

U.S. Regime Threatens to ‘Hang’ Pakistan’s Popular Leader Imran Khan If He Holds Anti-Coup March

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, October 17, 2022

Region: [Asia](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [PAKISTAN](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author’s name.

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Imran Khan had been the extremely popular progressive populist secular (non-sectarian) democratically elected Prime Minister (PM) of Pakistan during 18 August 2018 to 10 April 2022, when he then became overthrown by a U.S. coup and replaced by [Shebaz Sharif](#), the younger brother of Pakistan’s [Nawaz Sharif](#), who was Pakistan’s [5th-wealthiest billionaire](#) and who had been Imran Khan’s immediate predecessor as the PM. On October 14th, Geo TV in Islamabad Pakistan headlined [“Imran Khan to be hung upside down if he launches long march: Rana Sanaullah”](#), and reported that Imran Khan was being threatened now with execution if he would actually hold his promised march protesting the coup that had forced him out.

Nawaz Sharif had championed and built upon the Islamist General [Muhammad Zia-ul-Haq](#)’s military government’s policy of empowering the fundamentalist-Sunni (Saudi-Wahhabist-trained pro-jihadist) clerics in uniting Islamic law with Pakistan’s laws, so as to unite the aristocracy with the theocracy in Pakistan, with the aim being to benefit both the billionaires and the clergy, at the Pakistani public’s expense. Imran Khan is now organizing this protest march to call for a restoration of democracy to Pakistan, and to oust the Government’s control by the appointees of the aristocrats and the clergy.

That headline’s phrase “long march” refers to Imran Khan’s promise to lead a million-plus-person “long march” to the capital city, which is Islamabad, to bring the downfall of the U.S.-led recently-installed coup-regime, which had installed Shebaz Sharif. “Rana Sanaullah,” in that headline, refers to the coup-imposed Federal Minister of the Interior, under Shebaz Sharif. Sanaullah was in a position to be able — with the rest of Shebaz Sharif’s Government (backed by the U.S.) — to carry out that threat.

Also on October 14th, Geo TV bannered [“Rana Sanaullah will be arrested if he enters Punjab: Cheema”](#), and reported that, “Advisor to Chief Minister Punjab on Interior Omar Sarfraz Cheema said that Federal Interior Minister Rana Sanaullah Khan will be arrested if he enters Punjab.” Both the dictators (the aristocrats and theocrats), and the democrats (Imran

Khan's group), are "gunning" against each other; and, right now, the dictators — with the crucial help of America — have the upper hand. For this reason, Imran Khan has not yet announced a date on which his proposed march will take place. He is instead travelling the country to campaign for it.

Imran Khan refers to himself as being a "party of one," because he is up against the entire existing corrupt Government of Pakistan, and so he founded and leads his own Party, PTI. A joint web-search for "Imran Khan" "PTI" and "wikipedia" brings up no "PTI" but instead shows just "Imran Khan," and that article says "He is the founder and chairman of the Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI), one of the largest political parties in the country."

However, though PTI is "one of the largest," all of the others are opposed to it, because PTI is opposed to both the aristocracy and the theocracy. They are, and have been, united with the U.S. Government, in order to oust Imran Khan from power. (Today's U.S. Government always supports aristocrats and theocrats against democrats, in order to be able to extract its cut, for the benefit of America's billionaires, via the IMF etc. — various money-laundering institutions of this global-gangland operation, America's "rules-based international order.")

The way they did this was by Pakistan's Supreme Court ruling for a no-confidence vote against Imran Khan in the parliament to oust him as the Prime Minister; and the parliament then appointed Nawaz Sharif's brother to replace Imran Khan. They would have liked to appoint Nawaz, except that, as Reuters had headlined on 24 December 2018, "[Ousted Pakistani PM Sharif gets seven years' jail for graft](#)", and, like any other pretend-'democracy', the aristocrats and theocrats who controlled Pakistan's Government needed a public-electoral fig-leaf in order to give any permanency to their joint dictatorship over the country; so, they chose Nawaz's younger brother instead.

Omar Sarfraz Cheema, in that headline "[Rana Sanaullah will be arrested if he enters Punjab: Cheema](#)", is a member of Imran Khan's PTI Party, which is the dominant Party in the Punjab region of Pakistan. When Rana Sanaullah threatened to get Imran Khan "hung upside down," that was a threat which came not only from Pakistan's aristocracy, and not only from Pakistan's clergy, but also from the U.S. White House and Congress, which constitute the imperial center that has been enabling Pakistan's aristocrats and clergy to control Pakistan. In the 15 October 2021 video "[Imran Khan: The extended interview with MEE](#)" (MEE being Middle East Eye, a news-source that isn't under the U.S. regime's thumb), at 5:40 in the interview, Khan, when he was asked what U.S. President Biden had said to him about Pakistan's situation, Khan reluctantly admitted that Biden had refused to be in contact with him. (That interview is archived [here](#), in case it might become removed from the internet.) At that time, a year back, Khan was still hoping that the U.S. regime wouldn't overthrow him (as it did).

Another, and even more telling, interview with Imran Khan had occurred on the night of 19 June 2012, when Julian Assange interviewed him by remote from the Ecuadorian Embassy in London, and its youtube started being [copied over six hundred times to the main Web archive, web dot archive dot org](#), but then all of those hundreds became mysteriously [destroyed](#) so that even at that archive, which is headquartered in the U.S., [none of those copies any longer functions](#). However, the show can still be seen at RT, <https://assange.rt.com/khan-episode-nine.html>, from which it has been archived twice, such as [here](#), and copies elsewhere also remain online, such as [here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#), and the transcript of it is [here](#); so, the U.S.-and-allied regimes might not be able to

eliminate all copies of it from online. Anyway, this interview shows not only why Assange is being destroyed by the U.S./UK regime, but that they also are doing all they can to destroy Imran Khan.

19 June 2012 happened to be Assange's first night being protected from [the UK/U.S. regime](#) inside the Ecuadorian Embassy in London, which was when the democrat, Rafael Correa, was leading that country.

Correa himself became replaced by the secret U.S. agent Lenin Moreno as Correa's successor, who on 2 April 2019 allowed UK to drag Assange out to supermax solitary confinement in London's Belmarsh Prison awaiting ultimate extradition to the U.S. (Assange isn't a citizen of either country, but of Australia, which has done nothing to protect him or his rights as an Australian citizen, and is therefore obviously a slave-regime to the UK/U.S. regime — and yet Australians aren't revolting against that slavery by their Government.)

Ever since, Assange has been effectively blocked to communicate to or with the public, so that he presumably will die either in that prison or else in one in the United States, basically a dead man, ever since 2 April 2019, who has never been tried in any court on any criminal charge (other than jumping bail on a cooked-up rape charge that was then dropped). It's interesting that in the 19 June 2012 — the 9th — [installment of The Julian Assange Show, interview with Imran Khan](#), both men were stoic about their likely becoming ultimately crushed by the global [U.S./UK regime](#). Religions have their martyrs, but, so, too, do democrats. Aristocrats have only their greed, and their pretenses; but that is backed up by their enormous power — against which to revolt is the extreme form of courage and heroism.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on [The Duran](#).

*Investigative historian **Eric Zuesse's** new book, [AMERICA'S EMPIRE OF EVIL: Hitler's Posthumous Victory, and Why the Social Sciences Need to Change](#), is about how America took over the world after World War II in order to enslave it to U.S.-and-allied billionaires. Their cartels extract the world's wealth by control of not only their 'news' media but the social 'sciences' — duping the public. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

Featured image is from Multipolarista

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of *They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010*, and of *CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity*.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca