

Will She Make it to the White House? Waning Mainstream Media Support for Hillary Clinton.

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)
Global Research, October 31, 2016

Region: [USA](#)
Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)
In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

What has been the response of the mainstream media which so far has endorsed Hillary through a process of coverup of her criminal undertakings?

Without mainstream media propaganda, Hillary's political legitimacy would collapse like a deck of cards. The Second Letter by FBI Director James Comey opens up a "Pandora's Box" of fraud and corruption.

Moreover, following the October Surprise release by FBI Director James Comey, the media narrative seems to have taken on a different slant.


Democrats should ask Clinton to step aside



Hillary Clinton answers questions about the FBI reopening a probe into her use of a private email server on Oct. 28, 2016. (Jewel Samad / Getty-AFP)



By **John Kass** • Contact Reporter



The media is controlled by powerful economic interest groups. Are the power brokers behind Hillary having second thoughts? Does it serve their interests in supporting a candidate who has an extensive criminal record? Do they want a dysfunctional presidency?

Has the Mainstream media dumped Hillary? So far, Not Yet. With some exceptions the MSM continues to support Hillary candidacy, without applause.

[A report by the Chicago Tribune](#) (October 29, 2016) entitled "**Democrats should ask Clinton to step aside**" is nonetheless revealing. does it point to shift in direction?

[John Kass](#) (Chicago Tribune), begs the question in no uncertain terms:

Has America become so numb by the decades of lies and cynicism oozing from [Clinton Inc.](#) that it could elect [Hillary Clinton](#) as president, even after Friday's [FBI](#) announcement that it had reopened an investigation of her emails while secretary of state?

We'll find out soon enough.

It's obvious the American political system is breaking down. It's been crumbling for some time now, and the establishment elite know it and they're properly frightened. [Donald Trump](#), the vulgarian at their gates, is a symptom, not a cause. Hillary Clinton and husband Bill are both cause and effect.

FBI director [James Comey](#)'s announcement about the renewed Clinton email investigation **is the bombshell in the presidential campaign**. That he announced this so close to Election Day should tell every thinking person that what the FBI is looking at is extremely serious.

This can't be about pervert Anthony Weiner and his reported desire for a teenage girl. But it can be about the laptop of Weiner's wife, Clinton aide [Huma Abedin](#), and emails between her and Hillary. It comes after the FBI investigation in which Comey concluded Clinton had lied and been "reckless" with national secrets, but said he could not recommend prosecution.

So what should the Democrats do now?

If ruling Democrats hold themselves to the high moral standards they impose on the people they govern, they would follow a simple process:

They would demand that Mrs. Clinton step down, immediately, and let her vice presidential nominee, Sen. [Tim Kaine](#) of Virginia, stand in her place.

Democrats should say, honestly, that with a new criminal investigation going on into events around her home-brew email server from the time she was secretary of state, having Clinton anywhere near the White House is just not a good idea.

Since Oct. 7, WikiLeaks has released 35,000 emails hacked from Clinton campaign boss [John Podesta](#). Now WikiLeaks, no longer a neutral player but an active anti-Clinton agency, plans to release another 15,000 emails.

What if she is elected? Think of a nation suffering a bad economy and continuing chaos in the Middle East, and now also facing a criminal investigation of a president. Add to that congressional investigations and a public vision of Clinton as a Nixonian figure wandering the halls, wringing her hands.

The best thing would be for Democrats to ask her to step down now. It would be the most responsible thing to do, if the nation were more important to them than power. And the American news media — fairly or not firmly identified in the public mind as Mrs. Clinton's political action committee — should begin demanding it.

But what will Hillary do?

She'll stick and ride this out and turn her anger toward Comey. For Hillary and

Bill Clinton, it has always been about power, about the Clinton Restoration and protecting fortunes already made by selling nothing but political influence.

She'll remind the nation that she's a woman and that Donald Trump said terrible things about women. If there is another notorious Trump video to be leaked, the Clintons should probably leak it now. Then her allies in media can talk about misogyny and sexual politics and the headlines can be all about Trump as the boor he is and Hillary as champion of female victims, which she has never been.

Remember that Bill Clinton leveraged the "Year of the Woman." Then he preyed on women in the White House and Hillary protected him. But the political left — most particularly the women of the left — defended him because he promised to protect abortion rights and their other agendas.

If you take a step back from tribal politics, you'll see that Mrs. Clinton has clearly disqualified herself from ever coming near classified information again. If she were a young person straight out of grad school hoping to land a government job, Hillary Clinton would be laughed out of Washington with her record. She'd never be hired.

As secretary of state she kept classified documents on the home-brew server in her basement, which is against the law. She lied about it to the American people. She couldn't remember details dozens of times when questioned by the FBI. Her aides destroyed evidence by BleachBit and hammers. Her husband, Bill, met secretly on an airport tarmac with Attorney General Loretta Lynch for about a half-hour, and all they said they talked about was golf and the grandkids.

Is the Chicago Tribune alone in pulling the plug on Hillary?

The Fix

The FBI's October surprise just made Hillary Clinton's awful week even worse

By [Chris Cillizza](#) October 28 

[Washington Post Headlines](#), October 28, 2016

While most MSM continue to endorse Hillary's candidacy, the reports suggest that, despite her lead in the polls, **she will not make it to the White House**. This shift is fundamental. Without media disinformation in support of her candidacy, Hillary is doomed. "How badly is Clinton hurt by all of this?" asks the [Washington Post](#):

"It depends on what we learn and when we learn it. But there's no way this is anything but bad news for Clinton with just 11 days left before Americans go to the polls."

The original source of this article is Global Research

Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), Global Research, 2016

Articles by: **Prof Michel Chossudovsky**

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has undertaken field research in Latin America, Asia, the Middle East, sub-Saharan Africa and the Pacific and has written extensively on the economies of developing countries with a focus on poverty and social inequality. He has also undertaken research in Health Economics (UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), UNFPA, CIDA, WHO, Government of Venezuela, John Hopkins International Journal of Health Services (1979, 1983) He is the author of 13 books including The Globalization of Poverty and The New World Order (2003), America's "War on Terrorism" (2005), The Globalization of War, America's Long War against Humanity (2015). He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca