

Want to Know What Tax Haven Post Brexit Britain Could Look Like?

By True Publica

Global Research, October 04, 2018

TruePublica 3 October 2018

Region: <u>Europe</u>
Theme: <u>Global Economy</u>, <u>Intelligence</u>

Britain is already aiming to be a tax haven – its trajectory has been in the making for decades. Its corporate tax rate has been on a steady decline since the late 1970s. This coincided with Thatcher's neoliberal revolution that for the following 40 years would eventually accelerate poverty, homelessness, inequality, societal division and a crisis of daily life for half the population. In just one example of despair for the most vulnerable, the child poverty trajectory is set to increase 50 per cent by 2020. Compared to other developed countries the UK has a very unequal distribution of income. Out of the 30 OECD countries in the LIS data set, the UK is the seventh most unequal, and within this data set it is the fourth most unequal in Europe. But a post-Brexit world promises more of the same.

Britain's corporate tax rate sits at 119 out of 159 <u>listed</u> tax paying countries around the world, behind countries as economically diverse as Canada, China, Germany, Turkey, USA, Russia, France, Chad, Zambia and Australia.

Britain also has the lowest corporate tax rate of any of the major economies in Europe and the third lowest in the G20 of major industrialised nations. The two lowest countries on that list are Switzerland and Singapore and the UK has already threatened to match even them post-Brexit.

It is no coincidence then that poverty and homelessness in Britain are on the rise, that social services and local communities are being decimated along with the financial implosion of local authorities, health care and education. Low tax regimes create inequality, discrimination and division.

Here is an article by the <u>Tax Justice Network</u>. Whilst it refers to much a smaller territory of Britain and its population, it clearly demonstrates the negative effects of putting corporations, money and profit ahead of people and their communities.

Leaving Jersey - an island cursed by finance

By Nick Shazon: The <u>Tax Justice Network</u> was set up in 2003, after three Jerseyfolk, Pat Lucas, Jean Andersson and Frank Norman, traveled to London to see John Christensen, the island's former economic adviser. Christensen had left the island in 1998, appalled at the corruption and malfeasance he had encountered every day, and they knew it. They pleaded with him to to "rescue our island" from offshore finance. Christensen recalls:

They were talking about liberating the island. I said that if you want to do that, you have to take on the entire issue of tax havens and the global economy. They grasped that pretty fast, and asked: 'if that is what it takes, how do we set about doing that?' I said that we will have to create a mammoth global campaign to raise public awareness. It was clear to me by the time they left, three hours later, that this was the call to arms."

At first glance, it may seem odd that people on a small island should want to eliminate this industry which was tapping into a vast and growing reservoir of global wealth: the world's billionaires and multinationals who had been flocking to the island to live or set up shop.

The numbers, at least on the surface, are impressive: Jersey Finance, the island's main lobbying arm, puts it this way:

GVA [Gross Value Added, a proxy for Gross Domestic Product] per head of population in Jersey in 2016 was £40,200 (current year values) and was **53% greater than in the UK**."

Our emphasis added. Or you could look at international comparisons, ranking the richest countries according to GDP per capita: if you scroll down the lists (here's one), most of those at the top are small tax havens of one kind or another: Liechtenstein, Monaco, Macau, Luxembourg, Bermuda, and . . . Jersey. The wealth attracted by a large financial centre, divided by a small population, can generate large numbers.

Jersey is, it seems, so much richer than the UK, and than most other countries – why ever would locals want to kill the goose that laid such golden eggs?

Well, a <u>new blog</u> by Bram Wanrooij, a teacher who recently decided to leave the island, gives a clue. Something is badly amiss here. For one thing, there's the inequality:

I have never been so aware of wealth discrepancies as I have in Jersey. And that says a lot, as I have lived in places like Kenya and Sudan when I was younger.

The system of inviting so-called 'high-value residents' (an utterly disgusting phrase) openly ridicules the attempts to redistribute wealth fairly . . . This undermines a sense of community and actually sends out a message that wealth accumulation is an individual pursuit and has nothing to do with being part of society. Jersey's official message is: 'Make your money and then 'protect' yourself from the rest of society. We will facilitate this sabotage.' On this island, it is nurtured and even celebrated."

But inequality has a counterpart: massive, widespread hardship, affecting much of the island's population.

In the six years I've lived here, my family has had to move six times and every time we had to rent a house which was slightly beyond our budget, even though both my wife and I are hard workers with honest professions. I have seen qualified, talented people leave because of this, a phenomenon which makes no sense, neither on a social, nor an economic level. . .

Why are there so many charities in Jersey? It's only because our government

has shedded some of its core responsibilities"

All this is backed up by other analysts:

Households today with a mean net income are unable to service a mortgage affordably on the median purchase price of a house of any size, that is, if they can even get the deposit together. Despite this, for the Jersey housing market it's "boom time".

It's ferociously expensive to get off the islands too:

Jersey has quickly become a financial and geographical prison for middle and low earners."

Those GDP figures are, from the perspective of middle-class and lower-income earners, meaningless. A miniscule billionaire class will heavily skew the data to make average incomes look stellar. The majority aren't getting the benefit. (And in any case GDP is a hopeless measure of the average prosperity of a tax haven, because it includes all sorts of whirling financial flows that wheel in and out of Jersey, untaxed, rootless, hardly touching the sides.)

Those shiny goose eggs aren't made of gold after all; look closely and you'll see a cuckoo in the nest. This is the <u>Finance Curse</u> in action. All this money doesn't seem to be making people's lives better. It's not just that a pampered elite creams it all off before the population gets a look-in. It seems to be worse than that: most people are worse off than if the financial sector hadn't existed. If you can't even get this right in a small economy, where one ought to be able to share the incoming billions among a tiny population, what hope is there for a larger country like Britain?

In fact, <u>more and more research</u> is steadily emerging to show that oversized financial centres harm the countries that host them.

In short, Jersey's tax haven policies aren't just inflicting damage on other countries – they are inflicting damage on Jerseyfolk too (and while you're here, listen to our August 2018 Taxcast to hear echoes of exactly the same story from Bermuda.)

The personal angle.

In the goldfish bowl of Jersey's small island society, the brutal power relationships entrenched by the dominance of offshore finance make all this much, much worse. And so much of this happens at a personal level. John Christensen, who spent much of his life in Jersey, has felt this through bitter experience. When he ran the Jersey film society they showed a German film called the Nasty Girl (Das schreckliche Mädchen), based on the true story of a young woman who began probing into her local town's Nazi past, and became the victim of a terrifying subterranean response.

"Even before leaving Jersey I've felt that anyone who talked about what was really going on there in any critical way was treated as a Schreckliche Mädchen. Since leaving Jersey I've felt like the Nasty Boy: the sniping, the

constant attacks, being described as a Traitor."

If you don't believe him, you can watch this actually happening on camera. While filming in Jersey for a Danish television documentary about some Jersey-related skulduggery, a stranger approaches and asks "why you are always trying to stab your island in the back?" This two-minute video conveys clearly the personal pain that can be involved, tied up with questions of family and honour and deceit and low allegations.

Christensen continued:

In the case of one of my brothers, it's completely poisoned the relationship. He was chairman of Jersey finance, and a former head of a Jersey trust company. Their senior officers were going around telling journalists I had nasty personal baggage, insinuating that I left because I was angry at not getting the top job - chief adviser to the government when they knew damned well that I never applied for it"

(For more on that ugly episode, see Oliver Bullough's article on Jersey for <u>The Guardian</u>.)

Something <u>rather similar happened</u> to Patrick Muirhead, a BBC reporter who worked in local media for a while.

"In an island of 90,000 souls, one is only removed from another by the smallest step of separation. . . After I left, my integrity, professional ability and popularity were trashed by a hostile and defensive Jersey media and island population. . .The unwillingness to invite outsiders to probe or criticise is almost insurmountable."

This culture of concealment helped cover up, among other things, a giant child abuse scandal.

Nobody is in any doubt that offshore finance has "captured" Jersey's political system, its economy, and even its culture. It remains impossible to resist it. Paul Bisson, a local teacher who wrote a racy novel about corruption in Jersey, <u>puts it</u> as well as any.

It's almost like we've surrendered part of our soul to finance."

Perhaps an even better summary comes from one of the characters in his book, Marigold Dark. Please, Dear Reader, forgive the colourful language.

We all know that foreign money has the run of this place. But it's quite another thing altogether to openly replace the Jersey flag with a set of splayed arse cheeks and a dollar sign."

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is <u>TruePublica</u> Copyright © <u>True Publica</u>, <u>TruePublica</u>, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **True Publica**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca