

Video: The Use of Nuclear Weapons Threatens the Future of Humanity

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [Caroline Mailloux](#)
Global Research, April 24, 2024

Region: [Russia and FSU, USA](#)
Theme: [History](#), [Militarization and WMD](#)
In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name (Desk Top version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

First published on April 23, 2024

Author's Introduction

My long-standing commitment is to **"the value of human life"**, **"the criminalization of war"**, **"peaceful co-existence"** between nation states and **"the future of humanity"** which is currently threatened by nuclear war.

The World is at a Dangerous Crossroads. We are no longer dealing with a hypothetical scenario. The threat of World War III is real.

While one can conceptualize the loss of life and destruction resulting from present-day conventional wars, it is impossible to fully comprehend the devastation which might result from a Third World War, using nuclear weapons until it occurs and becomes a reality.

The US administration has endorsed preemptive nuclear war in the name of world peace. "Making the world safer" is the justification for launching a military operation which could potentially result in a nuclear holocaust.

It should be understood that the use of nuclear weapons in relation to the confrontation between US-NATO and Russia would inevitably lead **to escalation and the end of humanity as we know it**.

I have been researching nuclear war for more than 20 years focussing on its historical, strategic and geopolitical dimensions as well as its criminal features as a means to implementing what is best described as "genocide on a massive scale".

What is outlined in this video presentation is a brief history of nuclear war: a succession of U.S. nuclear war plans going back to the **Manhattan Project** (1939-1945) which was conducive to the bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki in August 1945.

Unknown to the broader public, the U.S. War Department -in liaison with Oppenheimer's Manhattan Project team at Los Alamos- formulated the first **U.S. Domsday Blueprint of a attack against 66 urban areas of the Soviet Union with an estimated 200 atomic bombs.**

This was confirmed by **"Top Secret" documents released by the War Department on September 15, 1945** when the US and the Soviet Union were allies.

Hiroshima and Nagasaki served as a "Dress Rehearsal", as a means to formulate a **"Domsday Blueprint" which consisted in "Wiping the Soviet Union off the Map"**

Video: The Dangers of Nuclear War.

Michel Chossudovsky and Caroline Mailloux

April 23 2024,

[leave a comment, access Rumble](#)

Video: Interview en français

[Pour laisser un commentaire ou accéder à Rumble](#)

The September 15, 1945 Blueprint to "Wipe the Soviet Union off the Map"

What is presented below is a summary. For an in-depth and detailed analysis see:



[**The Hiroshima Nagasaki "Dress Rehearsal": Oppenheimer and the U.S. War Department's Secret September 15, 1945 "Domsday Blueprint" to "Wipe the Soviet Union off the Map"**](#)

By [*Prof Michel Chossudovsky*](#), April 14, 2024

Below is the image of the 66 cities of the Soviet Union which had been envisaged as targets using more than 200 atomic bombs.

[**The 66 cities. Click image to enlarge**](#)



There is an element of political delusion and paranoia in the formulation of US foreign policy. The **Doomsday Scenario** against the Soviet Union has been on the drawing board of the Pentagon for almost 80 years.

Had it not been for the September 1945 plan to “wipe the Soviet Union off the map” (66 urban areas and more than 200 atomic bombs), neither Russia nor China would have developed nuclear weapons. There wouldn’t have been a Nuclear Arms Race.

Barely two weeks after the official end of World War II (September 2, 1945), the US War Department issued a blueprint (September 15, 1945) to “Wipe the Soviet Union off the Map” (**66 cities with 204 atomic bombs**), when the US and the USSR were allies.

This infamous project is confirmed by declassified documents. (For further details see [Chossudovsky, 2017](#))

*Numerous US nuclear war plans have been formulated from the outset, leading up to **The 1956 Strategic Air Command SAC Atomic Weapons Requirements Study** (Declassified in December 2015) which consisted in targeting **1200 urban areas in the Soviet Union, Eastern Europe and China.***

The preparatory documents (see below) confirm that the data pertaining to the Hiroshima and Nagasaki attacks were being used to evaluate the viability as well as the cost of a much larger attack against the Soviet Union. These documents were finalized 5-6 weeks

after the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombings (6, 9 August 1945).

“To Ensure our National Security”

Note the correspondence between **Major General Norstad** and the head of the Manhattan Project, **General Leslie Groves**, who was in permanent liaison with **Dr. J. Robert Oppenheimer**, head of the Los Alamos team of nuclear scientists.

On September 15, 1945 Norstad sent a memorandum to **Lieutenant Leslie Groves** requesting an estimate of the “**number of bombs required to ensure our national security**” ([The First Atomic Stockpile Requirements](#))

ADDRESS REPLY TO
COMMANDING GENERAL, ARMY AIR FORCES
WASHINGTON, D. C.

~~TOP SECRET~~
LIMITED

WAR DEPARTMENT
HEADQUARTERS OF THE ARMY AIR FORCES
WASHINGTON, D. C.

15 September 1945

MEMORANDUM FOR MAJOR GENERAL L. R. GROVES:

Subject: Atomic Bomb Production

1. The attached study has been directed toward establishing an official Army Air Forces' view as to the number of atomic bombs which should be available in order to insure our national security.
2. This paper is still on the working level. Prior to concluding this study and forwarding it to the CG, AAF, your comments are requested.

Incl:
Study abv
subj w/Tabs A,B,C.

Lauris Norstad
LAURIS NORSTAD,
Major General, U.S.A.
AG/AS-5.

THE DIVISION OF CLASSIFICATION, U.S. ENERGY
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION, HAS
DETERMINED THAT THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS NO
RESTRICTED DATA OR FORMERLY RESTRICTED DATA.
ERDA HAS NO OBJECTION TO ITS DECLASSIFICATION.

Lauris Norstad 9/25/75
VIEWED BY _____ DATE

FOR VICTORY

Lieutenant General Groves no doubt in consultation with **Dr. Oppenheimer** responded to Major General Norstad in a **Memorandum dated September 29, 1945** in which he refers to Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

See section 2, subsections a, b and c.

"It is not essential to get total destruction of a city in order to destroy its effectiveness. Hiroshima no longer exists as a city even though the area of total destruction is considerably less than total."

The Hiroshima Nagasaki bombings were a "Dress Rehearsal"

Read carefully. The text below confirms that Hiroshima and Nagasaki was "A Dress Rehearsal".

Bear in mind the name of the country which is threatening America's "national security" is not mentioned.

Answering your memorandum of 15 September 1945, [see response below]

SAVE

TOP SECRET
WAR DEPARTMENT
P. O. Box 2510
WASHINGTON, D. C.

**FOR VICTORY
BUY
UNITED STATES
WAR
BONDS
AND
STAMPS**

REFER TO FILE NO. _____

THIS DOCUMENT CONSISTS OF PAGE(S)
NO OF COPIES, SERIES A.....

26 September 1945

MEMORANDUM FOR MAJOR GENERAL LAURIS NORSTAD:

1. Answering your memorandum of 15 September 1945 on the subject "Atomic Bomb Production", the following general comments are submitted:

2. The number of bombs for the minimum M-Day stock and the optimum stock are high because of the following factors:

a. The estimates are based on an area of total destruction and amounted to four square miles with an outer bomb damage of 6,000 to 7,000 feet. An area at least twice that should be used. While the damaged area of Nagasaki was considerably less than that of Hiroshima it was because the target was not suitable in size or shape for the maximum effectiveness of the bomb.

b. It is not essential to get total destruction of a city in order to destroy its effectiveness. Hiroshima no longer exists as a city even though the area of total destruction is considerably less than total.

c. While at Hiroshima the frames of a number of reinforced concrete buildings remained intact the windows were blown out and the interiors were gutted. While the buildings could be rebuilt they were made unusable for a considerable period. The Nagasaki bomb did more damage to reinforced concrete buildings. While our studies are not completed it is believed the final results will show a greater radius of destruction for such buildings than is indicated in the report.

3. In the limited time available no detailed analysis has been made of the report but my general conclusion would be that the number of bombs indicated as required, is excessive.

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED
DATE 9/25/75
For the U. S. Energy Research
and Development Administration
JOHN K. HARTSOCK *JKH*
Division of Classification

L. R. GROVES,
Major General, U. S. A.

5-10-45

TOP SECRET

LIMITED

PROBLEM

1. To determine the United States requirements for atomic bomb stocks in the interim post-war era.

ASSUMPTIONS

2. It is assumed that:
- a. The United States must be prepared to conduct offensive operations against any other world power or combination of powers.
 - b. The United States will maintain sufficient bases and air forces capable of attacking the strategic heart of any potential enemy.
 - c. The immediate destruction of the enemy's will and capacity to resist is the primary objective of the United States Army Strategic Air Forces.
 - d. Extensive research regarding the strategic vulnerability of all major powers will be conducted later and will permit a more complete analysis of bomb requirements.

FACTS BEARING ON THE PROBLEM

3. At the conclusion of World War II the United States first employed the revolutionary atomic bomb. Only two such bombs were dropped on Japan but these were spectacularly successful. Various conditions limit the reliability of information obtained on the properties of this weapon, and it is impossible to catalogue the full capabilities of any bomb by dropping two. Satisfactory experimentation is extremely difficult. However, photo analysis of the results at Hiroshima indicates the radius of destruction to be approximately 7000 feet. Tab "B" is a more complete description of the results of the Hiroshima bomb as interpreted from photo reconnaissance.
4. The characteristics of this weapon are such that it cannot be regarded as "just another bomb." These bombs are very expensive, cannot be produced in mass, require special storage conditions, require highly technical shipment and assembly procedures, and must be assembled and placed on the objective by highly skilled and specially trained personnel.
5. There is no approved production program for the atomic bomb.

We are at a Dangerous Crossroads.

*At no point since the first atomic bomb was dropped on Hiroshima on **August 6th, 1945**, has humanity been closer to the unthinkable.*

All the safeguards of the Cold War era, which categorized the nuclear bomb as "a weapon of last resort", have been scrapped.

Throughout History, “Mistakes” have Played a Key Role. Upholding WMDs as Instruments of Peace is a Dangerous Gimmick

Relentless War Propaganda and Media Disinformation Is the Driving Force. It Must be Confronted.

Is “Peaceful Coexistence” and Diplomacy between Russia and the U.S. an Option?

“War is Good for Business”: Corrupt Governments which Uphold the Interests of Big Money Must be Challenged

For details including documentation and history see:




[The Hiroshima Nagasaki “Dress Rehearsal”: Oppenheimer and the U.S. War Department’s Secret September 15, 1945 “Doomsday Blueprint” to “Wipe the Soviet Union off the Map”](#)

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), April 14, 2024



[“Preemptive Nuclear War”: The Historic Battle for Peace and Democracy. A Third World War Threatens the Future of Humanity](#)

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), January 31, 2023



[“Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War”](#)

by Michel Chossudovsky

Available to order from Global Research!

ISBN Number: 978-0-9737147-5-3

Year: 2012

Pages: 102

PDF Edition: \$6.50 (sent directly to your email account!)

Michel Chossudovsky is Professor of Economics at the University of Ottawa and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), which hosts the critically acclaimed website www.globalresearch.ca. He is a contributor to the Encyclopedia Britannica. His writings have been translated into more than 20 languages.

Reviews

“This book is a ‘must’ resource – a richly documented and systematic diagnosis of the supremely pathological geo-strategic planning of US wars since ‘9-11’ against non-nuclear countries to seize their oil fields and resources under cover of ‘freedom and democracy’.”

-John McMurtry, Professor of Philosophy, Guelph University

“In a world where engineered, pre-emptive, or more fashionably “humanitarian” wars of aggression have become the norm, this challenging book may be our final wake-up call.”

-Denis Halliday, Former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations

Michel Chossudovsky exposes the insanity of our privatized war machine. Iran is being targeted with nuclear weapons as part of a war agenda built on distortions and lies for the purpose of private profit. The real aims are oil, financial hegemony and global control. The price could be nuclear holocaust. When weapons become the hottest export of the world’s only superpower, and diplomats work as salesmen for the defense industry, the whole world is recklessly endangered. If we must have a military, it belongs entirely in the public sector. No one should profit from mass death and destruction.

-Ellen Brown, author of ‘Web of Debt’ and president of the Public Banking Institute

The original source of this article is Global Research

Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [Caroline Mailloux](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)** and **[Caroline Mailloux](#)**

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has undertaken field research in

Latin America, Asia, the Middle East, sub-Saharan Africa and the Pacific and has written extensively on the economies of developing countries with a focus on poverty and social inequality. He has also undertaken research in Health Economics (UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), UNFPA, CIDA, WHO, Government of Venezuela, John Hopkins International Journal of Health Services (1979, 1983) He is the author of 13 books including *The Globalization of Poverty and The New World Order* (2003), *America's "War on Terrorism"* (2005), *The Globalization of War, America's Long War against Humanity* (2015). He is a contributor to the *Encyclopaedia Britannica*. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca