

Vaccine Passport - The Biggest Attack on Personal Freedom Since the Creation of the EU

By [Eric Sorensen](#)

Global Research, April 29, 2021
[Free21](#) 19 March 2021

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles **can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website”** drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

It's called the ID2020 Agenda, which, [according to Peter Koenig](#) constitutes “an electronic ID program that uses generalized vaccination as a platform for digital identity”.

“The program harnesses existing birth registration and vaccination operations to provide newborns with a portable and persistent biometrically-linked digital identity”.

The founding Partners of ID2020 are Microsoft, the Rockefeller Foundation and the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (GAVI) among others.

ID2020 is part of a “World Governance” project which, if applied, would roll out the contours of what some analysts have described as a Global Police State.

The EU has adopted the Vaccine Passport.

Read Eric Sorensen's incisive analysis

Vaccine passport - the biggest attack on personal freedom since the creation of the EU.

What is the state allowed to do? What is unfair discrimination? Loss of compensation for vaccine injuries and ethics? Why do Danish authorities test in violation of WHO guidelines?

-Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (Charter)

-European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights (ECHR)

-International Health Regulations from WHO (IHR)

By author: Eric Sorensen

Table of Contents

Background & Highlights

- 1.0. Who is protected by COVID-19 vaccines and to what extent?
- 2.0. Elements of compensation for COVID-19 vaccines
- 3.0. The threat to the common good (the basis for PCR test)
- 4.0. Applicable human rights in Denmark
- 5.0. WHO and European Council views on vaccine passports
- 6.0. How arbitrary discrimination can be averted
- 7.0. Compensation options for individuals denied access to public spaces
- 8.0. What does the National Board of Health do?

Background & Highlights

The government intends to introduce a vaccine passport to give vaccinated people unhindered access to public space, to cross national borders, etc. Conversely,



Photo: Gerd Altmann. Public Domain. Pixabay <<https://pixabay.com/illustrations/corona-stamp-passport-4917909/>>

ly, non-vaccinated people would lose fundamental freedoms or through burdensome and painful tests every 72 hours be able to maintain basic human rights.

Loud support is given to the proposal from the business community (DI, Horesta, Netcompany, the Danish Shipowners' Association, etc.) - with the aim of re-opening society *more quickly* by ending coronavirus restrictions - for part of the population - and for the sake of *economic growth*.

Let it be clear beyond any doubt: human rights violations for the sake of business are illegal. (Illegal) violation of human rights can be brought before the European Court of Human Rights (after Danish court proceedings) and

before the European Court (for an opinion in a case brought in Denmark, i.e., in the near future). EU law is superior to Danish law.

“It is a condition of EU membership that Denmark complies with the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights (“ECHR”).”

The European Parliament has laid down guidelines for a vaccine passport, in which a *negative test*

Deaths (without comorbidity) 14 months 0-69 years old Covid-19	Deaths 12 months Smoking
21	14.000

Photo: The author's own illustration.

[Read the full report here.](#)

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from Pixabay

The original source of this article is [Free21](#)
Copyright © [Eric Sorensen](#), [Free21](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Sorensen](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca