

Dangerous Crossroads: US to Withhold Nuclear Weapons Data From Russia as Last Treaty Collapses

By Zero Hedge Global Research, March 31, 2023 Zero Hedge Region: <u>Russia and FSU</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Militarization and</u> <u>WMD</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The White House has confirmed what we can call **the effective (and expected) collapse of the New START nuclear treaty between the US and Russia**, announcing Tuesday it will no longer provide data on its nuclear arsenal under the treaty's stipulated terms.

Moscow had already suspended its participation on March 1st, but still said it will remain in compliance with nuclear weapons caps under the agreement. National Security Council spokesman **John Kirby** said the **decision was made due to Russia being in violation**, but still held out hope that the US punitive measure could push Moscow to return.

"We obviously would like to see Russia back in New START in full compliance ... Russia refused to share data, which we agreed in New START to share biannually ... since they have refused to be in compliance with that particular modality of New START, **we have decided to, likewise, not share that data**," Kirby <u>said</u>. "We would prefer to be able to do that, but it requires them to be willing as well."

"As a lawful countermeasure intended to encourage Russia to return to compliance with the treaty, the United States will likewise not provide its biannual data update to Russia," Kirby said. "The United States **informed Russia in advance of this step**. In the interest of strategic stability, the United States will continue to promote public transparency on our nuclear force levels and posture."

However, Russian **Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov** rejected Kirby's assertion of ongoing contact between the two sides on New START. But he did emphasize that "our readiness to adhere to the caps on strategic nuclear arms in the treaty is nothing more than a goodwill gesture" – suggesting all is not quite yet completely lost regarding the last nuclear arms reduction agreement between the nuclear-armed superpowers.

On Monday, White House press secretary **Karine Jean-Pierre** stated that she has **not seen "any indications that Russia is preparing to use a nuclear weapon"** – despite the big news this week that Putin ordered tactical nukes to be stationed in neighboring Belarus.

Starting in August last year the US accused Russia of violating the treaty in disallowing US on-site inspections under its stipulations. In response, Washington halted Russian inspectors' ability to do the same on American soil. Russia had at the time complained that it was actually the US side which "deprive the Russian Federation of the right to conduct inspections on American territory."

"This is what it has come to, the end of arms control, the end of the nuclear non-proliferation treaty, the beginning of the end, the abyss. We are staring it in the face." <u>https://t.co/Bz7YblastD</u>

- Kim Dotcom (@KimDotcom) March 29, 2023

And then last month, Putin declared, "No one should be under the illusion that global strategic parity can be violated," in reference to New START.

In March 2021 the two sides renewed New START for a period of five years, and it will expire in February 2026 if it's not continued – now looking more likely given US-Russia relations have deteriorated so fast over the Ukraine war and are at a complete breaking point.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The original source of this article is <u>Zero Hedge</u> Copyright © <u>Zero Hedge</u>, <u>Zero Hedge</u>, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Zero Hedge

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca