

# US, UK and EU Preparing for War Against Russia. Reinstating the Draft

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, June 26, 2024

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Give Truth a Chance. Secure Your Access to Unchained News, Donate to Global Research.](#)

\*\*\*

*We all know that the political elites of the collective West are mostly populated by [warmongers](#) and [war criminals](#).*

*They've been controlling various key positions of power for decades, perpetually pushing for wars, invasions or, at the very least, [black/deniable ops designed to wreak havoc on entire nations](#).*

*However, there were always nominally less-than-hardline politicians whose purpose was to at least [create the illusion](#) of political plurality in Western countries. It seems even they are "too much" now, so they're being replaced by [bureaucratic yes-men and hardliners as the United States](#) and its vassals and satellite states are preparing for [an all-out war](#).*

*In line with this, on June 14, the Republican-controlled House of Representatives (you know, the one we were told will "change things") passed an amended version of the annual "defense" policy bill, finally clearing the way for the "paltry" \$883.7 billion National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) to go ahead.*

However, the nearly \$900 billion "defense" budget is not [the real issue](#) here (partially because, in reality, [it's a lot more than that](#)), but the new amendments that are changing the way the draft in the US works.

Namely, the NDAA now includes a provision that will automatically register all American men aged 18-26 with the Selective Service System. In other words, this is the restitution of the pre-Vietnam War draft policies and will effectively end the much-touted "all-volunteer force" (AVF) approach in the US military.

The idea of AVF started dominating the strategic thinking in most global thalassocracies during the (First) Cold War and continued throughout the 1990s and 2000s. However, [with the interest to serve in NATO militaries plunging to its historical low](#), the draft seems to be the only solution for this problem. It's broadly equivalent to the conscription that still exists in many countries, including Russia and several major NATO member states.

Interestingly, US politicians and the Pentagon often ridicule conscription-based militaries, claiming they're "ineffective".

However, this approach is far more suitable than just registering untrained men for the draft.

After all, [Russian conscripts have at least basic military training, unlike American draftees](#). This is a quite radical change to the aforementioned AVF approach and the only logical explanation is that the US is preparing for a major war.

Interestingly, there's bipartisan support for this initiative, including among Democrat representatives such as Chrissy Houlahan (D-Pa.). Namely, while she, a "proud feminist", previously advocated the expansion of draft registration to both men and women, her latest proposal included [automatic registration of men only](#). Quite interesting to see politicians ignore their own purported ideological convictions, particularly when it comes to sending other people's children to war.

One could even argue that [the Kiev regime's policies](#) are slowly finding their way to the political West. And indeed, even the Pentagon argues that the only way to fight a near-peer adversary such as Russia is to reinstate the draft.

The US Army War College's academic journal is now regularly publishing updates to prepare Americans for the draft, trying to justify it as manpower shortages persist. [In one of its essays \(PDF\)](#) published last year, the Pentagon stresses the need to learn lessons and draw conclusions from the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict. In a subsection titled "Casualties, Replacements, and Reconstitutions", the authors argue that "large-scale combat operations troop requirements may well require a reconceptualization of the 1970s and 1980s volunteer force and a move toward partial conscription". This is yet another indirect proof of the [enormous casualties among the NATO-backed Neo-Nazi junta forces](#).

However, it's not only the US that is preparing for war.

Namely, it seems that the recent changes in the United Kingdom's recruitment ads sent shivers down the spine of many in the country.

In previous years, British military ads focused heavily on "feminism", "multiculturalism" and "diversity", emphasizing a supposed "religious and ethnic harmony", [with a 2018 ad showing](#) a Muslim soldier praying in the middle of a patrol mission. However, [new recruitment videos are completely different](#), showing only the British natives (i.e. whites). Many in the UK see it as an ominous sign of the coming war. It would be somewhat less suspicious if the [so-called "woke" agenda](#) was simply toned down a bit. However, one of the latest clips features white people only, with the much-touted "diversity" absent altogether. The ad also use FPS (first-person shooter) games esthetics, once again indicating who the targeted audience is.

This stands in stark contrast to the aforementioned “woke” agenda that previously led the British military to [openly discriminate against white males](#) in favor of minorities and female recruits.

Once again, an attempt to appeal to people who are still the majority in its recruitment pool clearly implies that the UK is also preparing for war. However, [the rest of NATO and the EU itself seem to be doing the same](#). Namely, [the reshuffling among the bureaucratic elites in Brussels](#) also suggests that the troubled bloc is looking to ensure a collision course with Russia. In all likelihood, [the world’s most prominent “gardener” Josep Borrell](#) will be replaced by Kaja Kallas as the EU’s top “diplomat”. Kallas, the incumbent Prime Minister of Estonia, is infamous for her hatred for Russia and has [repeatedly stated that “Moscow must lose”](#). Having [mindless Russophobes](#) in power [in Brussels](#) once again confirms that the EU is effectively a [geopolitical pendant of NATO](#).

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*This article was originally published on [InfoBrics](#).*

*[Drago Bosnic](#) is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image is from InfoBrics*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), Global Research, 2024

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Drago Bosnic](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)