premiere national security and foreign policy organization during the 2016 debate”, and to “help elect a president who supports American engagement and a strong foreign policy”.

Watch a video version of this report below:

[APPS](#) is seeking to hold a candidate forum later this year.

The organization refuses to disclose its donors, but its list of supporters provides us with a who’s who of the military industrial complex.

Advisory Board Member John Coburn

- Chairman and CEO of VT Systems, a company delivering communications technology for the **U.S. Defense Department**.

Advisory Board Member Stephen Hadley

- Principal at consulting firm RiceHadleyGates and serves as a board member to defense contractor **Raytheon**, a position paying [\\$228,007](#) annually.

New Hampshire Board Member Rich Ashooh

- Director of Strategy at **BAE Systems**.

New Hampshire Board Member James Bell

- Chief executive of **EPE Corporation**, a [manufacturing company](#) that says it is a “premier supplier to the defense community.”

Advisory Board Member John Engler

- President of the Business Roundtable, lobbying group for major corporations, including defense contractors [such as Lockheed Martin, Boeing, United Technologies and Northrop Grumman](#).

New Hampshire Board Member Ken Solinsky

- Founder of **Insight Technologies**, a night vision and electro-optical systems firm acquired by L-3 Communications.

New Hampshire Chairman and Advisory Board Member Walt Havenstein

- Former chief executive of **BAE Systems** and **SAIC**, two of the largest defense contractors in America. Havenstein left SAIC in 2012 and was paid [partially](#) in company stock options.

We can safely say it is **unwise** to have those with a vested interest in war seeking to advise and influence the foreign policy direction of a hegemonic power like the United States.



APPS appears to be one of many recent outgrowths of the previous Neoconservative 'think thank' and pressure group known as the [Project for a New American Century](#) (PNAC) which openly advocated for military adventurism worldwide and whose founders included committed war hawks John Bolton, Robert Kagan, Paul Wolfowitz, Richard Perle, Donald Rumsfeld and Dick Cheney to name only a few.

In [a short promotional video from APPS](#) notice when Rogers says 'the threat matrix facing America today has never been broader', a picture of Russian President **Vladimir Putin** is then flashed on screen (subliminal), but not mentioned in the narration. This follows [a trend of certain figures and institutions](#) looking to start a war with Russia; something that should definitely be **avoided** not subconsciously hinted at.

The group's formation reveals the far-reaching extent to which the military industrial complex will collaborate in an attempt to direct policy. While APPS is looking for a President 'who supports American engagement', a massive [53% of Americans now see 'American engagement' in Iraq as a huge mistake](#). Pursuing such a policy in the 2016 race would amount to political suicide.

We also need further clarification on APPS's second goal, of finding a President with 'a strong foreign policy'. What exactly does this mean? We can assume that the military industrial complex is not content with [merely supporting rebels groups in foreign civil wars](#), and would instead prefer more direct involvement.



A few months ago the British government [sent a massive £280M](#) into the arms of the military industrial complex view as a concession being sent to the sector to make up for the British government's refusal to go to war in Syria.

This should serve as proof to those who refuse to acknowledge the dangers, and even

existence of, an inflated and overly influential military industrial complex. A **'strong foreign policy'** should not mean warfare as the primary means of conducting foreign policy. It is entirely possible to, instead, base a 'strong foreign policy' outlook on diplomacy and war-avoidance.

While it will always be in someone's interest to go to war, it takes a far stronger leader to consider those other, diplomatic options. A real President would keep the military industrial complex under control, not be controlled by it.

Be very wary of any candidates publicly showing support for APPS in the future.

Follow me here: <http://twitter.com/StuartJHooper>

The original source of this article is [21st Century Wire](#)
Copyright © [Stuart J. Hooper](#), [21st Century Wire](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stuart J. Hooper](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca