

# Vicissitudes of Syria War Reporting

By [Barbara Nimri Aziz](#)

Global Research, December 08, 2021

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).


Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg\\_globalresearch](#).

\*\*\*

*It's long past time for a reckoning by Washington on its disastrous policy in Syria. Instead of addressing the scope and venality of American efforts to crush the nation, rather than assessing new diplomatic moves towards Damascus by regional leaders, what did the New York Times, the paper that so shrewdly shapes the perception (and perhaps the direction) of America's wars, choose to feature as a scoop on Syria? A single 2019 bombing of civilians by U.S. attack jets and the subsequent Pentagon coverup.*



their allies continued. Though the northwest is controlled by Turkey and northeast Syria by U.S. troops in alliance with the rebel [Kurdish-led Syrian Democratic Forces](#) well-known for their excesses. The country is subject to regular attacks by both Israeli and U.S. fighter planes, too. And the Islamic State menace, with its fighters temporarily sequestered near Aleppo, has not disappeared.



Zones of Control in Syria, 2020 (Source: Al Jazeera via Barbara Nimri Aziz)

However many obstacles still face Syria, diplomatic breakthroughs seem to be underway. A phone call from Jordan’s King, a staunch U.S. ally, to Syria’s Assad in October was followed by a meeting in Damascus between the [UAE foreign minister and Syria’s president](#). That exchange could not be unrelated to the [UAE head of state Sheikh Mohamed’s high-profile meeting with Turkey’s Erdogan](#) soon after. (Turkey was among those leading the charge starting in 2012 to oust Assad.) Adding to the shift signaled by Jordan and the UAE came discrete signals from Egypt and Saudi Arabia that it might be time to ‘return Syria to the Arab fold’.

How these gestures impact or reflect U.S. policy on Syria is uncertain. Biden slammed the

overtures and his state department promises still tougher sanctions, vowing that Syria must not be allowed to rebuild. Even so, the director of an [anti-Assad Middle East think-tank in D.C.](#) comments that those regional shifts “indirectly reflect an acknowledgement that the regime of **President Bashar Al-Assad** has prevailed, however bloodily and messily”. (Messily indeed.)

The ramifications of these changes if they continue in the same direction will become clear soon. Regarding motives, speculation ranges from a strategy to isolate Iran, the search for investment opportunities, and the perception by leaders that their region is being sidelined by Washington as it focuses on China.

In the midst of this diplomatic flurry ([largely marginalized](#) by the U.S. corporate press) comes that headline-grabbing [New York Times article on Syria](#). Though it isn't really about Syria or Washington's foiled goals there either.

# THE IRISH TIMES

Wed, Dec 8, 2021

NEWS

SPORT

BUSINESS

OPINION

LIFE & STYLE

CULTURE

MORE

[World](#) > [Middle East](#) | [Inside Russia](#) | [Brexit](#) | [UK](#) | [Europe](#) | [US](#) | [Africa](#) | [Asia-Pacific](#)

## US covered up devastating air strike in Syria in 2019 – report

No independent investigation into bombing despite concerns about secretive commando force

© Sun, Nov 14, 2021, 16:28

Dave Philipps and Eric Schmitt

Screenshot from [The Irish Times](#)

What the widely quoted November 14<sup>th</sup> *Times* investigative piece reveals is a covered-up military action in the Syrian village of Baghuz back in 2019. (A possible war crime, we're informed, comparable to the infamous 1968 [My Lai massacre in Vietnam](#).)

In the penetrating investigative style of *The Times*, the authors take us through the military bureaucracy that documents thousands of U.S. strikes annually. An Air Force lawyer based in the Qatar command center noted that the damage from that 2019 attack seemed excessive: 50, 70, possibly more civilians were slaughtered, many of them identified as women and children. That intelligence warranted an investigation. So details were shared with command centers up the chain. However, “...at nearly every step,” *The Times* learned, “the military made moves that concealed the catastrophic strike”.... “the death toll was downplayed” ... “top leaders were not notified.” A second concerned agent based in the Pentagon's Inspector General office reported similar stonewalling.

The journalists did not visit the killing field which in any case was swiftly and thoroughly

bulldozed. Nor do they throw light on the secret Strike Force 9 tasked with ordering air attacks. Their story provides a glimpse into myriad levels of checks and balances meant to assure operation of a humane, responsible war machine. One would have thought the assembled evidence by a reputed newspaper might earn a tacit admission of error by the Pentagon. But no. Denials persisted. That the Baghuz bombings might constitute a war crime recedes into the accumulated dust of probably countless similar incidents, some already recorded by [Counterpunch](#) authors.

*The Times'* effort might have been better spent systematically recording civilian casualties resulting from U.S. bombings and the CIA's nefarious alliances with Islamist rebels operating in Syria. What we need are synopses such [Aaron Maté's](#) and dispatches by [Vanessa Beeley](#). Beeley who, for her labors to correct corporate media's interpretation of the conflict, has been unjustly vilified. Although her reports are reinforced by several [Counterpunch contributors](#) during the decade of this dirty, dirty war.


\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg\_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

**Barbara Nimri Aziz** whose anthropological research has focused on the peoples of the Himalayas is the author of the newly published ["Yogmaya and Durga Devi: Rebel Women of Nepal"](#), available on Amazon.

*She is a frequent contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image is from Massoud Nayeri*



# [“Yogmaya and Durga Devi: Rebel Women of Nepal”](#)

**By Barbara Nimri Aziz**

A century ago Yogmaya and Durga Devi, two women champions of justice, emerged from a remote corner of rural Nepal to offer solutions to their nation’s social and political ills. Then they were forgotten.

Years after their demise, in 1980 veteran anthropologist Barbara Nimri Aziz first uncovered their suppressed histories in her comprehensive and accessible biographies. Revelations from her decade of research led to the resurrection of these women and their entry into contemporary Nepali consciousness.

This book captures the daring political campaigns of these rebel women; at the same time it asks us to acknowledge their impact on contemporary feminist thinking. Like many revolutionaries who were vilified in their lifetimes, we learn about the true nature of these leaders’ intelligence, sacrifices, and vision during an era of social and economic oppression in this part of Asia.

After Nepal moved from absolute monarchy to a fledgling democracy and history re-evaluated these pioneers, Dr. Aziz explores their legacies in this book.

Psychologically provocative and astonishingly moving, “Yogmaya and Durga Devi” is a seminal contribution to women’s history.

[Click here to order.](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Barbara Nimri Aziz](#), Global Research, 2021

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Barbara Nimri Aziz](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)