

## Video: Victoria Nuland Inciting WWII with Russia

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [Helena Glass](#)

Global Research, July 16, 2023

[Helena](#) 26 May 2023

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

### GR Editor's Note

We are not in a position to corroborate the alleged controversial statement of Victoria Nuland regarding WWII.

What she upholds is a "long war" against Russia, which could lead humanity into a WWII scenario

Has the alleged statement by Nuland from the video conference been removed? The latter was held under the auspices of the Kyiv Security Forum together with the Atlantic Council.

☐ [@AtlanticCouncil](#) was proud to join [@ksfopenukraine](#) to co-host a panel on US support for Ukraine.

Transatlantic unity and robust support can help Ukraine defeat Russia and renew European security. [pic.twitter.com/oWfmKkwI2P](https://pic.twitter.com/oWfmKkwI2P)

— Eurasia Center (@ACEurasia) [May 25, 2023](#)

### Below is the video of the Conference

We suggest you view the National Security Video below. It confirms the Biden Administration's foreign policy stance with regard to Ukraine.

The Conference debate centres on Ukraine NATO membership as well as US-NATO's "commitment" to waging a long war against Russia which would eventually lead to an "official" deployment of US-NATO forces in the Ukraine battlefield.

Victoria Nuland's three Statements are recorded.

The Third Statement at 1.15:00 is more substantive, explaining NATO's commitment to

Ukraine.

While Nuland does not explicitly refer to WWII, what she describes is a “long war” scenario, which inevitably could evolve towards WWII.

“we are here for the long-term”,

“as long as it takes” in a war against Russia.

“It’s about the long-term”. US-NATO is there for the long-run.

We are already working with the Kiev government to ensure what we need for the long term.

Dangerous crossroads:

Her statements confirm a long-term war with Russia, as [outlined in the NeoCons Project for the New American Century](#):

The PNAC dispels the planning of “consecutive” military operations: it describes:

America’s “Long War” as follows:

“fight and decisively win multiple, simultaneous major theater wars”

The conduct of “Simultaneous theater Wars” is the backbone of America’s hegemonic Agenda.

It’s a project of global warfare. The PNAC controlled by the NeoCons also dispels the holding of real peace negotiations.

**ESTABLISH FOUR CORE MISSIONS for U.S. military forces:**

- **defend the American homeland;**
- **fight and decisively win multiple, simultaneous major theater wars;**
- **perform the “constabulary” duties associated with shaping the security environment in critical regions;**
- **transform U.S. forces to exploit the “revolution in military affairs;”**

**To carry out these core missions, we need to provide sufficient force and budgetary allocations. In particular, the United States must:**

**MAINTAIN NUCLEAR STRATEGIC SUPERIORITY, basing the U.S. nuclear deterrent upon a global, nuclear net assessment that weighs the full range of current and emerging threats, not merely the U.S.-Russia balance.**

The broader debate as well as Nuland’s statements suggest that Ukraine will be part of NATO.

“There is no other option”.

Also there will be “more and more NATO forces on the battlefield” which intimates that the war is slated to evolve towards a US-NATO confrontation with Russia, which in turn could lead to a nuclear war.

Peace negotiations are simply not mentioned.

In anticipation of the July 11 Vilnius Summit, NATO Secretary General Stoltenberg made the following statement: ([official NATO website](#)):

“I expect Allied leaders will agree a package with three elements, to bring Ukraine closer to NATO.

First, we will agree a multi-year programme of assistance [long-term military aid]. To ensure full interoperability between the Ukrainian armed forces and NATO.

Second, we will upgrade our political ties. By establishing the NATO-Ukraine Council.

And third, I expect Allied leaders will reaffirm that Ukraine will become a member of NATO. And unite on how to bring Ukraine closer to its goal.” (emphasis added)

Michel Chossudovsky, Global Research, June 11, 2023

Video Kyiv Security Conference. A. Yatsenuk Foundation

Victoria Nuland at 1.04:00, 1.11.00 and 11.14.00

UPDATE (July 12, 2023)

[The Vilnius Summit Communiqué, Issued by NATO Heads of State and Government participating in the meeting of the North Atlantic Council in Vilnius 11 July 2023](#)

The thrust of the Summit Communiqué points dangerously in the direction of the deployment of US-NATO forces in the Ukraine battlefield, which could eventually lead to direct US-NATO military confrontation against the Russian Federation. The tendency is towards military escalation and a WWII scenario.

[The NATO Communiqué](#) does not mention procedures, diplomatic or otherwise which could lead to peace negotiations, nor does it recognize that the Kiev government including its military and intelligence apparatus is largely controlled by Neo-Nazis, which are responsible for crimes against humanity.

Selected excerpts which broadly confirm the statements expressed in the May Kyiv Security Conference. (emphasis added).

Global Research will be publishing a review of the NATO Communiqué.

\*\*\*

5. Peace in the Euro-Atlantic area has been shattered. The Russian Federation has violated the norms and principles that contributed to a stable and predictable European security order. The Russian Federation is the most significant and direct threat to Allies’

security and to peace and stability in the Euro-Atlantic area. Terrorism, in all its forms and manifestations, is the most direct asymmetric threat to the security of our citizens and to international peace and prosperity. The threats we face are global and interconnected.

6. Strategic competition, pervasive instability and recurrent shocks define our broader security environment. Conflict, fragility and instability in Africa and the Middle East directly affect our security and the security of our partners. The People's Republic of China's (PRC) stated ambitions and coercive policies challenge our interests, security and values. We remain open to constructive engagement with the PRC, including to build reciprocal transparency, with a view to safeguarding the Alliance's security interests. We continue to be confronted by cyber, space, hybrid and other asymmetric threats, and by the malicious use of emerging and disruptive technologies.

7. Russia bears full responsibility for its illegal, unjustifiable, and unprovoked war of aggression against Ukraine, which has gravely undermined Euro-Atlantic and global security and for which it must be held fully accountable. ... We do not and will never recognise Russia's illegal and illegitimate annexations, including Crimea. There can be no impunity for Russian war crimes and other atrocities, such as attacks against civilians and the destruction of civilian infrastructure that deprives millions of Ukrainians of basic human services. All those responsible must be held accountable for violations and abuses of human rights and international humanitarian law, particularly against Ukraine's civilian population, including the forced deportation of children and conflict-related sexual violence. The destruction of the Kakhovka dam highlights the brutal consequences of the war started by Russia. Russia's war has had a profound impact on the environment, nuclear safety, energy and food security, the global economy, and the welfare of billions of people around the world. Allies are working to enable exports of Ukrainian grain and actively support international efforts to alleviate the global food crisis.

8. Russia must immediately stop this illegal war of aggression, cease its use of force against Ukraine, and completely and unconditionally withdraw all of its forces and equipment from the territory of Ukraine within its internationally recognised borders, extending to its territorial waters.

We urge all countries not to provide any kind of assistance to Russia's aggression and condemn all those who are actively facilitating Russia's war. Belarus' support has been instrumental as it continues to provide its territory and infrastructure to allow Russian forces to attack Ukraine and sustain Russia's aggression. In particular Belarus, but also Iran, must end their complicity with Russia and return to compliance with international law.

11. We fully support Ukraine's right to choose its own security arrangements. Ukraine's future is in NATO. ... Ukraine has become increasingly interoperable and politically integrated with the Alliance, and has made substantial progress on its reform path.

9. ...We welcome and support President Zelenskyy's commitment in setting out the principles for such a peace through his Peace Formula. We are committed to achieving a just and lasting peace that upholds the principles of the UN Charter, in particular sovereignty, territorial integrity and independence. We underline that this cannot be realised without Russia's complete and unconditional withdrawal. While we have called on Russia to engage constructively in credible negotiations with Ukraine, Russia has not shown any genuine openness to a just and lasting peace.

12. The security of Ukraine is of great importance to Allies and the Alliance. To support Ukraine's further integration with NATO, today we have agreed a substantial package of expanded political and practical support. We have decided to establish the NATO-Ukraine Council, a new joint body where Allies and Ukraine sit as equal members to advance political dialogue, engagement, cooperation, and Ukraine's Euro-Atlantic aspirations for membership in NATO. It will provide for joint consultations, decision-making, and activities, and will also serve as a crisis consultation mechanism between NATO and Ukraine.

14. Russia has increased its multi-domain military build-up and presence in the Baltic, Black, and Mediterranean Sea regions, and maintains significant military capabilities in the Arctic. Russia's more assertive posture, novel military capabilities, and provocative activities, including near NATO borders, as well as its large-scale no-notice and snap exercises, continue to threaten the security of the Euro-Atlantic area. In the High North, its capability to disrupt Allied reinforcements and freedom of navigation across the North Atlantic is a strategic challenge to the Alliance. NATO and Allies will continue to undertake necessary, calibrated, and coordinated activities, including by exercising relevant plans.

16. ... We condemn Russia's irresponsible nuclear rhetoric and coercive nuclear signalling. We recall the Joint Statement of the Leaders of the Five Nuclear Weapons States issued on 3 January 2022 on Preventing Nuclear War and Avoiding Arms Races. We call on Russia to recommit – in words and deeds – to the principles enshrined in that Statement.

17. Russia's actions demonstrate a posture of strategic intimidation and underline the continued need for NATO to monitor all of these developments and adapt its posture as necessary. Allies will continue to work closely together to address the threats and challenges posed by Russia and reiterate that any use of Chemical, Biological, Radiological or Nuclear weapons by Russia would be met with severe consequences.

19. We seek stability and predictability in the Euro-Atlantic area and between NATO and Russia. NATO does not seek confrontation and poses no threat to Russia. In light of its hostile policies and actions, we cannot consider Russia to be our partner. Any change in our relationship depends on Russia halting its aggressive behaviour and fully complying with international law. We remain willing to keep open channels of communication with Moscow to manage and mitigate risks, prevent escalation, and increase transparency.

20. We categorically reject and condemn terrorism in the strongest possible terms. Countering terrorism in all its forms and manifestations is essential to our collective defence. As part of a broader effort to better respond collectively to this threat, we will further develop Allies' capabilities, and continue to engage with the Global Coalition to Defeat Da'esh and with partner countries in order to support their efforts and to help them build their capacity to counter terrorism.

23. The People's Republic of China's stated ambitions and coercive policies challenge our interests, security and values. The PRC employs a broad range of political, economic, and military tools to increase its global footprint and project power, while remaining opaque about its strategy, intentions and military build-up. The PRC's malicious hybrid and cyber operations and its confrontational rhetoric and disinformation target Allies and harm Alliance security. The PRC seeks to control key technological and industrial sectors, critical infrastructure, and strategic materials and supply chains. It uses its economic leverage to create strategic dependencies and enhance its influence. It strives to subvert the rules-based international order, including in the space, cyber and maritime domains.

25. The deepening strategic partnership between the PRC and Russia and their mutually reinforcing attempts to undercut the rules-based international order run counter to our values and interests. We call on the PRC to play a constructive role as a permanent member of the United Nations Security Council, to condemn Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine, ....

33. Guided by our sovereign decisions, and in response to the threats we face, we remain vigilant and stand together in solidarity ensuring a substantial and persistent presence of our military forces across the Alliance on land, in the air and at sea, in line with our 360-degree approach. NATO's deterrence and defence posture is based on an appropriate mix of nuclear, conventional and missile defence capabilities, complemented by space and cyber capabilities. It is defensive, proportionate and fully in line with our international commitments. We will employ military and non-military tools in a proportionate, coherent and integrated way to respond to all threats to our security in the manner, timing and in the domain of our choosing.

\*\*\*

## Victoria Nuland Inciting WWII with Russia

By Helena Glass,

May 30, 2023

*Victoria Nuland, of the US State Department, has officially stated in a video conference with Kyiv that WWII will effectively begin on July 11<sup>th</sup> stating that the US and its partners will fight as long as it takes - 16 years or more... The scheduled date was chosen to coincide with the NATO Summit, a one day meeting in Lithuania. I wonder how much this WAR will affect climate change... And what happened to the deadline 2030 for all things Great RESET?*

Two months away, and NATO has yet to determine the topic for the Summit. But I imagine it will involve WWII and how to protect Europe from the inevitable backlash. How many F-16's will make it to Ukraine without crashing or being 'exploded' midair might be on the table for discussion as well.

The defacto US and EU trying to pretend they are not involved has fallen. The pretenses are mute and the reality has been openly spilled by Nuland.

The event where Nuland spoke was co-chaired by the US Atlantic Council whose representative declared, "*transatlantic unity and robust support can help Ukraine defeat Russia and renew European security.*"

The Atlantic Council calls itself nonpartisan because that is the only way to register a 501©(3) charity. They are anything but 'charitable'.

While they do NOT provide the legally required Form 990 for public view, their nonaudited financials reveal they are NOT a charity at all. But then they own the IRS. Funding sources for the Council come from the common array of foundations: Rockefeller, Goldman,

Facebook, Google, US State Dept, i.e. Nuland, – however, one stand out funder is Burisma Holdings. *The same Burisma that was paying Hunter Biden and Big Daddy.*

## The Circle is Complete.

The reason the NGO's are going ballistic over the debt ceiling is that the bulk of their funding is Discretionary spending.

Discretionary spending recipients are supposed to be the last to receive funding after Mandatory debts, which includes Social Security and Veteran pay. Goldman Sachs has stated that the US Treasury has just \$30 billion in its vault – the bare minimum. But that doesn't even begin to make sense given we are in the midst of tax season which garnered \$4.9 trillion in Treasury Funds last year... Where are our Tax Dollars?

John Rogers is Chairman of the Board of the Atlantic Council while serving as Executive VP of Goldman Sachs. His wife is a journalist with the Huffington Post and previously worked in the Clinton Administration. Cozy Group.

We thus have linked Clinton, Soros, Rockefeller, Department of State, the Atlantic Council and the current WH establishment to the Agenda of assassinating Putin. All while invoking Peace and using US Taxpayer Funding to achieve this end.

Although Nuland called the upcoming July 11<sup>th</sup> attack a 'counteroffensive' the target is Moscow. The point is to militarily take out Putin and insert a provisional government with Navalny at the head of the table.

The global response to a Putin assassination would be interesting indeed. Although there is no mention of Lavrov, Putin's right hand man, it is likely he has access to the 'red button'. And Russia is quite aware who can be spared, and who cannot in the continuance of Russia as an independent nation. Russia's Medvedev has stated that a preemptive nuclear strike would only be undertaken should Western nations provide Kyiv with a nuclear weapon. Otherwise all weapons will be tit-for-tat.

*What is strikingly NOT on the table is any discussions of a peace deal by Western governments.*

Contrary to an interview posted by General Milley in which he declares a counteroffensive is not forthcoming, the Atlantic Council's, Richard Hooker, Jr. details the means: *Attack Crimea in June affecting massive civilian losses to morally debilitate Russians using special ops from the US and UK. Take out the land bridge between Crimea and Russia. Then drive a northern advancement to retake all Russian occupied territory. Without F-16's.*

The largess fear of striking The Bear is the retaliation possibility of nukes aimed at various points throughout the EU and possibly Washington, DC. By contrast, unleashing a nuke on Russian soil is NOT preferred given these Cabalists still vie for Russia's vast wealth of Resources – black soil and Lake Baikal.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global

Research articles.

*Featured image is from InfoBrics*

The original source of this article is [Helena](#)

Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [Helena Glass](#), [Helena](#), 2023

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [Helena Glass](#)

#### About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has taught as visiting professor in Western Europe, Southeast Asia, the Pacific and Latin America. He has served as economic adviser to governments of developing countries and has acted as a consultant for several international organizations. He is the author of 13 books. He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at [crgeditor@yahoo.com](mailto:crgeditor@yahoo.com)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)