

US Plans 'First Strike' Nuclear Attack on Russia or China

By [Richard Cottrell](#), [Rick Rozoff](#), and [Bruce Gagnon](#)

Global Research, June 01, 2014

[RT the TruthSeeker](#)

Theme: [Militarization and WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#)

[Download video](#) (75.68 MB)

Star Wars tested for Eastern Europe; US space weapons "unofficial declaration of war"; "soft assassinations" planned for last weekend's EU election winners.

*Seek truth from facts with Gladio, NATO's Dagger at the Heart of Europe author and former European MP **Richard Cottrell**; Stop NATO newlist's **Rick Rozoff**; and **Bruce Gagnon** of the Global Network Against Weapons and Nuclear Power in Space.*

This is a rush transcript.

RT: US revives plans for a nuclear first strike on Russia. Coming up.

Announcer: "Soft assassinations" of anti-NATO leaders.

Star Wars tested for Eastern Europe.

And US space weapons quote an "unofficial declaration of war."

RT: Secret clauses of NATO membership state, the US can and will depose Europe's governments on the orders of the White House.

Giuseppe De Lutiis, NATO author: Even if the electorate were to show a different inclination, secret protocols guarantee alignment by any means.

RT: "By any means" means exactly that. Early NATO whistleblower Hans Otto exposed "'kill lists" of leading European politicians that defied investigators' belief, but were subsequently confirmed by police.

Officers found 15 pages of members of the German Communist Party to be assassinated, and 80 pages on Germany's Social Democrats, one of the two major parties in the country.

The documents state these assassinations would take place "in case of X". X may refer, writes NATO scholar Dr. Daniele Ganser, to mass protests against a US-backed government, or an election victory of a genuinely left-wing party.

Instructions for such operations were kept at NATO military headquarters south of Brussels.

Der Spiegel reveals a quote "a strictly secured wing of the building. A grey, steel bank vault

door prohibits trespassing to the unauthorized." Papers on NATO operations in Europe are marked "American eyes only."

When the EU Parliament officially demanded NATO stop these operations, which have become known by the codename Gladio, the US simply ignored it.

Richard Cottrell is a former Member of the European Parliament. NATO tried to ban his investigation Gladio, NATO's Dagger at the Heart of Europe, which reports both so-called "soft assassinations" - smearing non-aligned EU politicians through mainstream media to make them unelectable - and real assassinations of politicians that still got elected.

Richard joins us, really great to see you, what's going on?

Richard Cottrell, author of Gladio, NATO's Dagger at the Heart of Europe: The United States is not prepared to tolerate governments which are unfavorable to the regime. Let's give the example of Syriza in Greece at the moment, which has just won, come top of the list in the European elections. This is an example of a government which is not going to be tolerated by the United States of America.

RT: You write a prototype "soft assassination", British Prime Minister Harold Wilson, whose "blasphemy in American eyes" was to "flirt with nuclear disarmament".

Cottrell: Yes, it's become a little bit more difficult to, shall we say, use violent means, than it was in the past. So now you're going to see more of the Harold Wilson tactics. And they will now increase and I will tell you why - because this weekend the European elections were held. And this has resulted in a very large bloc of anti-Europeans, led by the "Penista", the National Front of France, which has come out on top in the European elections. Nigel Farage, leader of the United Kingdom Independence Party in the UK, who has come from virtually nowhere to become the leader of the third largest party. This will not be allowed to happen, so there will have to be Harold Wilson-type moves now to remove those leaderships, and those parties in those various countries.

RT: NATO is attempting to supersede and actually replace the United Nations, reveals former Assistant General Hans Sponeck.

Its revised doctrine refuses the UN monopoly on the use of force, reports [Global Research News](#). NATO now promotes itself as the military wing of the UN itself.

The doctrine reserves the right to intervene anywhere in the world where there's "movements of large numbers of persons." Its newly formed Partners Across The Globe program's already incorporated Australia, New Zealand, Japan, Pakistan, and Iraq, and leads the occupation of Afghanistan.

With the expected addition of states like Colombia and El Salvador, NATO will be on all six inhabited continents.

Obama's foreign policy guru, Zbigniew Brzezinski, calls people in countries under US control "vassals," a medieval term for slaves.

American armed forces are now in over 150 countries. The unofficial figure, including clandestine US forces, is thought to be much higher.

The Pentagon recently formed the United States Africa Command. Since then, NATO's dispatched the continent's most developed nation to a "Hobbesian anarchy", overthrew the Ivory Coast, and chopped Sudan's oil-rich southern half into a new state, leaving just two and a half countries still outside its military grid.

Leading military analyst, editor of the newlist Stop NATO Rick Rozoff joins us, great to see you. What'll happen to people when the last countries fall to US control?

Rick Rozoff, Stop NATO newlist: Global enslavement is the answer to that, and we see it manifested for example in ways that may not be immediately obvious, but after certain amount of analysis we can see for example votes that've come up in the United Nations General Assembly in the last year and a half, two years, particularly I'm thinking on the question of Syria. We see that the US through a number of factors - economic bribery, diplomatic blackmail, subversion but also through bilateral, multilateral military programs, has been able to secure the overwhelming compliance or servility of other nations. And that's one of the reasons why there's no diplomatic and political independence in nations, because they are beholden to the United States and, frankly speaking, they're fearful of US economic and ultimately military retaliation should they not go along with the US diktat.

Barack Obama: So today, I state clearly, and with conviction, America's commitment to seek the peace and security of a world without nuclear weapons. I'm not naive.

RT: Obama's duplicity is perhaps historically unparalleled. As soon as he envisioned a planet without nuclear weapons, he mushroomed nuclear weapons spending to levels above the height of the Cold War.

He has expanded the infamous Bush Doctrine of a nuclear strike against any country, regardless of international law.

"Full spectrum dominance" is the official term used by his administration, meaning "control everything, everywhere on sea, land, air, space, and outer space." US Space Command documents plan to even "deny other nations the use of space."

The "one remaining power" with the capacity to stop what the Pentagon calls full spectrum dominance, writes intelligence analyst William Engdahl, is Russia.

By design or coincidence, crisis in Ukraine provided the perfect excuse for US military control of the region.

Ten days ago the administration tested its Star Wars system for Eastern Europe, which will now be rolled out starting in Romania. Obama brands his system the "stronger, smarter and swifter" version of Ronald Reagan's initial Star Wars program.

Under **the plan, the US attacks Russia with nuclear weapons**, while NATO missile defense in Eastern Europe mops up any attempted response.

NSNBC News writes "it is most likely and understandable" Russia interprets NATO's Star Wars deployment on its border as an "unofficial declaration of war."

Aerospace analysts told **Global Research** that US Space Command is planning a nuclear first strike on Russia, as well as one on China in 2016.

Bruce Gagnon of the **Global Network Against Weapons in Space** joins us, thank you very much for coming on, what do we know about the first strike plans?

Bruce Gagnon, Global Network Against Weapons in Space: This is in the planning process today. The US Space Command practicing engaging in a first strike attack and this is the key element here. These are first strike attack planning, these so-called missile defense systems are key elements in US first strike attack planning. The idea is to hit China or Russia first with a first strike, and then when they try to fire their nuclear retaliatory capability, it is then that the so-called missile “defense” systems would be used to pick off any retaliatory strike, so after a first strike sword is thrust into the heart of China or Russia, then the missile defense shield would be used to pick off any retaliation giving the US the a “successful” first strike attack.

It has nothing at all to do with defense, it has nothing to do with freedom or democracy, or any of those words that are used all the time to disguise the true intentions; it’s all about full spectrum dominance.

RT: Several decades ago the first Star Wars initiative faced intense public and industry debate.

Today the US is controlled by just six mainstream media, all totally suborned to the White House. The result is an Orwellian silence on perhaps the most dangerous issue today.

Europeans may decide they want their leaders chosen by NATO, or even that they support nuclear strikes on China and Russia.

Since the US-controlled mainstream’s never even informed the public these apocalyptic plans are on the agenda, the first people may hear of it, would be this. Seek truth from facts. This is The Truthseeker.

The original source of this article is [RT the TruthSeeker](#)

Copyright © [Richard Cottrell](#), [Rick Rozoff](#), and [Bruce Gagnon](#), [RT the TruthSeeker](#), 2014

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Richard Cottrell](#),
[Rick Rozoff](#), and [Bruce Gagnon](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance

a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca