

# The US' Schizophrenic Approach to the ICC Embodies Its Hypocritical "Rules-Based Order" Concept

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, March 21, 2023

Region: [Russia and FSU, USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*This paradigm of International Relations isn't about upholding the UN Charter, but arbitrarily implementing double standards in advance of America's interests and even sometimes at the expense of its own reputation in pursuit of its goals. This was recently expressed through its hypocritical approach towards Georgia-Moldova and Bosnia-Serbia as well as its equally hypocritical condemnation of the US-inspired foreign agents laws proposed by Bosnia's Republika Srpska and Georgia.*

Biden [just praised](#) the "International Criminal Court's" (ICC) decision to issue an [unenforceable warrant](#) for President Putin's arrest as "justified" despite the US itself still refusing to participate in that partially recognized and highly scandalous body. The Russian Embassy in DC reacted to this hypocrisy by calling that declining unipolar hegemon out for its "[sluggish schizophrenia](#)", which perfectly embodies its "rules-based order" concept and inadvertently extends credence to Moscow's criticism thereof.

This paradigm of International Relations isn't about upholding the UN Charter, but arbitrarily implementing double standards in advance of America's interests and even sometimes at the expense of its own reputation in pursuit of its goals. This was recently expressed through its hypocritical approach towards [Georgia-Moldova and Bosnia-Serbia](#) as well as its [equally hypocritical condemnation](#) of the US-inspired foreign agents laws proposed by Bosnia's Republika Srpska and Georgia.

The only "rules" that matter enough for the US to care about enforcing are those that it regards as suiting its interests at any given point in time. This explains why Biden just praised the ICC in spite of the US itself refusing to participate in it. His country's interests are served through this public relations spectacle due to the amount of global media attention its unenforceable warrant for President Putin's arrest has generated, which in turn contributes to misleading the public about the Ukrainian Conflict.

The targeted Western audience is made to think that there's supposedly some credence to the false accusation that he himself personally as well as another Russian official are allegedly responsible for "abducting" Ukrainian children, thus reinforcing their perception that he's the ultimate evil. As long as they continue wrongly thinking that he is, they'll keep supporting their governments' policy of [extending a blank check to Kiev](#) for indefinitely funding their de facto [New Cold War](#) bloc's [proxy war](#) on Russia.

It doesn't matter to them that the US is supporting a partially recognized and highly scandalous body that it doesn't even participate in since all that's important to them is that it's "on the right side of history" in trying to "bring justice" to those Ukrainian children that they're convinced were "abducted". Those who see through this information warfare charade and thus are already skeptical of the West's "official narrative" about the conflict or outright oppose it won't be swayed by this latest provocation.

This insight therefore suggests that the only purpose in issuing an unenforceable warrant for President Putin's arrest and Biden's hypocritical support of the ICC's decision is to reinforce the perceptions of this proxy war's remaining supporters in the West ahead of what'll likely be a spree of bad news very soon. "[The Washington Post Finally Told The Full Truth About How Poorly Kiev's Forces Are Faring](#)", which preconditioned the public to expect Kiev to experience some serious setbacks in the coming future.

Zelensky himself recently told CNN that [Russia might roll through the rest of Donbass](#) if it succeeds in capturing Artyomovsk/"Bakhmut", which could result in some of this proxy war's most spirited supporters losing hope in their side and thus beginning to question whether any more aid is worth it. If these same folks think that continuing to indefinitely fund this conflict could "bring justice" to those Ukrainian children that they're convinced were "abducted", however, then they might soldier on.

Should a critical mass of them change their minds due to forthcoming events, then public opinion would decisively shift against their elites' blank check policy, thus possibly placing enough pressure on some of them to consider whether they should change this policy. It's this scenario that scares Western leaders more than anything else since the last thing they want is large-scale protests in the streets over this issue, hence why they're doubling down on their deflection tactics via the ICC's ridiculous decision.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*This article was originally published on [Andrew Korybko's Newsletter](#).*

*Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.*

*He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

#### About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)