

US plays “Chicken” with Russian Air Force while Nukes are on Hair-Trigger Alert

By [Dr. Christof Lehmann](#)

Global Research, April 13, 2015

[NSNBC International](#)

Region: [Russia and FSU](#)

In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#)

A Russian Su-27 jet intercepted a U.S. RC-135U reconnaissance plane that was closing in on the territory of the Russian Federation. Unable to identify the radar target, the Russian Air Force deployed the interceptor, forcing the U.S.’ plane to change course. Meanwhile, experts stress that the U.S. is acutely aware of Russia’s disadvantage with regards to defending the country against a possible nuclear first strike while both U.S., Russian and NATO nuclear forces remain on hair-trigger alert.

✘ An official spokesman for the Russian Defense Ministry, Gen. Maj. Igor Konashenkov, told the press, on Saturday, that a Russian Su-27 fighter interceptor jet, on April 7, intercepted the U.S. American RC-135U reconnaissance plane.

The RC-135U was reportedly approaching the territory of the Russian Federation over the Baltic Sea. The Russian Su-27 forced the U.S. plane to change its course.

Gen. Maj. Konashenkov stressed that the American RC-135U, which he described as “*spy plane*” had shut off its transponder, making it impossible for the Russian radar facilities to identify the plane. Konashenkov would add the reassuring words that “*no extraordinary situation occurred*” when the Su-27 circled around the RC-135U reconnaissance plane.

✘ He added that it is up to the Russian command to assess the professional qualities of the Russian pilots while the United States’ reconnaissance planes can perform *routine flights* only along U.S. borders.

The statement came in response to an earlier statement issued by the Pentagon. The U.S. DoD insisted that the RC-135U was performing “*a routine flight on April 7*”. The Pentagon would also stress that the American plane was “*intercepted in an unsafe manner*”.

Playing “Chicken” with Nukes on Hair Trigger Alert.

✘ The incident would hardly have been worth mentioning had NATO’s “*Partnership for Peace*” and NATO’s post cold war assurances not to deploy NATO troops to any of the former Warsaw Pact nations been kept.

Former French Foreign Minister Roland Dumas stressed in an interview with l’Humanité.fr that this understanding was perceived as “*the essence of peace*”. Dumas’ statement has repeatedly been confirmed and stressed by the last Soviet Head of State Michael Gorbachev. The United States, for its part, insists that this was “*only an oral agreement that hadn’t been written down in any treaty*”.

If NATO's eastwards expansion "*stressed*" relations, the post 2001 change in the United States' nuclear posture has repeatedly led to situations where relations between the nuclear armed "*Partners for Peace*" were stressed to the limit.

Thodore Postol, Professor Emeritus of Science, Technology, and National Security Policy, MIT, who has a unique insider perspective into the scientific data that led to the U.S. – Soviet agreement to reduce nuclear weapons during the Reagan Administration warns that the risk of an unwanted nuclear war is today, arguably, greater than it was during the Cuban missile crisis.

Speaking during a symposium on [The Dynamics of Possible Nuclear Extinction held on February 28 – March 1, 2015 at the New York Academy of Medicine](#), Dr. Postol stressed that the United States is aware of Russia's disadvantage with regards to the deployment of satellites which could recognize a possible first strike by the United States or other NATO members. Postol also stressed Russia's disadvantage with regards to "*over the horizon radar*" capabilities.

✘ Dr. Postol noted that this situation forces Moscow into a position where it has only minutes to decide whether it is being attacked and whether or not to launch a counter-strike.

He added that the current U.S. policy with regard to Ukraine has led to deteriorating this volatile situation even further.

Postol stressed, unambiguously, that Russia's disadvantage with regards to a space-based early warning system "*is one of the gravest dangers to the United States*".

Other expert speakers at the symposium would stress that the latest climate models clearly show that even a so-called limited nuclear exchange, wanted or unwanted, would have a catastrophic impact on the global climate, leading to the death of billions.

Arguably, on April 7, the Pentagon played "*chicken*" with Russia, notwithstanding the fact that the immediate result could be "*fried chicken*" throughout Europe, Russia and the USA; And notwithstanding the fact that a few persons have to make life and death decisions for people throughout the world, within minutes.

CH/L – nsnbc 12.04.2015

[Watch the full symposium on "The Dynamics of Possible Nuclear Extinction", held on February 28 – March 1, 2015 at the New York Academy of Medicine HERE.](#)

The original source of this article is [NSNBC International](#)
Copyright © [Dr. Christof Lehmann](#), [NSNBC International](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Christof](#)

About the author:

Dr. Christof Lehmann is the founder and editor of nsnbc. He is a psychologist and independent political consultant on conflict and conflict resolution and a wide range of other political issues. His work with traumatized victims of conflict has led him to also pursue the work as political consultant. He is a lifelong activist for peace and justice, human rights, Palestinians rights to self-determination in Palestine, and he is working on the establishment of international institutions for the prosecution of all war crimes, also those committed by privileged nations. On 28 August 2011 he started his blog nsnbc, appalled by misrepresentations of the aggression against Libya and Syria. In March 2013 he turned nsnbc into a daily, independent, international on-line newspaper. He can be contacted at nsnbc international at nsnbc.wordpress@gmail.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca