

# US Trying to Use ‘North Korean Troops in Ukraine’ as a Pretext to Get Directly Involved. Drago Bosnic

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, October 30, 2024

Region: [Asia](#), [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [NORTH KOREA](#), [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

*In recent weeks, the United States and its vassals and satellite states have been pushing the narrative that North Korea is allegedly “sending infantry to aid the Russian military” due to its supposed “massive losses”.*

*Both the Kiev regime and South Korea ([specifically its National Intelligence Service or NIS](#)) insisted they have information that [Pyongyang allegedly “sent around 12,000 soldiers to deploy in Ukraine”](#). Many outlets of the mainstream propaganda machine keep publishing all sorts of unsubstantiated reports, with claims ranging from [North Korean troops that are supposedly “already deserting”](#) and then [being “forced into penal units”](#) to elite [special forces that are a danger to the Neo-Nazi junta forces](#). South Korean sources are even presenting [rather unclear satellite imagery as “proof”](#) of the supposed “large-scale deployment of North Korean soldiers”.*

There are other reports designed to fit into the political West’s usual propaganda narrative, such as the claim that Russia supposedly [asked North Korea to “help drive Ukrainian forces out of Kursk \[oblast/region\]”](#). The NYT insists that Pyongyang allegedly [sent 5000 soldiers to “help Moscow”, quoting American and Kiev regime officials](#) as their primary source. Expectedly, no other evidence was presented to corroborate such claims. However, this didn’t stop the US from (ab)using these unsubstantiated reports [to “draw red lines regarding North Korean presence in Ukraine”](#). Namely, the House Intelligence Committee Chairman Mike Turner sent a letter to President Joe Biden, asking him to “brief the committee on Russia’s use of North Koreans in Ukraine”, insisting this should be considered a “red line for the US”. However, [it seems he decided to up the ante](#).

“If North Korean troops were to invade Ukraine’s sovereign territory, the United States needs to seriously consider taking direct military action against the North Korean troops,” [Turner posted on X, adding](#): “I have long challenged the Biden-Harris Administration’s unwise position on restricting Ukraine’s use of US weapons against targets within Russian territory. If North Korean troops attack Ukraine from Russian territory, Ukraine should be permitted to use American weapons to respond.”

Now that Secretary Austin has finally acknowledged that North Korean troops are in Russia preparing to enter the conflict with Ukraine, the Biden-Harris Administration must make clear that North Korean troops entering this conflict are a red line for the United States.

I have... <https://t.co/Mi0VfuUdGb>

It could be argued that Turner, a Republican, could certainly be trying to (ab)use the narrative for political purposes, although this still doesn't explain the need to go that far. [Only the most aggressive warmongers in the Washington DC oligarchy use such rhetoric](#), particularly one concerning [long-range strikes deeper within Russia](#). Worse yet, the troubled Biden administration also seems to be embracing this escalating rhetoric. White House National Security spokesman **John Kirby** claims that US intelligence services “found evidence that at least 3,000 North Korean soldiers arrived at Russia’s Pacific port of Vladivostok earlier this month” and that these troops then “traveled onward to multiple Russian military training sites in eastern Russia, where they are currently undergoing training”. He also added [that “if they deploy to fight against Ukraine, they’re fair game”](#).

Neither Turner nor Kirby specified what exactly the Pentagon would do (much less how), but if they were indeed suggesting direct military action, the only logical conclusion is that the [warmongering oligarchy in Washington DC is more out of touch than previously thought](#). Namely, if those North Korean troops are indeed deployed in Kursk oblast (region), any US/NATO missile and/or jet sent to attack them will be promptly shot down by [Russian fighter jets](#) and/or [SAM \(surface-to-air missile\) systems](#). It would be even worse if the US or some other NATO member tried launching long-range missiles at Russia, as [this would prompt the Kremlin to respond directly by launching its own weapons](#) (particularly hypersonic ones, [which the political West lacks entirely due to growing technological inferiority](#)). Moscow already used these to [neutralize thousands of NATO personnel](#).

Russia also sent a very clear message [when NATO started flirting with the idea of the so-called no-fly zones over Western Ukraine](#), launching hypersonic missiles at an area that was virtually on the Polish border. This meant that any NATO forces foolish enough to try and cross the border would get annihilated in minutes. Obviously, the Pentagon is perfectly aware of this, which raises the obvious question – why is the US suddenly making threats it cannot fulfill (at least without risking to [blow up the world](#))? Namely, days before US officials made these laughable threats, [the Pentagon refused to support the assertion that North Korean troops are fighting in Ukraine](#), citing the lack of any conclusive evidence. On the other hand, even if Russia does have foreign troops within its sovereign territory, the US and/or NATO are the last to have a say in this matter.

What's much more apparent is that the political West (or should we say [the Deep State that's also in bed with other warmongering oligarchies](#), particularly the one in Brussels) is [determined to escalate tensions in the entire world](#) and perhaps even [ensure at least one war starts](#), so the (most likely) upcoming Trump administration inherits one. [If we assume that Donald Trump really doesn't want to start any new wars](#) (and there's certainly some merit to that, as he refused to invade Venezuela during his first presidency), [then the war criminals in Washington DC have just over a week to start one](#), anywhere in the world. Whether it's an escalation in Ukraine, [the Middle East](#), Asia-Pacific or perhaps even at home, it doesn't really matter to these creatures (because calling them humans would be too much of a stretch), as long as there's more war, death and destruction.

\*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to

repost and share widely Global Research articles.

### **[Birds Not Bombs: Let's Fight for a World of Peace, Not War](#)**

*This article was originally published on [InfoBrics](#).*

**[Drago Bosnic](#)** is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image [source](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), Global Research, 2024

---

### **[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

### **[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: **[Drago Bosnic](#)**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)