

# Vote for Hillary Clinton: Vote for the Military-Industrial Complex and World War

By [Timothy Bancroft-Hinchey](#)  
Global Research, August 08, 2016  
[Pravda.ru](#) 4 August 2016

Region: [USA](#)  
Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)  
In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

*In 2010, this column carried a piece written by the Venezuelan historian and writer **Luis Britto Garcia**, about the real intentions of Hillary Clinton and [NATO](#), against the Russian Federation. Reading back over her messages released on Wikileaks, we can conclude that a vote for Clinton is a vote for the military-industrial complex and a world war.*

## The Princess of Darkness

Three years ago, Hillary [Clinton](#) was an ex-politician in retirement from the State Department. She didn't create a lot of interest, she was rarely in the news. Six years ago, Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State of the United States of America, actively engaged in the illegal war against Libya, siding with terrorists on the west's own lists of proscribed groups, rendering Libya a failed state crawling with terrorists, and today Islamic State. **Islamic State, which grew up on [Hillary Clinton's](#) watch.** Hillary Clinton, the Princess of Darkness, masterminded the transformation of Libya from the African country with the highest human development index into the poorest on the continent, a prosperous country which descended into total chaos and a collapse of the *res publica*. Not a bad day's work for a Secretary of State.

*And then there was Syria, another [country](#) crawling with terrorists, some of them shipped over from Libya once the job was done. But behind the scenes something else far more sinister was brewing. Remember all the talk these days of the Baltic States and Poland and Romania, and a missile shield which is supposed to protect the USA and its allies from - Pluto was it? - but parked right along Russia's western flank?*

Well, let us re-read Britto Garcia's work, six years on, and see what sort of person lies behind the character of Hillary Clinton, the candidate of the [Democratic](#) Party for President of the United States of America. Going back over history can help us put things into context, and it makes shocking reading, very worrying reading and a very telling warning sign over those who were thinking about voting for Hillary Clinton.

## Secret NATO plans to destroy Russia

*Among the Wikileaks documents released was a telegram including secret NATO plans for an attack against Russia. This is not speculation, it was printed by Britain's The Guardian newspaper and included a massive NATO strike against Russia's western flank dislocating nine military divisions from the United States of America, Poodle-in-Chief the United Kingdom, Germany and Poland. The attack was also to use German and Polish ports for a lightning naval attack to be staged by the USA and the UK.*

One of the telegrams, claims Britto Garcia, was dated January 26, 2010, was signed by US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton and states: "The United States believes strongly that this plan should not be discussed in public. They are classified as "the top secret level of NATO". She adds: "Public discussion of contingency plans would undermine their military value, allowing them to expose NATO's plans. This weakens all of our allies."

## **The Queen of Liars**

*For those who today insinuate that Hillary Clinton is a serial liar, there is also evidence in the leaked documents that she, as head of the USA's diplomacy, gave instructions to diplomats to lie in case of any leaks, suggesting evasive answers such as " NATO does not discuss specific plans" and that "the plans of NATO, are not directed at any country".*

So NATO's plans for the deployment of nine divisions are directed at what? The center of the Earth? Cloud cuckoo land?

Neither are we speaking about a single leaked telegram, we are speaking about many documents which at the time caused consternation among Russian diplomatic circles, then after the furore, Hillary Clinton disappeared. But the plans did not. The context of the plans was the defense of the Baltic States and the aim "to expand the plan that already exists for the defense of Poland". And today we see the three Baltic States ratcheting up the anti-Russian hype daily, speak among NATO circles of an invasion of these states by Russia (groundless gossip and nothing more) and then this year a massive military exercise in Poland, coupled with plans to instal the nuclear defense shield in Poland and Romania, virtually controlling Russia's air options along her western flank. This, after the failed attempt to seize Russia's Crimean naval assets.

Once again, Britto Garcia's work is not empty hearsay. It includes mention of a telegram dated October 2009 in which the United States' ambassador to NATO, Ivo Daalder, stated that both Hillary Clinton and President Obama expressed support for the development of the military plan against Russia.

"Daalder suggests to not to make it clear that Russia is a potential target, by the adoption of a "generic plan" for moving troops to the Baltic countries while not mentioning against whom these troops would be directed - in case of leak - not to cause or provoke constraints with Moscow".

## **Conclusion: Clinton is the war candidate**

The only conclusion we can draw is that Hillary Clinton represents the Establishment in the USA, staffed with people on both sides of the political divide, staffed by members of the lobbies which have long set their eyes on total hegemony and dominance of Russia's huge resources. They have fooled themselves into thinking that Russia would buckle and collapse at the first hint of a serious threat of war from NATO.

This is the sort of pie-in-the-sky, make-it-up-as-you-go-along, incompetent, pig-headed, holier-than-thou approach one might expect from Hillary Clinton, who in so many years of public office, has achieved precisely what? The adoration of Israel and the Zionist Lobby, the destruction of Libya, the destruction of Syria, and when Russia solved the chemical weapons debacle after western-backed Syrian terrorists carried out false flag attacks and blamed them on Assad, Clinton said the Russian approach was, and I quote, "despicable".

Hillary Clinton was out of her depth as Secretary of State. Imagine her as President and tool of the lobbies whose evil interests supercede any respect for the people of the United States of America and the citizens of the world. Hillary Clinton is a risk. Hillary Clinton is a wild card. Hillary Clinton is dangerous.

*As for Russia, she stood against Hitler. She can stand against Hillary. A vote for Hillary is a vote for Hell on Earth.*

The original source of this article is [Pravda.ru](http://Pravda.ru)  
Copyright © [Timothy Bancroft-Hinchey](http://Timothy Bancroft-Hinchey), [Pravda.ru](http://Pravda.ru), 2016

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Timothy Bancroft-Hinchey](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)