

# The U.S. Is Not “Overtaking China”: “Industrialization isn’t coming back big-time for the USA”.

The US will never get out of its import-dependency

By [Karsten Riise](#)

Global Research, September 03, 2023

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name.

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

.

Heavy subsidies and government-money created a few chips factories (still struggling to start) and saved some automobile jobs to make electric vehicles. But these few bright spots won’t help the majority of US industry to blossom again. There simply aren’t government-billions enough – not even in the US deficit-economy – to create enough subsidies to reindustrialize the US. A broad US path of “industry-for-subsidies” would be a kind of state-capitalism in a country with no apparatus, levers, tradition, experience, theories, insights – or even funds – to run such a beast. Such a path, dreamed up by **Jake Sullivan and Joe Biden** would never be economically sustainable for US society.

During Biden’s time, the US sees a sharp decline in productivity – precisely the kind of development which *destroys* industry, not builds it.

**The US will never get out of its import-dependency.** Yeah, it may shift some imports from China to Vietnam or Mexico (with Chinese sub-suppliers still running much of the show), but the US will never get out of its addiction to imports of “stuff” it cannot produce itself anymore.

**And no, India won’t replace China as “factory-of-the-World”.** India is doing well, thankfully, but India is far too bureaucratic and self-enclosed to play the global production-role which China plays.

And hardly has the US automobile industry once again been resuscitated from the brain-dead with billions from, government and we see the union problem starting up again. Writes the Wall Street Journal today 2 September 2023 in its daily newsletter summary (forwarded

below):

In the five months since Shawn Fain narrowly won a runoff election to become the president of the United Auto Workers, the 54-year-old has [tossed out the typical union playbook](#). Fain is negotiating new labor contracts for about 146,000 hourly workers at General Motors, Ford Motor and Stellantis. He has said **he's ready to strike if a deal isn't reached when contracts expire later this month—potentially with not just one automaker, but all three.**

A strike against ALL three major US automakers – Bon Appetit!

US automobiles are so bad for the money that no one will buy them outside of the USA.

The automobile workers union is only part of the problem, however. The managements and owners of US car industries are simply doing a consistently lousy job and have done so for the past half a century. But speaking of US unions, the union of US port workers is also infamous for creating inefficiency in America. The biggest US ports are not conduits for an efficient society, but chokepoints slowing down the whole US Inc.

This is not boding well for US economic competition with China – even is China is slowing down.

US media are salivating for every bit of news that can put a blot on China, but US workers are not doing too fine. Yes – consumption in general is up, but living standards for ordinary Americans have been severely hit by Bidenomics.

The New York Times (NYT) is at great pains to explain that Bidenomics “isn’t so bad after all” and that voters just don’t get how “good” things *really* are. But at the end of the NYT piece about the blessings of Bidenomics, The NYT suddenly cannot hide the ugly truth anymore – like a Freudian slip of the tongue, even the NYT itself reveals that:

Now he said it was difficult for the Biden administration to take victory laps over slowing inflation because wages haven’t kept pace, leaving a typical worker about \$2,000 behind compared with before the pandemic. See [this](#).

Big outburst of inflation created by Biden has hollowed out US real incomes by no less than \$2,000! That’s a lot of money for nearly all Americans, and especially for those on low or fixed incomes which used to vote for the Democrats. Obviously, the most recent 1-2 months of a-little-better-than-lower-wage-adjustments aren’t going to reverse that. Biden takes \$2,000 from Americans and maybe gives back 50 bucks – how nice is that?

The prospect that a US recession might not happen after all may be celebrated, but truly, the absence of a meltdown doesn’t *really* show anything great about US economics.

These views on US economy are vital to understanding the whole picture of China-US strategic competition. China has had an enormous export – now Chinese exports are just big, not enormous. China has got a youth unemployment problem. China has got some overheated construction projects and entities. But all this does not mean that China’s growth rate is going to go below that of the US. China is still having a decent growth and look set to continue that. Moreover, China has a lot of unused economic levers and buttons to push.

The US is simply not overtaking China in growth.

The latest World Economic Outlook July 2023 from [IMF](#) says that US will in 2023 have a growth of 1.8% against a growth in China of 5.2%. In 2024 the US will be even worse off with a growth of meagre 1.0% in 2024 and China will grow at 4.5% in 2024. In both years, we see China growing by around 3½ % faster than the US.

China will continue for many years to do a *lot* better than the US.

That puts the gleefulness by which US media describe China's economic challenges into a more correct perspective.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**Karsten Riise** is a Master of Science (Econ) from Copenhagen Business School and has a university degree in Spanish Culture and Languages from Copenhagen University. He is the former Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of Mercedes-Benz in Denmark and Sweden.

He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from [The Unz Review](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Karsten Riise](#), Global Research, 2023

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Karsten Riise](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)